

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

NOV 9 1979

Docket No. 50-358)

Mr. Earl A. Borgmann
Vice President - Engineering
The Cincinnati Gas and Electric Company
P. O. Box 960
Cincinnati, Ohio 45201

Dear Mr. Borgmann:

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION OF CLASS IE INSTRUMENTATION AND ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT (WM. H. ZIMMER NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT 1)

During our review of the Wm. H. Zimmer Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1 application for an operating license we have been evaluating the environmental qualifications of Class IE instrumentation and electrical equipment. This evaluation is being performed on a generic basis as well as plant specific both for equipment supplied by the NSSS supplier as well as equipment supplied for the Balance-of-Plant. As a result of our evaluation we have requested and you have supplied information regarding this matter. The information provided by you is substantial and is presented in sections of the Final Safety Analysis Report as well as by reference to Westinghouse Topical Reports and specific equipment testing reports.

As you know, requirements for environmental qualification of Class IE instrumentation and equipment have been evolving as a result of considerable effort expended by both the staff and nuclear industry. As a result of these efforts we have developed an interim position regarding environmental qualifications. A draft copy of this position is enclosed.

Prior to completion of our review of the qualification related documer.tation you have submitted as part of the Diablo Canyon application, we plan to assess the degree to which the qualification programs described in that document action comply with the guidelines contained in our interim position. As part of this assessment, we plan to identify that equipment which was not qualified in accordance with our guidelines and, provided it is justified, we will establish a basis for the acceptability of these deviations. To assist us in completing this task promptly, we request that you provide the information identified in the Enclosure.

1523 047

This information should allow us to complete our evaluation and make the determination that the environmental qualification of Class IE instrumentation and electrical equipment is acceptable.

Please inform us within seven days after receipt of this letter of your schedule for providing this information.

Sincerely,

John F. Stolz, Chief

Light Water Reactors Branch No. 1 Division of Project Management

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc: See next page

cc: Troy S. Conner, Jr., Esq. Conner, Moore & Corber 1747 Rennsylvania Avenue, N. W. Wasnington, D. C. 20006

> Mr. Milliam J. Moran General Counsel The Cincinnati Gas and Electric Company P. O. Box 960 Cincinnati, Ohio 45201

Mr. William G. Porter, Jr. Porter, Stanley, Arthur and Platt 37 West Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

Mr. Steven G. Smith, Manager Engineering & Project Control The Dayton Power and Light Company P. C. Box 1247 Cayton, Ohio 45401

C. Robert Newlin, Counsel The Dayton Power and Light Company P. O. Box 1247 Dayton, Obio 45401

Mr. James D. Flynn Manager, Licensing Environmental Affairs The Cincinnati Gas and Electric Company P. 2. Box 960 Cincinnati, Onio 45201

Mr. J. P. Fenstermaker Senior Vice President-Operations Columbus and Southern Ohio Electric Company 215 North Front Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 David B. Fankhauser, PhD 3569 Nine Mile Road Cincinnati, Uhio 45230

Or. Frank i Mooner School of Matural Resources University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

Mr. Stephen Schumacher Miami Valley Power Project P. O. Box 252 Dayton, Ohio 45401

Ms. Augusta Prince, Chairperson 601 Stanley Avenue Cincinnati, Chio 45226

Charles Bechhoefer, Esq., Chairman Atomic Safety & Licensing Board Panel U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Mr. Glenn O. Bright
Atomic Safety and
Board Panel
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Leah S. Kosik, Esq. 3454 Cornell Place Cincinnati, Ohio 45220

W. Peter Heile, Esq. Assistant City Solicitor Room 214, City Hall Cincinnati, Ohio 45220

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

POOR ORIGINAL

ENCLOSURE

ADDITIONAL REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

(Equipment Qualification)

The FSAR Tables that lumnify the operational requirements of equipment which must function during and subsequent to the design basis accidents are general and are not sufficiently complete for purposes of documenting equipment qualification parameters. Therefore, provide a table listing of all Class IS safety-related equipment and appropriate qualification related data for each as noted in the attachment. This table should include all equipment located both inside and outside of containment, including balance-of-plant and nuclear steam system supplied equipment.

Where components have been qualified by an equipment system test, identification of the equipment is sufficient, i.e., individual components need not be listed. Equipment components should be identified where qualified by separate tests or analysis. Where more than one item of a given type is used, it is only necessary to present the required information for one representative item of that type for the worst case environment.

For equipment located outside containment which may not have been qualified to apportal environmental conditions, the environmental design requirements for this equipment should be provided and noted as such in column 5. For each area of the plant where such equipment is located the normal operating extremes in environmental conditions should be provided and noted as such in column 4. A footnote should be provided for each such area to provide the basis that the normal operating extremes in environmental conditions will not be exceeded. Such basis should include the quality of environmental control systems, their redundancy, sources of power and cooling, and operating requirements to maintain suitable environmental conditions during all modes of plant operation. The monitoring of environmental conditions in such areas and of the equipment controlling such environments should also be addressed to provide assurance that such conditions are maintained.

POOR ORIGINAL

IEEE std. 322-1971 does not specifically address aging mechanisms as a consideration in the qualification of safety related electrical equipment. Subsequently, ISEE Std. 323-1974 addressed aging in the sequence of factors to be considered. While for many components, aging may not play a significant role in the ability of a component to withstand the elfects of an abr. roll or accident environment and still perform its required function; there are certain materials wild be subjected to degradat in over a long period of time. It is the staff's position that applicants with qualification programs for safety-related electrical equipment, conducted in accordance with IESE Std. 323-1971, should undertake an investigation of the electrical equipment to ensure that significant aging mechanisms do not exist which could invalidate the conclusions of prior qualification. Sources of information, specifically material manufacturers data, should be consulted as a part of this review. Therefore, you are requested to conduct such an evaluation and to report your findings at the earliest opportunity. For any equipment for which significant aging mechanisms are identified, provide the justification and time interval for acceptable use of this equipment which does not invalidate its prior qualification or provide other proposed a terratives such as requalification or replacement with qualified equipment and not subject to aging concerns.

POOR ORIGINAL

1 150

. LEATER FAMIL TRAINER OF TELLIRIEM TRAINERS

		1	4			B	419
) ye of quiposit/ quiposit/ quiton(b)	thoular baser	Hotel No. or Admittication No. (9)	Atmosph or Accident Invironment(1)	to Which	Operability Requirements (1)		

- 1) legarature and pressure as a function of time, time interval for containment sprays, and total integrated radiation dose for equipment location.

 Include submergence if applicable. Reference may be made to figures in ISAR or other dasketed material for pressure and temperature clope.

 Other independence is applicable to this table.
- 2) leaperature and pressure, as a function of time, time interval containment sprays simulated, and total integrated raidation dose for exich equipment was qualified. If the same piece of equipment was not subjected to all environmental conditions, describe separate effects testing and justify. Include submergence test if applicable. Reference may be made to rigores for qualification envelopes or actual test conditions for pressure and temperature conditions noted in qualification reports submitted for staif review. Other information should be listed in this table.
- ipment is required to operate during and subsequent to a design basis event consistent with plant safety analysis. Distinguish between trip functions and post accident monitoring for sensors and transmitters if accuracy requirements differ for these functions.
- 4) Time that equipment operability was demonstrated by qualification method. Distinguish between trip functions and post accident monitoring for sensors and transmitters if accuracy requirements differ for these functions.
- 5) Provide the according requirements for sensors and transmitters for trip functions and post accident monitoring as used in plant safety analysis. Note applicability of each if they differ.
- 6) Accuracy for sensors and transmitters should distinguish between trip functions and post accident monitoring if requirements differ for these functions.
- Rethod should indicate test, analysis or combination as applicable. If qualification considered aging fine and temperature conditions used.
- 8) for Hi continser containments, specify upper or lower compartment
- 9) Robel or identification number should be adequate to define specific equipment identity (do not provide plant specific tag item number).

N