

ACRSM-0145
PDR 12/24

SUPPLEMENT NO. 177

CATEGORY B REPORT

San Onofre 2&3 Nuclear Station

SHBush, EAMason
and full ACRS

ORAL ARGUMENTS BEFORE SAFETY AND LICENSING
APPEAL BOARD

Directorate of Licensing Report of June 14, 1974 concerning oral arguments by Consolidated Intervenor (the intervenors) with Southern California Edison (the applicant) and the Regulatory Staff. Items of discussion included:

- o Procedural Issue - intervenors claim that the ASLB denied their right to due process of law
- o Consideration of San Clemente as Population Center--intervenors claim that San Clemente, not oceanside, should have been designated the population center
- o Acceptability of Exclusion Area - intervenors claim that the exclusion area designated for the SO 2/3 site does not satisfy 10CFR Part 100
- o Use of U. S. Highway 101 for Emergency Planning and Evacuation - the intervenors claimed that U. S. 101 was unsuitable as an emergency evacuation route
- o Seismology - intervenors claimed that their witness testimony clearly showed that the Safe Shutdown Earthquake chosen for the SO 2/3 site was not adequate.

The above items do not appear to be settled and the Appeal Board will reconvene following receipt of additional documentation.

The ACRS may wish to schedule a discussion of this matter at a forthcoming ACRS meeting.

G.R.Q.

OFFICE >				
SURNAME >				
DATE >				

8002140094