

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: 1

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

01 | G | A | E | I | H | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5

7 8 9 14 15 25 26 57 CAT 58

LICENSEE CODE LICENSE NUMBER LICENSE TYPE

CON'T

01 | R | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 9

7 8 60 61 68 69 74 75 80

REPORT SOURCE DOCKET NUMBER EVENT DATE REPORT DATE

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

02 | On 3-17-80, with the unit in cold shutdown for a maintenance outage and

03 | surveillance testing, an LOSP was simulated on the 2F emergency bus.

04 | During the test it was noted that the 2D PSW pump sequenced to the bus

05 | in 2 to 5 secs. instead of the required 22. Reporting requirements were

06 | initially determined to fall within the 30-day category. A subsequent

07 | review upgraded the event to the prompt reporting category. This is a

08 | non-repetitive event. Public health and safety were not affected.

7 8 9 80

09 | E | E | 11 | X | 12 | Z | 13 | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z | 14 | Z | 15 | Z | 16

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 18 19 20

SYSTEM CODE CAUSE CODE CAUSE SUBCODE COMPONENT CODE COMP. SUBCODE VALVE SUBCODE

17 | 8 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 28 | T | 30 | 0 | 32

7 8 21 22 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

LER/RO REPORT NUMBER EVENT YEAR SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. OCCURRENCE CODE REPORT TYPE REVISION NO.

18 | X | 19 | Z | 20 | Z | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | Y | 23 | N | 24 | Z | 25 | Z | 9 | 9 | 9 | 26

33 34 35 36 37 40 41 42 43 44 47

ACTION TAKEN FUTURE ACTION EFFECT ON PLANT SHUTDOWN METHOD HOURS ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED NPRD-4 FORM SUB. PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER COMPONENT MANUFACTURER

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

10 | At the present time the cause of the event is unknown. An update report

11 | will be submitted when the exact cause is determined.

12 |

13 |

14 |

7 8 9 80

15 | G | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | NA | 30 | B | 31 | Observation during testing | 32

7 8 9 10 12 13 44 45 46 80

FACILITY STATUS % POWER OTHER STATUS METHOD OF DISCOVERY DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION

16 | Z | 33 | Z | 34 | NA | 35 | NA | 36

7 8 9 10 11 44 45 80

ACTIVITY CONTENT RELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY LOCATION OF RELEASE

17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | Z | 38 | NA | 39

7 8 9 11 12 13 80

PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION

18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | NA | 41

7 8 9 11 12 80

PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER DESCRIPTION

19 | Z | 42 | NA | 43

7 8 9 11 12 80

LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE DESCRIPTION

20 | N | 44 | NA | 45

7 8 9 10 80

PUBLICITY ISSUED DESCRIPTION

20 | N | 44 | NA | 45

7 8 9 10 80

8004150 572

NRC USE ONLY

NAME OF PREPARER C. L. Coggin, Supt. Plt. Eng. Serv.

PHONE: 912-367-7781

LER #: 50-366/1980-032
Licensee: Georgia Power Company
Facility Name: Edwin I. Hatch
Docket #: 50-366

Narrative Report
for LER 50-366/1980-032

On 3-27-80, with the unit in cold shutdown for a maintenance outage and surveillance testing, an LOSP was simulated on the 2F emergency bus to fulfill 18-month surveillance requirements. During the test it was noted that the 2D plant service water pump sequenced onto the emergency bus at 2 to 5 seconds instead of the required 22 seconds. The 2C plant service water pump sequenced onto the bus within its allowable limits, and the diesel generator picked up the loads with no problem. If this were to happen during a combined LOCA and LOSP and the primary plant service water pump, to which 2D is a backup, was not operating, the diesel generator loading sequence could exceed the values assumed in the Final Safety Analysis Report. Reporting requirements were initially determined to fall within the 30-day classification. A subsequent review upgraded the event to the prompt reporting category; however, at the time this determination was made the prompt reporting time limit had expired. Investigations into the design and as found circuit wiring have been initiated, but the cause of the problem is still unknown. This problem does not affect the other unit.

POOR ORIGINAL