SUNSI Review Complete Template = ADM-013E-RIDS=ADM-03 ADD: Jazel Parks, Harriet Karagiannis

PUBLIC SUBMISSION PUBLICATION DATE: 7/26/2019

COMMENT (16) CITATION 84 FR 3612 **As of:** 10/1/19 2:14 PM

Received: September 26, 2019

Status: Pending Post

Tracking No. 1k3-9ceq-bob9

Comments Due: September 26, 2019

Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2019-0154

Release of Patients Administered Radioactive Material

Comment On: NRC-2019-0154-0003

Release of Patients Administered Radioactive Material; Extension of comment period

Document: NRC-2019-0154-DRAFT-0017

Comment on FR Doc # 2019-17060

Submitter Information

Name: Aria Razmaria Address: United States,

Email: arazmaria@mednet.ucla.edu

General Comment

Please, find attached our comments on NRC draft regulatory guide on release of patients after administration of radiopharmaceuticals.

Respectfully,

University of California Los Angeles Nuclear Medicine Team

Attachments

UCLA Comments on NRC DG-8057-Patient-Discharge 09-23-19

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



SANTA BARBARA SANTA CRUZ

DEPARTMENT OFMOLECULAR AND MEDICAL PHARMACOLOGY
DAVID GEFFEN SCHOOL OF MEDICINE at UCLA
NUCLEAR MEDICINE
200 MEDICAL PLAZA, SUITE B114
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90095-6942
(310) 825-4829
FAX (310) 206-4899

September 23, 2019

Office of Administration
Mail Stop: TWFN-7 A06
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001
ATTN: Program Management, Announcements
and Editing Staff

Docket ID-NRC-2019-0154

Dear Sir/Madam:

We are submitting comments on Draft Regulatory Guide DG-8057. It is with much concern that we reviewed the recent draft revision of the regulatory guide on release of patients after administration of radiopharmaceuticals. The reiteration of the antiquated 33 mCi rule, whereas the 500 mrem calculated dose limit has been established as a more scientifically sound dose limit, and the interchangeable use of a 100 mrem and 500 mrem dose limit is alarming. Also many of the patient instruction recommendations are poorly medically informed. It is a surprise to us that this draft guide lacks reference to the relevant existing body of scientific literature pertaining to this topic. A short list of these peer-reviewed publications is included at the end of this comment letter.

The overly conservative draft guidance is particularly counter-productive for out-patients, provoking needless anxieties, pointless inconvenience, or even delay of their radiotherapies.

Along with other already provided in-depth comments to the Draft Regulatory Guide DG-8057, we strongly advocate for a complete revision of this document with inclusion of outside input form experts in internal and external dosimetry, incorporation of complete list of relevant scientific literature, and comprehensive consultation with nuclear medicine medical community.

Respectfully,

Johannes Czernin, MD

Heinrich Schelbert, MD, PhD

hack hein

Christian Schiepers, MD, PhD

Martin Allen -Auerbach, MD

Andrew Quon, MD

Shadfar Bahri, MD

Aria Razmaria, MD

Meredith Lewis, MD

Daniel Silverman, MD, PhD

Pawan Gupta, MD

Magnus Dahlbom, PhD

Merenie Calais, MD

Artineh Hayrapetian, MD

Linda Gardner, BSN, RN, VA-BC