PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

2301 MARKET STREET 12.0. BOX 8699 PHILADELPHIA PA. 19101 (215) 841-5001

SHIELDS L. DALTROFF VICE PRESIDENT ELECTRIC PRODUCTION

January 7, 1980

Re: Docket Nos. 50-277 50-278

Inspection Nos. 50-277/79-24 50-278/79-26

Mr. Robert T. Carlson, Chief Reactor Construction and Engineering Support Branch Region I 631 Park Avenue King of Prussia, PA 19406

Dear Mr. Carlson:

Your letter of December 19, 1979, forwarded combined Inspection Reports 50-277/79-24 and 50-278/79-26. Appendix A to your letter addresses one item which did not appear to be in full compliance with Nuclear Regulatory Commission requirements. The item is categorized as an infraction and is restated below with our response.

> 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, states in part, that: "Measures shall be established to ensure that condition adverse to quality, ... are promptly identified and corrected"

Contrary to the above, on August 21, 1979, it was identified by quality control that bolt patterns for the base plates of Hanger Nov. 33HB-H266 and 33HB-H267 did not conform to the specified bolt patterns on the applicable isometric drawings, however, no corrective action was initiated to solve and correct this nonconformance as of the date of the inspection (September 25, 1979).

8002280 328

Philadelphia Electric Company field inspection supervisor decided that these deviations were of no significance. This decision was based on the fact that the concrete expansion bolts in question are not subjected to tensile loads and the bolt hole spacings were off by approximately 1/2 inch. Therefore, these deviations were not reported to the engineer/architect, Bechtel Power Corporation, site representative for disposition.

As a result of this inspection, these deviations were submitted to Bechtel for their review. Bechtel's response for both hangers dated September 27, 1979 verified that the field decisions were correct, that is both base plates are acceptable as installed.

Corrective action has been taken to avoid further items such as this by reinstructing the Philadelphia Electric Company site construction engineer to report for disposition all nonconformances found in the concrete expansion bolt verification program to the Bechtel site representative in accordance with current inspection procedure.

Very truly yours,

Alathert