

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ (1)

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

0 1 | N Y J A F 1 | 2 | 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 | 3 | 4 1 1 1 1 | 4 | _____ | 5
7 8 9 14 15 25 26 30 57 CAT 58

CON'T
0 1 | L | 6 | 0 5 0 0 0 3 3 3 | 7 | 0 5 1 7 7 9 | 8 | 0 6 1 3 7 9 | 9
7 8 60 61 68 69 74 75 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

0 2 | Please See Attachment
0 3 |
0 4 |
0 5 |
0 6 |
0 7 |
0 8 |

0 9 | SYSTEM CODE: A B (11) CAUSE CODE: E (12) CAUSE SUBCODE: E (13) COMPONENT CODE: INSTRU (14) COMP. SUBCODE: I (15) VALVE SUBCODE: Z (16)
17 | LER/RO REPORT NUMBER: 7 9 | EVENT YEAR: 7 9 | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO.: 0 2 8 | OCCURRENCE CODE: 0 3 | REPORT TYPE: L | REVISION NO.: 0
18 | E | 19 | Z | 20 | Z | 21 | Z | 22 | 0 0 0 0 | 23 | Y | 24 | Y | 25 | A | 26 | B 0 8 0
33 34 35 36 37 40 41 42 43 44 47

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

1 0 | Please See Attachment
1 1 |
1 2 |
1 3 |
1 4 |

1 5 | FACILITY STATUS: G (28) % POWER: 0 0 0 (29) OTHER STATUS: NA (30) METHOD OF DISCOVERY: B (31) DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION: Surveillance Test (32)

1 6 | ACTIVITY CONTENT: Z (33) Z (34) AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY: NA (35) LOCATION OF RELEASE: NA (36)

1 7 | PERSONNEL EXPOSURES: 0 0 0 (37) Z (38) DESCRIPTION: NA (39)

1 8 | PERSONNEL INJURIES: 0 0 0 (40) DESCRIPTION: NA (41)

1 9 | LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY: Z (42) DESCRIPTION: NA (43)

2 0 | PUBLICITY: N (44) DESCRIPTION: NA (45) NRC USE ONLY: 7906180567 (68-69)

NAME OF PREPARER: W. Verne Childs PHONE: 315-342-3840

2353 287

POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

DOCKET NO. 50-333

ATTACHMENT TO LER 79-028/03L-0

Page 1 of 1

While in a cold shutdown condition, during the conduct of Instrument Surveillance Procedure F-ISP-76 titled "Fire Protection System -- Carbon Dioxide Instrument Calibration," the level instrument associated with the ten ton carbon dioxide storage system was found out of calibration in a non-conservative manner. The referenced surveillance procedure was being conducted to satisfy the requirements of Technical Specification Appendix A, Table 4.12-2 and the level instrument was found to be indicating greater than the actual tank level. Over the full range of the instrument, the greatest error was the 50% actual level which resulted in an indicated level of 58%.

Since the event occurred during a cold shutdown condition and the actual tank level was approximately 85% compared to a Technical Specification minimum of 45%, the event did not represent any hazard to the public health and safety.

The instrument was immediately recalibrated and tested, utilizing the referenced surveillance procedure, with satisfactory results.

2353 288