APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Duke Power Company Catawba Unit 1 License No. CPPR-116

Based on the NRC inspection February 27 - March 2, 1979, certain of your activities were apparently not conducted in full compliance with NRC requirements as indicated below. These items have been categorized as described in correspondence to you dated December 31, 1974.

A. As required by Criterion V of Appendix B to 10 CFR 50, as implemented by the PSAR, paragraph 17.1.5, activities affecting quality must be accomplished in accordance with established procedures. DPC construction QA procedure M-4, dated October 30, 1978, paragraph 4.2 requires verification of weld stencil numbers to the applicable drawing to be included in fitup inspection.

Contrary to the above on February 28, 1979, stencil numbers for weld numbers 1RN407-15 and 1RN407-16 were reversed. Fitup was signed off on February 26, 1979. Since Code and NDE requirements were being met and further inspection of these weld numbers is required at the time of installing the succeeding welds, safety significance of this item is minimal.

This is a deficiency.

B. As required by Criterion XVI of Appendix B to 10 CFR 50, as implemented by the PSAR, paragraph 17.1.16, corrective action of significant conditions adverse to quality must include action taken to preclude repetition. DPC construction QA procedure P3, dated November 12, 1975; procedure CP-116, Rev. 5; and procedure CP - 170, Rev. 2 require storage of pipe subassemblies upon which welding has taken place, i.e., after issuance to the field, to be above ground and with end caps in place.

Contrary to the above, on March 1, 1979, numerous pipe subassemblies with end caps missing and/or lying on the ground and several subassemblies partially buried were present in the subassembly laydown area. DPC housekeeping inspection records for September, October, and November of 1978 and February of 1979 had identified improper pipe storage in this area. A total of 24 Corrective Action Notices had been issued by DPC for calendar years 1977 and 1978 identifying improper storage of piping subassemblies in the above category in various site areas. Therefore, corrective action taken was not adequate to preclude repetition of improper piping storage.

This is an infraction.