

POOR ORIGINAL

CONTACT NUMBER

PROD. & UTIL. TAG.

50-482

TIC



Joseph V. Hendrick, Chairman  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C.

August 4, 1979

Mr. Hendrick:

I have received notification from the NRC that "Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.772, the time within which the Commission may determine whether to review the July 12, 1979 Decision of the Director of Inspection and Enforcement is extended until August 21, 1979. It is so ordered."

To aid you in your decision on this matter, I direct your attention to the following reports:

- 4/9/79 "Report Says Samples 'Below Criteria'."
- 4/11/79 "Plant's Concrete May Be Weakening".
- 4/15/79 "Speed of Base-Mat Pour Is Questioned in Report".
- 4/26/79 "Report Finds Faults in Concrete Mix Design".
- 4/27/79 "Evaluation of Base Mat Concrete Questioned".
- 4/27/79 "Concrete Strength Controversy May Be Resolved by Meeting".
- 5/15/79 "NRC Restricts Access, Participation".
- 5/15/79 "Wolf Creek Meeting Draws Crowd".
- 5/24/79 "Wolf Creek Plant Designers' Liability Limited by Contract".
- 6/27/79 "Outside Opinion Sought On Wolf Creek Base Mat".
- 7/4/79 "Concrete Formula Based On Local Firm's Design".
- 7/10/79 "Court Case Could Reveal New Facts".
- 7/11/79 "Supplier Taking on Industrial Giants".
- 7/12/79 "Defendants Give Their Side in Suit".
- 7/13/79 "Nuclear Plant Base Mat At Wolf Creek Approved".
- 7/15/79 "Base Mat at Wolf Creek Will Remain Untested".
- 7/24/79 "NRC Denies Wolf Creek Petitions".

The above reports, and others, have appeared in the Laboria Gazette.

In the interest of XXXXX public safety I urge you to carefully review the Wolf Creek situation and to make a decision that will "err on the side of caution".

Sincerely,

Max McDowell  
Box #1  
Elsdale, Kansas 66009

POOR ORIGINAL

RE: Should construction continue on the reactor containment building in view of the many questions remaining to be answered? Is that conservative? Is that responsible?

G7910090 55.4