• Jeep the 205 323 5 41



February 5, 1979

J. M. Farley Nuclear Plant - Unit 2 NRC Inspection of December 11-14, 1978

Mr. C. E. Murphy, Chief Reactor Construction & Engineering Support Branch U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street, N.W. Suite 3100 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Re: RII:BRC 50-364/78-20

Dear Mr. Murphy:

This refers to the apparent deficiency in the subject inspection report which states:

Criterion I of Appendix B to 10 CFR 50, as implemented by the FSAR, paragraph 17.2.1, requires in part that, "The authority and duties of persons and organizations performing activities affecting the safety-related functions of structures, systems and components shall be clearly established and delineated in writing. These activities include both the performing functions of attaining quality objectives and the quality assurance functions".

Contrary to the above requirements, on December 13, 1978, the inspector found that certain licensee organizational responsibilities for the control of pre-service inspection are not clearly delineated in writing. Examples of lack of written responsibilities are:

 The Pre-Service/In-Service Coordinator, who works for Southern Company Services, performs certain review and approval and program surveillance. None of his responsibilities are defined by written procedures.

7903070 499