TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

CHATTANOOGA. TENNESSEE 37401 500C Chestnut Street Tover II

JUN 11 1979

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director Office of Inspection and Enforcement U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II - Suite 3100 101 Mariatta Street Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2 - DBA MOVEMENTS OF STEEL CONTAINMENT NOT PROPERLY ACCOUNTED FOR IN DESIGN - NCR CEB 79-19 -FIRST INTERLY REPORT

The subject deficiency was initially reported to NRC-OIE Inspector Tom Burdette on May 11, 1979, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.55(e). Enclosed is our first interim report. We expect to submit our next report by October 9, 1979.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please get in touch with D. L. Lambert at FTS 854-2581.

Very truly yours,

J. E. Gilleland Assistant Manager of Power

2340 35

Enclosure

cc: Mr. John G. Davis, Acting Director (Enclosure) Office of Inspection and Enforcement U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

7906200342

An Equal Opportunity Employer

ENCLOSURE

SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2 DBA MOVEMENTS OF STLEL CONTAINMENT NOT PROPERLY ACCOUNTED FOR 1N DESIGN NCR CEB 79-19 10 CFR 50.55(e) FIRST INTERIM REPORT

Description of Deficiency

Piping which penetrates the steel containment vessel (SCV) with rigid penetrations was supported without proper consideration for all lesign basis accident (DBA) movements of the SCV. In the original design the penetrations and their supports, two incorrect assumptions were used for analysis. These assumptions and their consequences are described below.

The first incorrect assumption was that DBA movements of the SCV were assumed to be only in an outward direction from its steady state condition when actually there is movement in both the inward and outward directions. This lack of consideration for all deflections of the SCV has resulted in several supports designed with ipadequate clearance in the unrestrained direction and could result in overstressing the pipe and/or penetrations.

The second incorrect assumption was that the SCV movements would not be severe enough to lock the snubbers. This means less pipe flexibility is in fact available to accommodate deflection than was originally thought which in turn may result in excessive stresses in the piping and penetrations and may also overload the snubbers.

The nonconformance affects 53 penetrations in each unit. The attached table lists 37 affected penetrations which are safety related. The list is identical for units 1 and 2. Eight of those 37 are required for safe shutdown.

Interim Progress

Faced with the necessity to reanalyze, TVA has decided to generate time history movement data for each of the six primary system DBAs at each containment nozzle location. This rigorous analysis will ensure containment inertial as well as displacement effects on pipe are conservatively considered.

2343 36

Reanalysis of all affected piping systems and all resulting hardware modifications will be completed before initial criticality.

The applicability of this deficiency on TVA's other PWRs is being evaluated.

SEQUCYAH NUCLEAR FLANT

Safety Felated Piping Penetrations Affected by NCF CEB 79-19

Penetration Number	System	Pipe Size	Service	Required For Safe Stutdown
16	CVCS (Supply)	3	Normal charging to Regen Hx	No
29	CCS (Disch)	6	R.C. Fump Oil Cooler	NO
35	CCS (Disch)	6	Excess Letdown Hx	Yes
40A	AFW (Supply)	4	Feedwater Bypass	Yes
4 CF	AF% (Supply)	4	Feedwater Bypass	Yes
4 3 A	CVCS (Supply)	2	Sealwater Injection - RC Purp	NO
432	CVCS (Supply)	2	Sealwater Injection - RC Purp	No
43C	CVCS (Supply)	2	Sealwater Injection - RC Pump	NC
43C	CVCS (Supply)	2	Sealwater Injection - RC Purp	No
44	CVCS (Disch)	4	Sealwater Return - RC Pump	No
48A	Containment Spray	12	Spray Header	Yes
483	Containment Spray	12	Spray Header	Yes
49A	RHF Spray	8'	Spray Header	Yes
49E	FHR Spray	8	Spray Header	Yes
50A	CCS (Disch)	3	RC Fump Thermal Barrier	NO
50P	CCS (Supply)	3	RC Fump Thermal Earrier	No
52	CCS (Supply)	6	RCP, CRDM, Lower Cont. Vent Cooler	No
53	CCS (Supply)	6	RCP, CRCM, Lower Cont. Vent Cooler	Yes
50	ERC% (Supply)	6	RCP, CRCM, Lower Cont. Vent Ccoler	No
57	ERCh (Disch)	6	RCF, CREM, Lower Cont. Vent Cooler	NC
58	ERC% (Supply)	6	FCP, CREM, Lower Cont. Vent Cooler	NO
59	EFCk (Disch)	6	FCP, CRDM, Lower Cont. Vent Cooler	NC
60	EPCW (Supply)	ó	RCP, CRDM, Lower Cont. Vent Cooler	No
61	ERCV (Disch)	6	FCP, CRCM, Lower Cont. Vent Cooler	NC
62	EFCk (Supply)	6	RCP, CRDM, Lower Cont. Vent Cooler	NO
63 💍	ERCh (Disch)	6	FCP, CREM, Lower Cont. Vent Ccoler	NC
68	ERCk (Supply)	2	Upper Containment Vent Cccler	No
69 (J)	ERCh (Supply)	2	Upper Containment Vent Cooler	NO
70 01	ERCV (Disch)	2	Upper Containment Vent Ccoler	No
71 🔨	ERCW (Disch)	2	Upper Containment Vent Cooler	No
72	ERCW (Disch)	2	Upper Containment Vent Ccoler	No
73	ERCW (Disch)	2	Upper Containment Vent Cooler	No

1.4

AND DESCRIPTION OF A PROPERTY OF A PROPERTY

SECUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT

Safety Related Piping Penetrations Affected by NCR CEB 79-19

Desuited

Penetration Number	System	Fipe Size	Service	For Safe Shutdown
74	ERCK (Supply)	2	Ugger Containment Vent Cooler	Go
75	ERCh (Supply)	2	Upper Containment Vent Ccoler	No
82	Fuel Focl Cooling	6	From Refueling Cavity	No
83	Fuel Pool Ccoling	4	To Refueling Cavity	NO
1 10	SIS-UHI (Supply)	2	From CVCS Disch to UHI	No

2340 338

.

billing and an entrane