

SEP 14 1990

Docket No. 50-219

GPU Nuclear Corporation
ATTN: Mr. Eugene Fitzpatrick
Vice President and Director
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station
P.O. Box 388
Forked River, New Jersey 08731

Gentlemen:

Subject: Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance (SALP) Final
Report No. 50-219/89-99 (February 1, 1989 - March 15, 1990)

The enclosed report transmits the final results of the Oyster Creek Plant SALP Board Meeting conducted on April 23, 1990. We discussed the findings with you in a public meeting on July 2, 1990. The presentations given in that meeting are included in Inspection Report 50-219/90-11 dated August 15, 1990. We made a minor change to the report based on your response dated August 3, 1990.

No response to this letter is necessary. The final SALP report will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

We appreciate your cooperation.

Sincerely,
Original Signed By:
Thomas T. Martin

Thomas T. Martin
Regional Administrator

Enclosure: Final SALP Report No. 50-219/89-99

00000

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

OYC SALP - 0001.0.0
08/20/90

9010010308 900914
PDR ADOCK 05000219
R PDC

TE40


SEP 14 1990

GPU Nuclear Corporation

2

cc:

M. Laggart, BWR Licensing Manager
 Licensing Manager, Oyster Creek
 Chairman Carr
 Commissioner Rogers
 Commissioner Curtiss
 Commissioner Remick
 K. Abraham, RI (19 copies)
 Public Document Room (PDR)
 Local Public Document Room (LPDR)
 Nuclear Safety Information Center (NSIC)
 NRC Resident Inspector
 State of New Jersey

bcc:

Region I Docket Room (with concurrences)
 Management Assistant, DRMA (w/o encl)
 T. Martin, RA
 R. Bellamy, DRSS
 W. Ruland, DRP
 M. Conner, DRP
 S. Young, SRI, TMI
 K. Abraham, PAO
 J. Caldwell, NRR
 A. Dromerick, Project Manager, NRR
 J. Lieberman, OE
 D. Holody, ES
 SALP Meeting Attendees

RI:DRP Ruland *	RI:DRP Wenzinger *	RI:DRP Hohl *	RI:DRS Hodges *	RI:DRA Kane *	RI:RA Martin
08/27/90	08/27/90	09/04/90	09/04/90	09/04/90	09/14/90
* SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE PAGE					

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

OYC SALP - 0002.0.0
08/20/90

IE 40

cc:
 M. Laggart, BWR Licensing Manager
 Licensing Manager, Oyster Creek
 Chairman Carr
~~Commissioner Roberts~~
 Commissioner Rogers
 Commissioner Curtiss
 Commissioner Remick
 K. Abraham, RI (19 copies)
 Public Document Room (PDR)
 Local Public Document Room (LPDR)
 Nuclear Safety Information Center (NSIC)
 NRC Resident Inspector
 State of New Jersey

*Please check
 for other distribution
 sheets*

bcc:
 Region I Docket Room (with concurrences)
 Management Assistant, DRMA (w/o encl)
 T. Martin, RA
 R. Bellamy, DRSS
 W. Ruland, DRP
 M. Conner, DRP
 S. Young, SRI, TMI
 K. Abraham, PAO
 J. Caldwell, NRR
 A. Dromerick, Project Manager, NRR
 J. Lieberman, OE
 D. Holody, ES
 SALP Meeting Attendees

RI:DRP
 Ruland
WR
 08/21/90

RI:DRP
 Wenzinger
WZ
 08/21/90

RI:DRP
 Heh
Heh
 08/24/90

RI:DRS
 Hodges
MH
 9
 08/24/90

RI:RA
 Kane
SK
 08/24/90

RI:RA
 Martin
 08/ /90

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

OYC SALP - 0002.0.0
 08/24/90

SALP BOARD ERRATTA SHEET

We have reviewed your response listing apparent factual errors and inconsistencies. We concluded that only one change in the report was necessary.

In the Maintenance/Surveillance section, based on your third noted inconsistency, the following change was made:

<u>Page</u>	<u>Lines</u>	<u>Comments</u>
18	10-13	Based on the licensee's response, page 7, a sentence was deleted. This sentence referred to the emergency service water system pump in-service testing. The licensee stated that in-service testing of the service water pumps was performed monthly. The original sentence stated that the inservice test was run only quarterly even though numerous problems have been observed with the pumps over the years. We deleted the sentence since IST is performed monthly and the overall idea of the paragraph is supported by other examples.

In each of the three other examples, the additional information you provided did not affect the basic issue and did not warrant correcting.

In the Plant Operations section, we concluded that no revision was required. First, no implication was intended regarding the lack of an automatic transfer. The statement was intended to emphasize the operator's correct response to the event. Second, we cannot speculate what would have happened had the AIT not arrived.