MEMORANDUM FOR: John B. Martin

Regional Administrator, PV

FROM:

Edward L. Jordan, Director

Office for Analysis and Evaluation

of Operational Data

SUBJECT:

EXPANDED PERFORMANCE INDICATOR PROGRAM AT

RANCHO SECO

AEOD is currently working with the Regions to monitor the performance of selected power plants as part of an Expanded Performance Indicator Program. The purpose of this program is to provide additional information on these plants to senior management and enhance our ability to recognize areas of poor and/or declining safety performance. AEOD expects to compile these data periodically in special reports for use by senior NRC management.

To initiate this program for Rancho Seco. J. Crews of Region V and S. Stern of AEOD met with J. Firlit of SMUD on December 10, 1987, to discuss tracking the performance of Rancho Seco Generating Station. At that time, Mr. Firlit agreed to provide additional performance data on a monthly basis.

Subsequently, we understand that Mr. Crews of your staff has reviewed our suggestions for performance data with Mr. Firlit of Rancho Seco, who found them acceptable.

I am requesting that you forward our suggestions to the licensee. I have enclosed a draft transmittal letter for your consideration.

> Original Signed By: E. L. Jordan

Edward L. Jordan, Director Office for Analysis and Evaluation of Operational Data

Enclosure: As stated

cc: V. Stello J. Taylor T. Murley

Distribution:

SStern ROAD R/F MWilliams EJordan KB lack JHe1temes JJohnson. TNovak RSingh

VBenaroya AEOD R/F

JPosenthal

8803100157 880308 ADDCK 05000312

*SEE PREVIOUS CONCURPENCES

*ROAB:DSP:AEOD *ROAB:DSP:AEOD *ROAB:DSP:AEGD SStern:md RSingh 3/ /88 3/ /88

JRosenthal 3/ /88

ika DSP AEOD VPenaroya hTNovak 3/3/88 3/3/88

MEMORANDUM FOR: John B. Martin

Regional Administrator, RV

FROM:

Edward L. Jordan, Director

Office for Analysis and Evaluation

of Operational Data

SUBJECT:

EXPANDED PERFORMANCE INDICATOR PROGRAM AT

RANCHO SECO

AEOD is currently working with the Regions to monitor the performance of selected power plants as part of an Expanded Performance Indicator Program. The purpose of this program is to provide additional information on these plants to senior management and enhance our ability to recognize areas of poor and/or declining safety performance. AFOD expects to compile this data periodically in special reports for use by senior NRC management.

To initiate this program for Rancho Seco. J. Crews of Region V and S. Stern of AEOD met with J. Firlit of SMUD on December 10, 1987, to discuss tracking the performance of Rancho Seco Generating Station. At that time, Mr. Firlit agreed to provide additional performance data on a monthly basis.

Subsequently, we understand that Mr. Crews of your staff has reviewed our suggestions for performance data with Mr. Firlit of Rancho Seco, who found them acceptable.

I am requesting that you formally forward our suggestions to the licensee. I have enclosed a draft transmittal letter for your consideration.

> Edward L. Jordan, Director Office for Analysis and Evaluation of Operational Data

Enclosure: As stated

cc: V. Stello

J. Taylor T. Murley

Distribution:

DCS ROAB R/F

SStern MWilliams.

EJordan

KBlack

JHeltemes TNovak

JJohnson RSingh

VBenaroya JRosenthal

*SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCES

*ROAB:DSP:AEOD *ROAB:DSP:AEOD ROAB/DSP:AEOD DSP:AEOD S\$tern:md PSingh 3/2/88 3/ /88

JRosenthal 3/7/88

VBenaroya TNovak 3/ /88 3/ /88

D:DSP:AEOD DD:AEOD CHeltemes Edordan 3/ /88

D:AEOD 3/ /88 MEMOKANDUM FOR: John B. Martin

Regional Administrator, RV

FROM:

Edward L. Jordan, Director

Office for Analysis and Evaluation

of Operational Data

SUBJECT:

EXPANDED PERFORMANCE INDICATOR PROGRAM AT

RANCHO SECO

AEOD is currently working with the Regions to monitor the performance of selected power plants as part of an Expanded Performance Indicator Program. The purpose of this program is to provide additional information on these plants to senior management and enhance our ability to recognize areas of poor and/or declining safety performance. As with other agency performance indicators, this data is expected to have Timitations and be subject to misinterpretation. Therefore, caution would be warranted in the interpretation and use of this data, AEOD expects to compile this data periodically in special reports for use by senior NRC management.

To initiate this program for Rancho Seco, J. Crews of Region V and S. Stern of AEOD met with J. Firlit of SMUD on December 10, 1987, to discuss tracking the performance of Rancho Seco Generating Station. At that time, Mr. Firlit agreed to provide additional performance data on a monthly basis.

Subsequently, we understand that Mr. Crews of your staff has reviewed our suggestions for performance data with Mr. Firlit of Rancio Seco, who found them acceptable.

I am requesting that you formally forward our suggestions to the licenses. I have enclosed a draft transmittal letter for your consideration.

> Edward L. Wordan, Director Office for Analysis and Evaluation of Operational Data

Enclosure: As stated

cc: V. Stello

J. Taylor T. Murley

Distribution:

DCS

SStern

ROAB R/F

MWilliams

EJordan

KB1ack

JHeltemes.

JJohnson

TNovak

RSingh

VBenaroya

J.Rosenthal subject to change show

ROAR: DSP: AEOD ROAB: DSP: AEOD DSP: AEOD D: DSP: AEOD D: AEOD

RSingh

JRosenthal

VBenaroya TNovak

CHeltemes EJordan

D:AEOD / /88

>> SStern:md 2/1/88 7/1 /88

/ /88

/ /88 / /88

/ - /88



NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

Mr. J. Firlit
Assistant General Manager for Nuclear
Plant Production
Rancho Seco Generating Station
14440 Twin Cities Road
Herald, CA 95638-9799

Re: Performance Data on Rancho Seco

Dear Mr. Firlit:

Following up on your meeting of December 10, 1987 with Mr. Crews of Region V and Mr. Stern of the Office for Analysis and Evaluation of Operational Data, we appreciate your willingness to provide additional data on Rancho Seco Station. As agreed during the meeting, we are providing guidance on the type of performance data we wish to receive in the enclosure to this letter. We would like to collect this data for one full fuel cycle.

The performance data elements listed in the enclosure were developed as a result of discussions with you and other licensees. Please inform us of any data elements which you presently do not readily have, key performance elements you believe we have overlooked, or data elements which need definition, clarification or modification.

If you believe any of these data are of a proprietary nature, please so indicate.

Thank you for your continued cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

John B. Martin Regional Administrator Region V

Enclosures: As stated

cc: V. Stello

J. Taylor T. Murley E. Jordan

Enclosure

EXPANDED PI PROGRAM FOR RANCHO SECO

Data requested on a monthly basis

- 1) Automatic Scrams while Critical.
 - Initiating System(s) and Component(s) Involved.
 - Causes.*
- Safety System Actuation.
 - Initiating System(s) and Component(s) Involved.
 - Causes.*
- Safety System Failures.
 - Initiating System(s) and Component(s) Involved.
 - Causes. *
- 4) Forced Outages.
 - Initiating System(s) and Component(s) Involved.
 - Causes.*
 - Number of Hours.
- 5) Monthly Operating Status Summary.
 - Critical Hours.
 - Generator On-Line Hours.

 - Forced Outage Pate.
 Planned Shutdowns (over next 6 months).
- 6) Preventative Maintenance to Total Maintenance.**
 - Based upon both Manpower Expenditures and Ratio of Total Preventive Work Orders to Total Work Orders.
- 7) Corrective Maintenance.
 - See Attachment 1.
- 8) Repeat Maintenance.
 - See Attachment 2.

^{*}Categorize event causes as: Licensed Operator Error, Other Personnel Error. Maintenance Problem, Design/Installation/Fabrication Problem, Administrative Control Problem, and Random Equipment Failure.

^{**}Be consistent with INPO or utility performance indicator definitions.

EXPANDED PI PROGRAM FOR RANCHO SECO (CONT.)

Data requested on a monthly basis

9) Event Data on Downtime.

- See Attachment 3.

10) Staffing Goals

- Critical Management Vacancies
 Goals vs. Actuals for Filling Vacancies.
- Critical Staff Vacancies
 Goals vs. Actuals for Filling Vacancies.

 Ratio of SMUD to Contractor Employees in Technical Positions at Rancho Seco.
 Goals vs. Actuals for Ratio.

11) Employee Turnover and Overtime.

- Licensed Operator Turnover Rate.
- Other Operator Turnover Rate.

- Engineering and Health Physics Turnover Rate.

- Overtime for Licensed Operators, total and average per person.
 Overtime for Other Operations, total and average per person.
- Overtime for Maintenance, total and average per person.

12) Chemistry Hours Outside Guidelines.*

- Cumulative Hours Outside Owners Group Guidelines.
- Hours Outside Utility Established Operating Limits.
 Condensate Pump Discharge Dissolve Operating Limits.
- Condenser Air In-leakage (SCFM).
- Conductivity.

13) Collective Radiation Exposure.*

- Total Radiation Exposure for Personnel (man-rem).
- 14) Other Utility/INPO Performance Indicators.

^{*}Be consistent with INPO or utility performance indicator definitions.

Attachment 1

CORRECTIVE MAINTENANCE

Group non-outage Maintenance Work Requests (MWRs)* into three categories by high, medium, and housekeeping type items.

| | | MWRs OPENED DURING MONTH | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| HIGH PRIORITY | | | |
| MEDIUM PRIORITY | | | |
| HOUSE-KEEPING TYPE | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | MWRs OPEN >3 MONTHS | | MWRs OPEN >12 MONTHS |
| HIGH PRIORITY | | | |
| MEDIUM PRIORITY | | | |
| HOUSE-KEEPING TYPE | | | |

^{*} Be consistent with INPO definitions where possible, including definitions for high priority Maintenance Work Requests.

Attachment 2

REPEAT MAINTENANCE

Identify any safety or BOP component requiring more than one corrective maintenance activity, within 90 days.

| LAST TIME TESTED | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| CAUSE | | | | | | | | |
| TRAIN | | | | | | | | |
| SYSTEM | | | | | | | | |
| COMPONENT | | | | | | | | |
| DATE | | | | | | | | |

Attachment 3

EVENT DATA ON DOWNTIMES

| FUNCTION | | | | TAKEN OUT | DATE & TIME RESTORED TO | DOWNTIME | CORRECTIVE | FOR FAILURES | AILURES & ERRORS ONLY | | |
|----------|------------|-------|--------------|------------|----------------------------|----------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| | SYSTEM | TRAIN | COMPONENT | OF SERVICE | | CODE* | ACTION | CAUSE NARRATIVE | TIME SINCE LAST TEST | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | F150U 500F | | ABBECTIVE AC | | | | | | | | |

P = PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE.

M = MODIFICATION.

T = TEST (IF EQUIPMENT FAILS TEST).

F** = FAILURE OR MALFUNCTION. TRAIN FAILS (OR IS UNAVAILABLE) TO MEET ITS FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS.

E** = HUMAN ERROR.

O = OTHER.