



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION II
101 MARIETTA ST., N.W., SUITE 3100
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

FEB 4 1980

In Reply Refer To:

RII:JPO

50-325, 50-324

Carolina Power and Light Company
ATTN: J. A. Jones
Senior Executive Vice President
and Chief Operating Officer
411 Fayetteville Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Gentlemen:

This Information Notice is provided as an early notification of a possible significant matter. It is expected that recipients will review the information for possible applicability to their facilities. No specific action or response is requested at this time. If further NRC evaluations so indicate, an IE Circular or Bulletin will be issued to recommend or request specific licensee actions. If you have questions regarding this matter, please contact the Director of the appropriate NRC Regional Office.

Sincerely,

for James P. O'Reilly
Director

Enclosures:

1. IE Information Notice
No. 80-04
2. List of Recently Issued
IE Information Notices

8003260010

Carolina Power and
Light Company

-2-

cc w/encl:
A. C. Tollison, Jr.
Plant Manager
Box 458
Southport, North Carolina 28461

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

SSINS No.: 6870
Accession No.:
7912190655

DUPLICATE

February 4, 1980

IE Information Notice No. 80-04

BWR FUEL EXPOSURE IN EXCESS OF LIMITS

On November 1, 1979, and December 17, 1979, respectively, the licensees of the Quad Cities Unit No. 1 and Monticello Nuclear Power Plants informed the Nuclear Regulatory Commission that the actual peak average planar exposure of some fuel assemblies in the core was beyond the maximum average planar exposure value of the Maximum Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rate (MAPLHGR) Limits specified in the plant Technical Specifications.

In the case of Quad Cities, the licensee was aware that some fuel assemblies would approach and exceed the exposures for which MAPLHGR limits had been analyzed. In the interim, the station process computer was used to calculate higher exposure MAPLHGR limits via an extrapolation routine, while awaiting determination of the additional high exposure MAPLHGR limits using standard licensing analysis methods. When the actual limits were made available for comparison with the extrapolated values, it was determined that the process computer had extrapolated values non-conservatively. Although the new MAPLHGR limits extensions were immediately entered into the computer, the new limits had not yet been submitted for review and approval by the NRC.

With regard to Monticello, the licensee became aware that the 30,000 MWD/T maximum exposure specified in the plant Technical Specifications were being exceeded after several months of operation had elapsed. Although the high burnup fuel assemblies had at no time exceeded the MAPLHGR value corresponding to 30,000 MWD/T, MAPLHGR limits calculated by standard licensing analysis methods showed that lower MAPLHGR values should have been utilized at the higher exposures. Again although the new MAPLHGR limits were promptly substituted, the new limits were not at the time formally approved by the NRC.

In both cases, it was subsequently determined by the licensees that the actual operating MAPLHGR values had at no time exceeded the revised MAPLHGR limits at the higher exposures. The licensees subsequently requested amendments to their Technical Specifications, adding MAPLHGR limits for average planar exposures values beyond the actual peak average planar exposure projected for the present cycles. These changes have been reviewed and approved by the staff.

Additionally, fuel rod thermal-mechanical design and safety analyses for the subject fuel are dependent on local (peak pellet) exposure conditions. The peak pellet exposure basis for those analyses is 40,000 MWD/T. Since the peak pellet exposure exceeds the fuel assembly maximum average planar exposure as fuel assembly average planar exposure increases, the concern is raised that the

40,000 MWD/T fuel thermal-mechanical analysis basis exposure could also be approached or exceeded. Investigations conducted by the licensees showed that the peak pellet exposure had not nor would not exceed the fuel thermal-mechanical design maximum basis during the current operating cycles.

The potential for occurrence of the above events can be decreased by (a) surveillance procedures which require periodic comparison of actual peak average planar exposure and peak pellet exposure values to approved exposure limits, and (b) use of the computer to provide an alarm or flag as an aid to indicate when approved exposure limits are being approached.

This Information Notice is provided to inform licensees of a significant safety matter. It is expected that recipients will review the information for possible applicability to their facilities. No specific action or response is requested at this time. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact the Director of the appropriate NRC Regional Office.

RECENTLY ISSUED
IE INFORMATION NOTICES

Information Notice No.	Subject	Date Issued	Issued To
80-04	BWR Fuel Exposure in Exposure in Excess of Limits	2/4/80	All BWR's Facilities Holding Power Reactor OLs or CPs
80-03	Main Turbine Electro-Hydraulic Control System	1/31/80	All holders of power reactor OLs and CPs
80-02	8X8R Water Rod Lower End Plug Wear	1/25/80	All BWR Facilities holding power reactor OLs or CPs
80-01	Fuel Handling Events	1/4/80	All holders of power reactor OLs and CPs
79-37	Cracking in Low Pressure Turbine Discs	12/28/79	All power reactor OLs and CPs
79-36	Computer Code Defect in Stress Analysis of Piping Elbow	12/31/79	All power reactor OLs and CPs
79-35	Control of Maintenance and Essential Equipment	12/31/79	All power reactor facilities with an OL or CP
79-34	Inadequate Design of Safety-Related Heat Exchangers	12/27/79	All holders of power reactor OLs and CPs
79-33	Improper Closure of Primary Containment Access Hatches	12/21/79	All power reactor facilities holding OLs and CPs
79-32	Separation of Electrical Cables for HPCI and ADS	12/21/79	All power reactor facilities holding OLs and CPs
79-31	Use of Incorrect Amplified Response Spectra (ARS)	12/13/79	All holders of power reactor OLs and CPs
79-30	Reporting of Defects and Noncompliance, 10 CFR Part 21.	12/6/79	All power reactor facilities holding OLs and CPs and vendors inspected by LCVIP