

Marie A. Voss
3810 W. Belmont Ave.
Phoenix, Arizona 85021

May 30, 1979

Director
Division of Technical Information & Document Control
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Sir:

We, the undersigned, read the Draft Environmental Statement regarding construction of Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Plant Station, Units 4 & 5, Arizona Public Service Company, and needless to say are against it. We were against 1, 2, and 3; now two more are being mentioned.

Can you answer us why the plant should be located that close to a population of $1\frac{1}{2}$ million, including Phoenix and suburbs? Why was 2,920 acres of the land purchased for the nuclear generating station land that belonged to relatives of Mr. Keith Turley, President of Arizona Public Service? Am I correct - according to my sources 75% of this site was purchased from his wife's sister and her husband? Do you find the above information as interesting as we do?

Also, why should the customers of APS pay a higher electric rate for Units 4 and 5, which will furnish power to California, Texas, and Nevada? Arizona has no need for further units. Already they plan to generate 43% of the power from 1, 2, and 3 to California, Texas and New Mexico. And of course, we've already had several increases because of 1, 2, and 3.

According to a report done for Arizona Corporation Commission on APS's projections of its future construction expenses and how it will effect our electric rates, there will be a 59% increase in the average price of residential power by the year 1985. This does not include Units 4 & 5. Why should we in this state be expected to pay for other states' nuclear power and taking all the risks for them?

And aren't we doing just that? Until the waste problem is settled the State of California will not allow any more nuclear reactors built. New Mexico and Nevada fortunastely do not have any plants. We not only have the chance of a Three Mile Island occurrence, or worse accident from 3 reactors; now APS wants to make 5 such happenings possible. What about our air - it will be full of toxic and harmful chemicals. Won't these be damaging to the population in the future?

2345 326

7906200178

COOZ
ES/10

Division of Technical Information & Document Control
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
May 30, 1979

Page 2

What about the BIG problem of nuclear wastes - won't that cause a lot more radiation in this area? I heard Congressman Morris Udall say each state will have to be responsible for its own waste. Also Arizona was recently opened up as the storage place for radioactive waste materials, which means we could eventually become the 'dumping ground' for not only our own but other states as well. Why should one company be allowed to cause so many possible future problems for so many people? What a legacy to leave our children!

We do not approve Units 4 & 5. Isn't it true no more nuclear plants can be approved for six months? We certainly hope this is the case.

Yours truly,

Marie A. Voss
Ronald A. Voss
Marie A. Voss

MAV

Donna M. Voss (age 22)
Rodney J. Redemacher (26)

2345 527

2345 328

M.A.Voss
3810 W. Belmont Ave.
Phoenix, AZ 85021



~~Robert Carter~~

~~6209~~

~~Ben Hawley
DSC~~

Director
Division of Technical Information & Document Control
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555