



COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-237

DRESDEN NUCLEAR POWER STATION UNIT NO. 2

AMENDMENT TO PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 43 License No. DPR-19

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by the Commonwealth Edison Company (the licensee) dated January 15, 1979, as supplemented March 2, 1979, April 6, 1979, April 12, 1979 and April 20, 1979, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

- Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment and paragraphs 3.B and 3.F of Provisional Operating License No. DPR-19 are hereby amended to read as follows:
 - B. Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 43, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

F. Restrictions

۴

Reactor power level shall be limited to maintain pressure margin to the safety valve setpoints during the worst case pressurization transient. The magnitude of the power limitation, if any, and the point in the cycle at which it shall be applied is specified in the Reload No. 4 licensing submittal for Dresden Unit 2 (NEDO-24160). Subsequent operation in the coastdown mode to 70% rated power is permitted based on the Generic Reload Fuel Application (NEDE-24011). Plant operation in the coastdown mode from 70% to 40% rated power shall be limited to the operating plan described in NEDO-24034 (Dresden 2 Reload No. 3) using full recirculation flow.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

abert Sumencen /pr

Richard H. Vollmer, Assistant Director for Systems & Projects Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment: Changes to the Technical Specifications

Date of Issuance: April 24, 1979

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 43

PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-19

DOCKET NO. 50-237

Revise Appendix A Technical Specifications by removing the following pages and by inserting the enclosed pages. The revised pages contain the captioned amendment number and marginal lines indicating the area of change.

REMOVE PAGES	INSERT PAGES
E	5
5	6
7	7
9	8
9	9
10	10
11	11
12	12
16	16
18	18
19	19
24	24
38	38
40	40
42	42
46	46
48	48
49	49
57	5/
57A	57 A
62	620
62A	62B
628	63
03	810
010	810-1
810-2	81C-2
810	81D
82	82
85A	85A
90	90
125	125

1.1 SAPETY LIMIT	2.1 LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTI		
1.1 FUEL CLADDING INTEGRITY <u>Applicability</u> The Safety Limits established to preserve the fuel cladding integrity apply to those variables which monitor the fuel thermal behavior.	2.1 FUEL CLADDING INTEGRITY Applicability The Limiting Safety System Settings apply to trip settings of the instruments and devices which are provided to prevent the fuel cladding integrity Safety Limits from being exceeded.		
Objective The objective of the Safety Limits is to establish limits below which the integrity of the fuel cladding is preserved.	Objective The objective of the Limiting Safety System Settings is to define the level of the process variables at which automatic protective action is initiated to prevent the fuel cladding integrity Safety Limits from being exceeded.		
Specifications A. <u>Reactor Pressure >800 psig and Core</u> <u>Flow >10% of Rated</u> The existence of a minimum critical	A. <u>Neutron Flux Trip Settings</u> The limiting safety system trip settings shall be as specified		

power ratio (MCPR) less than 1.07 shall constitute violation of the fuel cladding integrity safety limit.

Amendment No. 21, 43

5

below:

1.1 SAFETY LIMIT	2.1 LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTING
	1. <u>APRM Flux Scram Trip Setting (Run Mode)</u> When the reactor mode switch is in the run position, the APRM flux scram setting shall be: $S \leq [.65W + 55] [LTPF]$
	with a maxium set point of 120% for core flow equal to 98 x 10 ⁶ lb/hr and greater. where:
	W - percent of drive flow required to produce a rated core flow of 98 Mlb/hr.
	T'F - LTPF unless the combination of power and peak LHGR is above the curve in Figure 2.1-2 at which point the actual peaking factor value shall be used.
	LTPF = 3.05 (7x7 fuel assemblies) 3.01 (8x8 fuel assemblies) 2.98 (8x8 R fuel assemblies)
	2. APRM Flux Scram Trip Setting (Refuel or Startup and Hot Standby Mode)
	When the reactor mode switch is in the refuel or startup/hot standby position, the APRM scram shall be set at less than or equal to 15% of rated neutron flux.
	6

1.1 SAFETY LIMIT

B. <u>Core Thermal Power Limit (Reactor</u> Pressure ≤ 800 psig)

When the reactor pressure is ≤ 800 psig or core flow is less than 10% of rated, the core thermal power shall not exceed 25% of rated thermal power.

C. Power Transient

- The neutron flux shall not exceed the scram setting established in Specification 2.1.A for longer than 1.5 seconds as indicated by the process computer.
- 2. When the process computer is out of service, this safety limit shall be assumed to be exceeded if the neutron flux exceeds the scram setting established by Specification 2.1.A and a control rod scram does not occur.

D. Reactor Water Level (Shutdown Condition)

Whenever the reactor is in the shutdown condition with irradiated fuel in the reactor vessel, the water level shall not be less than that corresponding to 12 inches above the top of the active fuel when it is seated in the core.*

*Top of active fuel is defined to be 360" above vessel zero. (see Bases 3.2)

2.1 LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTING

3. IRM Flux Scram Trip Setting

The IRM flux scram setting shall be set at less than or equal to 120/125 of full scale.

B. APRM Rod Block Setting

The APPM rod block setting shall be:

$$s \leq [.65W + 43] \left[\frac{LTPF}{TPF} \right]$$

The definitions used above for the APRM scram trip apply.

1.1 SAFETY LIMIT	2.1 LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTING
	C. Reactor low water level scram setting shall be ≥ 144 " above the top of the active fuel at normal operating conditions.*
	D. Reactor low water level ECCS initiation shall be 84° ($\pm \frac{4}{2}^{\circ}_{\circ}$) above the top of the active fuel at normal operating conditions.*
	E. Turbine stop valve scram shall be ∠10% valve closure from full open.
	F. Generator Load Rejection Scram shall initiate upon actuation of the fast closure solenoid valves which trip the turbine control valves.
	G. Main Steamline Isolation Valve Closure Scram shall be <10% valve closure from full open.
	 H. Main Steamline Pressure initiation of main steamline isolation valve closure shall be Z 850 psig.
	 Turbine Control Valve Closur: Scram on loss of control oil pressure shall be set at greater than or equal to 900 psig.
	*Top of active fuel is defined to be 360" above vessel zero. (See Bases 3.2)
	8
	Amendment No. 21, 43



Amendment No. 26, 43

1.1 SAFETY LIMIT BASES

FUEL CLADDING INTEGRITY

The fuel cladding integrity limit is set such that no calculated fuel damage would occur as a result of an abnormal operational transient. Because fuel damage is not directly observable, a step-back app.oach is used to establish a Safety Limit such that the minimum critical power ratio (MCPR) is no less than 1.07. MCPR>1.07 represents a conservative margin relative to the conditions required to maintain fuel cladding integrity.

The fuel cladding is one of the physical barriers which separate radioactive materials from the environs. The integrity of this cladding barrier is related to its relative freedom from perforations or cracking. Although some corrosion or use related cracking may occur during the life of the cladding, fission product migration from this source is incrementally cumulative and continuously measurable. Fuel cladding perforations, however, can result from thermal stresses which occur from reactor operation significantly above design conditions and the protection system safety settings. While fission product migration from cladding perforation is just as measurable as that from use related cracking, the thermally caused cladding perforations signal a threshold, beyond which still greater thermal stresses may cause gross rather than incremental cladding deterioration. Therefore, the fuel cladding Safety Limit is defined with margin to the conditions which would produce onset of transition

boiling, (MCPR of 1.0). These conditions represent a significant departure from the condition intended by design for planned operation.

A. <u>Reactor Pressure 7800 psig and Core</u> Flow 710% of Rated

Onset of transition boiling results in a decrease in heat transfer from the clad and, therefore, elevated clad temperature and the possibility of clad failure. However, the existence of critical power, or boiling transition, is not a directly observable parameter in an operating reactor. Therefore, the margin to boiling transition is calculated from plant operating parameters such as core power, core flow, feedwater temperature, and core power distribution. The margin for each fuel assembly is characterized by the critical power ration (CPR) which is the ratio of the bundle power which would produce onset of transition boiling divided by the actual bundle power. The minimum value of this ratio for any bundle in the core is the minimum critical power ratio (MCPR). It is assumed that the plant operation is controlled to the nominal protective setpoints via the instrumented variables. (Figure 2.1-3).

1.1 SAFETY LIMIT BASES

1.1.A Reactor Pressure >800 psig and Core Flow >10% of Rated (Cont'd)

The Safety Limit (MCPR of 1.07) has sufficient conservatism to assure that in the event of an abnormal operational transient initiated from a normal operating condition more than 99.9% of the fuel rods in the core are expected to avoid boiling transition. The margin between MCPR of 1.0 (onset of transition boiling) and the safety limit, 1.07, is derived from a detailed statistical analysis considering all of the uncertainties in monitoring the core operating state including uncertainty in the boiling transition correlation. See e.g. Reference (1)

Because the boiling transition correlation is based on a large quantity of full scale data, there is a very high confidence that operation of a fuel assembly at the condition of MCPR = 1.07 would not produce boiling transition.

However, if boiling transition were to occur, clad perforation would not be expected. Cladding temperatures would increase to approximately 1100°F which is below the perforation temperature of the cladding material. This has been verified by tests in the General Electric Test Reactor (GETR) where similar fuel operated above the critical heat flux for a significant period of time (30 minutes) without clad perforation.

If reactor pressure should ever exceed 1400 psig during normal power operation (the limit of applicability of the boiling transition correlation), it would be assumed that the fuel cladding integrity Safety Limit has been violated.

In addition to the boiling transition limit (MCPR), operation is constrained to a maximum LHGR - 17.5 kw/ft for 7x 7 fuel and 13.4 kw/ft for 8 x 8 and 8 x 8R fuel. This constraint is established by specifications 2.1.A.1 and 3.5.J. Specification 2.1.A.1 established limiting total peaking factors (LTPF) which constrain LHGR's to the maximum values at 100% power and established procedures for adjusting APRM scram settings which maintain equivalent safety margins when the total peak factor (TPF) exceeds the LTPF. Specification 3.5.J established the LHGR max. which cannot be exceeded under steady power operation.

(1) NEDO-20694, "General Electric Boiling Water Reactor Reload No. 3 Licensing Submittal for Dresden Nuclear Power Station Unit 3."

Safety Limit Bases (cont'd)

B. Core Thermal Power Limit (Reactor Pressure < 800 psia)

which will not allow the reactor to

be operated above the safety limit

critical power at this flow is approxi-mately 3.35 Mat. At 25% of mated powers and flows will always be greater Independent of bundle power and his a value of 3.5 ps1. Thus, the bundle flox with a 4.56 ps1 dr1ving head will be greater than 26x103 lbs/hr. Full scale ATLAS test data taken at 3.8% times the everage powered bundle in order to achieve this bundle power Since the pressure drop in the bypass pressures from 14.7 pala to 600 pala thermal power, the peak powered bunthan 4.56 pat. Analyses show that with a flow of 28x103 lbs/br. bundle flow, bundle pressure drop is nearly Thus, a core thermal power limit of 25% for reactor pressure's below 800 region is essentially all elevation power, O flow) is greater than 4.56 pc1. At low powers and flows this head, the core pressure drop at low pressure differential is maintained die sould have to be operating at in the bypaus region of the core. indicate that the fuel assembly the core elevation pressure drop (O At pressures below 800 ps1a, psia 1s conservative.

C. Power Transient

During transfert operation the heat flux (thermal power-to-water) would lag behind the neutron flux due to the inherent heat transfer time constant of the fuel which is 8-9 seconds. Also, the limiting which is 8-9 seconds. Also, the limiting

a failure of the control rods to reduce within 1.5 seconds does not necessarily -pecoxa neutron flux serom setting is exceeded for this specification a safety limit other plant operating situations which each refueling outage and at least every 32 weeks 50% are checked to as-sume adequate insertion times. Exceed imply that fuel is dumnged; however, addition, control rod scrams are such times of each control rod are checked violation will be assumed any time a ing a neutron flux serum cetting and that for normal operating transfects the neutron flux transfent is termiflux to less than the screm setting inted pefore a significant increase in surface heat flux occurs. Serem Th during normal operation or during have been analyzed in detail. for longer than 1.5 seconds.

If the scram occurs such that the neutron flux dwell time above the limiting sufety system setting is less than ing sufety system setting is less than if seconds, the safety limit will $h_{\rm u}c$ be exceeded for normal turbine or generator trips, which are the most severe normal operating transfents expected. These analyses thos, that even if the bypass system fails to operate, the bypass system fails to operate, the bypass system fails to operate, the bypass aystem fails to operate, the bypass aystem fails to operate, the bypass aystem fails use of a 1.5 second limit provides additional margin.

Amendment No. 21, 43

2.1.A. Neutron Flux Trip Setting

3. IEM Flux Scram Trip Setting (cont'd)

The IRM screm trip setting of 120 divisions is active in each range of the IRM. For example, if the instrument were on range 1, the scram setting would be a 120 divisions for that range; likewise, if the instrument were on range 5, the scram would be 120 divisions on that range. Thus, as the IRM is ranged up to accomodate the increase in power level, the scram trip setting is also ranged up.

The most significant sources of reactivity change during the power increase are due to control rod withdrawal. In order to ensure that the IRM provided adequate protection against the single rod withdrawal error, a range of rod withdrawal accidents was analyzed. This analysis included starting the accident at various power levels. The most severe case involves an initial condition in which the reactor is just subcritical and the IRM system is not yet on scale.

Additional conservation was taken in this analysis of assuming that the IRM channel closest to the withdrawn rod is bypassed. The results of this analysis show that the reactor is scrammed and peak power limited to one percent of rated power, thus maintaining MCFR above 1.07. Based on the above analysis, the IRM provides protection against local control rod withdrawal errors and continous withdrawal of control rods in sequence and provides protection for the AFRM.

2.1.B APRM Roj Block Trip Setting

Reactor power level may be varied by moving control rods or by varying the recirculation flow rate. The APRM system provides a control red block to prevent rod withdrawal beyond a given point at constant recirculation flow rate to protect against the conditionof a MCPH less then 1.07. This rod block trip setting, which is automatically varied with recirculation loop flow rate, prevents an increase in the reactor power level to excessive values due to control rod withdrawal. The flow variable trip setting provides substantial margin from fuel demage, accuming a steady-state operation at the trip setting, over the entire recirculation flow range. The margin to the Safety Limit increases as the flow decreases for the specified trip setting versus flow relationship; therefore the worst case MCPR which could occur during steady-state operation is at 106% of rated thermal power because of the AFRM rod block trip setting. The actual power distribution in the core is established by specified control red sequences and is monitored continuously by the in-core LPRM system. As with the APRM screm trip setting. the APRN rod block trip setting is adjusted downword if the maximum total peaking factor exceeds the limiting total peaking factor, thus preserving the Affin red block safety margin.

- E. <u>Turbine Step Valve Scran</u> The turbine stop valve closure scran trip enticipates the pressure, neutron flux and heat flux increase that could result from repid closurs of the turbine stop valves. With a scran trip setting of 10 percent of valve closure from full open, the resultant increase in surface heat flux is limited such that MCPR remains above 1.07 even during the worst case transient that essures the turbine bypace is closed.
 - F. Generator Loud Rejection Scram The generator load rejection scram is provided to anticipate the rapid increase in pressure and neutron flux resulting from fast closure of the turbine control valves due to a load rejection and subsequent feilure of the bypass; i.e., it prevents NOFR from becoming less than ' 07 for this transient. For the load rejection from 1005 power, the LHSR increases to only 106.55 of its rated value Which results in only a small decrease in NOFR.

Polos Oslos -

- G. <u>Reactor Coolant Low Pressure Initiates Main Steam</u> <u>Isolation Valve Closure</u> - The low pressure isolation at 850 psig was provided to give protection against fast reactor depressurization and the resulting rapid cooldown of the vessel. Advantage was taken of the scram feature which occurs when the main steam line isolation valves are closed to provide for reactor shutdown so that operation at pressures lower than those specified in the thermal hydraulic safety limit does not occur, although operation at a pressure lower than 850 psig would not necessarily constitute an unsafe condition.
- H. Main Steam Line Isolation Valve Closure Scram The low pressure isolation of the main steam lines at 850 psig was provided to give protection against rapid reactor depressurization and the resulting rapid cooldown of the vessel. Advantage was taken of the scram feature which occurs when the main steam line isolation valves are closed, to provide for reactor shutdown so that high power operation at lew reactor pressure does not occur, thus providing protection for the fuel cladding integrity safety limit. Operation of the reactor at pressures lower than 850 psig requires that the reactor mode switch be in the startup position where protection of the fuel cladding integrity safety limit is provided by the IRM high neutron flux scram. Thus, the combination of main steam line low pressure isolation and isolation valve closure scram assures the availability of neutron flux scram protection over the entire range of applicability of the fuel cladding integrity safety limit. In addition, the isolation valve closure scram anticipates the pressure and flux transients which occur during normal or inadvertent isolation valve closure. With the scrams set at 10% valve closure there is no increase in neutron flux.

1.2 SAFETY LIMIT

1.2 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

Applicability:

Applies to limits on reactor coolant system pressure.

Objective:

To establish a limit below which the Integrity of the reactor coolant system is not threatened due to an overpressure condition.

Specification:

The reactor coolant system pressure shall not exceed 1325 psig at any time when irradiated fuel is present in the reactor vessel.



2.2 LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTING

2.2 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM.

· Applicability:

Applies to trip settings of the instruments and devices which are provided to prevent the reactor system safety limits from being exceeded.

Objective:

To define the level of the process variables at which automatic protective action is initiated to prevent the safety limits from being exceeded.

Specification:

- A. Reactor Coolant High Pressure Scram shall be ≤1060 psig.
- B. Primary System Safety Valve Nominal Settings shall be as follows:

1 valve at 1115 psig* 2 valves at 1240 psig 2 valves at 1250 psig 2 valves at 1260 psig 2 valves at 1260 psig

The allowable setpoint error for each valve shall be $\pm 1\%$.

*Target Rock combination safety/relief valve

TABLE 3.1.1 (cont)

Notes:

 *

- 1. There shall be two operable or tripped trip systems for each function.
- 2. Permissible to bypess, with control rod block, for reactor protection system reset in refuel and shutdown positions of the reactor mode switch.
- 3 Permissible to bypass when reactor pressure is < 000 psig.
- 4. Permissible to bypass when first cage turbine pressure is less than that which corresponds to 45% rated steam flow.
- 5. IRM's are bypassed when APRM's are onscale and the reactor mode switch is in the run position.
- c. The design permits closure of any one valve without a scram being initiated.
- When the reactor is subcritical and the reactor water temperature is less ti-n 2120F, only the following trip functions need to be operable:
 - a. Mode Switch in Shutdown
 - b. Manual Sciam
 - c. High Flux IRM
 - d. Setum Ducharge Volume High Level
- 8. Not required to be operable when primary containment integrity is not required.
- 2. Not required while performing low power physics tests at atmospheric pressure during or after refueling at power levels not to exceed 5 MW(r).
- 10. May be bipassed when necessary during purging for containment inerting or deinerting.
- 11. Not required to be operable when the reactor pressure vessel head is not bolted to the vessel.
- 12. The APAM consucale trip function is automatically bypassed when the reactor move switch is in the refuel and startup/hot standby politions.
- 13. The APKM downscule trip function is automatically by passed when the IRM instrumentation is operable and not high.
- 14. The APRY 15% scram is bypassed in the run mode.
 - . If the first column cannot be niet for one of the trip systems, that trip system shall be tripped.
 - If the first column cannot be met for both trip systems, the appropriote actions listed below shall be taken: A. Instructe insertion of operable rocs and complete insertion of all operable rods within four hours.
 - 8. Reduce power level to IRM range and place mode switch in the start op/Hot Standby position within 8 hours.
 - C. Reduce turbine lose she slore main steamline bolation valves within a hours.
 - ** An APPEN will be considered inoperable if there are less than 2 CPRM inputs per level or there are less than 34% of the normal complement of LPRM's to an APRM.
- ... I such on the water level instrumentation is 2 504" above vessel 0 (See Bases 3.2).
- Trips upon actuation of the fast closure solenoid which trips the turbine control valves.

Change No. 14 Amendment No. 43

Ainimum No. of perable Inst. Channels per Trip System (1)	Instruments	Trip Level Setting	Action (3)
2 2 2 (2) 2 of 4 in each of 4 sets 2	Reactor Low Water Reactor Low Low Water High drywell pressure High Flow Main Steam line High Temperature Main Steam Line Tunnel High Radiation Main Steam Line Tunnel (6)	<pre>>144" above top of active fuel * .> 84"above top of active fuel * .> 84"above top of active fuel * .> 2 psig rated (4), (5) .> 120% of rated steam flow .> 200°F .> 3 times normal rated power back</pre>	A A B B B B B
2 1 1 2 4	Low Pressure Main Steamine High Flow Isolation Condenser Line Steamline Side Condensate Return Side High Flow HPCI Steam Line High Temperature HPCI Steam Line Area	<pre>≤ 20 psi diff. on steamline side ≤ 32" water diff. on condensate</pre>	C C D D

INSTRUMENTATION THAT INITIATES PRIMARY CONTAINMENT ISOLATION FUNCTIONS

TABLE 3.2.1

Notes:

Whenever primary containment integrity is required, there shall be two operable or tripped trip systems for each function, except for low pressure main 1. steamline which only need be available in the RUN position.

2. Per each steamline.

Action: If the first column cannot be met for one of the trip systems, that trip system shall be tripped. 3

*Top of active fuel is defined as 360" above vessel zero for all water levels used in the LOCA Analysis (See Bases 3.2).

Change No. 28 Amendment No. 43

TABLE 3.2.2

INSTRUMENTATION THAT INITIATES OR CONTROLS THE CORE AND CONTAINMENT COOLING SYSTEMS

Min. No. of Operable Inst. Channels per Trip System (1)	Trip Function	Trip Level Setting	Remarks	
2	Reactor Low Low Water Level	84" (⁺⁴ " ₋₀ ") above top of active fuel *	 In conjunction with low reactor pressure initiates core spray and LPCI. In conjunction with high dry-well pressure 120 sec. time delay, and low pressure core cooling interlock initiates auto blowdown. Initiates HPCI and SBGTS. Initiates starting of diesel generators. 	
2	High Drywell Pressure (2), (3)	≤ 2 psig	 Initiates core spray, LPCI, HPCI, and SBGTS. In conjunction with low low water level, 120 sec. time delay, and low pressure core cooling inter- lock initiates auto blowdown. Initiates starting of diesel generators. 	
1	Reactor Low Pressure	300 psig≤p≲350 psig	 Permissive for opening core spray and LPCI admission valves. In conjunction with low low reactor water level ini- ates core spray and LPCI. 	
1(4) 2(4)	Containment Spray Interlock 2/3 Core Height Containment High Pressure	≥2/3 core height 0.5 psig≤p≤1.5 psig	Prevents inadvertent operation of containment spray during accident conditions.	
1	Timer Auto Blowdown	≤120 seconds	Li conjunction with low-low reactor water level, high dry-well pressure, and low pressure core cooling inter- lock initiates auto blowdown.	

*Top of active fuel is defined as 360" above vessel zero for all water levels used in the LOCA analysis (See Bases 3.2).

40

Amendment No. 43

INSTRUMENTATION THAT INITIATES ROD BLOCK

Table 3.2.3

Minigum No. of		
Coorable Inst.		
Channels Per		and a secol Coholes
Trib System(1)	Instrument	TFID Level Secting
-	APRM upscale (flow bies) (7)	4[.65% + 43] [=1.1.] (2)
* 1	APRN upscale (refuel and Startup/Hot Standby mode)	≤12/125 full coale
2	APRA downscale (7)	≥ 3/125 5011 5001
1	Red block monitor upreals (flow bias) (7)	2 [.65x + 42] (2)
l	Rod block monitor downscale (7)	≥ 5/125 full scale
3	IRN downscale (3)	≥5/125 full scale
3	IRM upscale	<103/125 full scale
* 3	IRM detector not fully inserted in the core	
2(5)	SRM detector not in startup position	(4)
2(5)(6)	SRM upscale	≤10 ⁵ counts/sec

POOR ORIGINAL

42

10

Amendment No. 43

Bases:

3.2 In addition to reactor protection instrumentation which initiates a reactor scram, protective instrumentation has been provided which initiates action to mitigate the consequences of accidents which are The of the government beyond the operators ability to control, or terminates operator errors before they result in serious consequences. This set of Specifications provides the limiting conditions of operation for the primary system isolation function, initiation of the emergency core cooling system, control rod block and standby gas treatment systems. The objectives of the specifications are (i) to assure the effectiveness of the protective instrumentation when required by preserving its capability to tolerate a single failure of any component of such systems even during periods when portions of such systems are out of service for maintenance, and (ii) to prescribe the trip settings required to assure adequate performance. When necessary, one channel may be made inoperable for brief intervals to conduct required functional tests and calibrations.

> Some of the settings on the instrumentation that initiates or controls core and containment cooling have tolerances explicitly stated where the high and low values are both critical and may have a substantial effect on safety. It should be noted that the setpoints of other instrumentation, where only the high or low end of the setting has a direct bearing on safety, are chosen at a level away from the normal operating range to prevent inadvertent actuation of the safety system involved and exposure to abnormal situations.

Isolation values are installed in those lines that penetrate the primary containment and must be isolated during a loss of coolant accident so that the radiation dose limits are not exceeded during an accident condition. Actuation of these values is initiated by protective instrumentation shown in

Table 3.2.1 which senses the conditions for which isolation is required. Such instrumentation must be available whenever primary containment integrity is required. The objective is to isolate the primary containment so that the guidelines of 10 CFR 100 are not exceeded during an accident. The instrumentation which infinite primary system isolation is connected in a dual bus arrangement. Thus, the discussion given in the bases for Specification 3.1 is applicable here.

The low mater level instrumentation is set to trip when reactor water level is 504" (1" on the instrument) above vessel zero. 504" above vessel zero corresponds to 144" above the top of active fuel for types 7 x7, 7 x 7R and 8 x30 fuel. However, since fuel type 8 x 8 DRB (8 x 8 retrofit) has an active fuel length which is 1.24" longer than 7 x 7, 7 x 7R and 8 x 8D, and the actual water levels for the ecrus and ECCS initiation in the LOCA analysis remained unchanged, the top of active fuel for all t chnics! Specification and licensing analysis purposes is defined as 360" above vessel zero. (it should be noted that the level referenced to the top of active fuel is not meaningful in the analysis, since the level referenced to vessel zero, which is used in the (MEDO-24146) LOCA analyis, has remained unchanged), This

trip initiates closure of Group 2, and 3 primary containment isolation valves but does not trip the recirculation pumps. Ref. Section 7.7.2.2 SAR. For a trip setting of **504**" **above vessel zero**, and a 60 second valve closure time the valves will be closed before perforation of the clad occurs even for the maximum break and therefore the setting is adequate.

The low low reactor water level instrumentation is set to trip when reactor water level is 444" above (-59" on the instrument). This vessel zero trip initiates closure of Group 1 primary containment isolation valves, Ref. Section 7.7.2.2 SAR, and also activates the ECC subsystems, starts the emergency diesel generator and trips the recirculation pumps. This trip setting level was chosen to be high enough to prevent spurious operation but low enough to initiate ECCS operation and primary system isolation so that no melting of the fuel cladding will occur and so that post accident cooling can be accomplished and the guidelines of 10 CFR 100 will not be violated. For the complete circumferential break of a 28-inch recirculation line and with the trip setting given above, ECCS initiation and primary system isolation are initiated in time to meet the above criteria. Ref. Two sensors on the isolation condenser supply and return lines are provided to detect the failure of isolation condenser line and actuate isolation action. The sensors on the supply and return sides are arranged in a 1 out of 2 logic and, to meet the single failure criteria, all sensors and instrumentation are required to be operable. The trip settings of 20 psig and 32" of water and valve closure time are such as to prevent uncovering the core or exceeding site limits. The sensors will actuate due to high flow in either direction.

The HPCI high flow and temperature instrumentation are provided to detect a break in the HPCI piping. Tripping of this instrumentation results in actuation of HPCI isolation valves; i.e., Group 4 valves. Tripping logic for this function is the same as that for the isolation condenser and thus all sensors are required to be operable to meet the single failure criteria. The trip settings of 200°F and 300% of design flow and valve closure time are such that core uncovery is prevented and fission product release is within limits.

The instrumentation which initiates ECCS action is arranged in a dual bus system. As for other vital instumentation arranged in this fashion the Specification preserves the effectiveness of the system even during periods when maintenance or testing is being performed.

The control rod block functions are provided to prevent excessive control rod withdrewal so that MGTR does not approach 1.07. The trip logic for this function is 1 out of n; e.g., any trip on one of the six APRN's, 8 IRN's, or 4 SRM's will result in a rod block. The minimum instrument channel requirements assure sufficient instrumentation to assure the single failure criteria are met. The minimum instrument channel requirements for the RBM may be reduced by one for at short period of time to allow for maintenance, testing, or calibration. This time period is only ~32 of the operating time in a conth and does not significantly increase the risk of preventing an inadvertent control rod withdrawal.

The APRH rod block function is flow biased and prevents a significant reduction in MCPR especially during operation at reduced flow. The APRM provides gross core protection; i.e., limits the gross core control rods in the normal withdrawal sequence. The trips are set so that MCPR is maintained greater than 1.07.

The AFES rod block function which is set at. 121 of rated power is functional in the refuel and Startup/Rot Stendby mode. This control rod block provides the same type of protection in the Partiel and Startup/Rot Standby mode as the AFES flow blaced rod block does in the run mode; i.e., it prevents MCPR from decreasing below 1.07 during control rod withdrawals and prevents control rod withdrawals and prevents control rod withdrawals before a ocrea is reached.

The EEM red block function provides local protection of the core, i.e., the prevention of transition boiling in a local rasion of the core, for a pingle rol withdrawal error from a limiting control rod pattern. The trip point is flow blased. The worst case single control rod withdrawal error has been enalyzed and the results show that with the specified trip mettings rod withdrawal is blocked before the HCPM reaches 1.07 thus allowing edequate margin.

Below 30 percent power, the worst case withinstal of a single control rod results in a MCPN creater than 1.07 without rod block action. Thus, below this power level it is not required. The IRN rod block function provides local an well an gross core protection. The scaling arrangement is such that trip setting is less than a factor of 10 above the indicated level. Analysis of the werst case accident results in rod block action before NCPR approaches 1.07.

A dewnscale indication on an APRM or IRM is an indication the instrument has failed or the instrument is not sensitive enough. In either case the instrument will not respond to changes in control red motion and thus control red motion is prevented. The downscale trips are set at 5/125 of full scale.

* The rod block which occurs when the IRM detectors are not fully inserted in the core for the refuel and startup/hot standby position of the mode switch has been provided to assure that these detectors are in the core during reactor startup. This, therefore, assures that these instruments are in proper position to provide protection during reactor startup. The IRM's primarily provide protection against local reactivity effects in the source and intermediate neutron range.

For effective emergency core cooling for small pipe breaks, the HPCI system must function since reactor pressure does not decrease rapidly enough to allow either core spray or LPCI to operate in time. The automatic pressure relief function is provided as a back-up to the HPCI in the event the HPCI does not operate. The arrangement of the tripping contacts is such as to provide this function when neccasary and minimize operation. The trip settings given in the specification are adcounte to assure the above criteria are met. Ret. Section 6.2.6.3 SAR. The specification preserves the effectiveness of the system during periods of maintenance, testing, or calibration, and also minimizes the risk of inadvertent operation; i.e., only one instrument channel out of service.

Two air ejector off-gas monitors are provided and when their trip point is reached, cause an isolation of the air ejector off-gas line. Isolation is initiated when both instruments reach their high trip point or one has an upscale trip and the other a downscale trip. There is a fifteen minute delay before the air ejector off-gas isolation value is closed. This delay is accounted for by the 30-minute holdup time of the off-gas before it is released to the stack.

Both instruments are required for trip but the instruments are so designed that any instrument failure gives a downceale trip. The trip settings of the instruments are set so that the instantanceous stack release rate limit given in Specification 3.3 is not exceeded.

Four radiation monitors are provided which initiate isolation of the reactor building and operation of the standby gas treatment system. The monitors are located in the reactor building ventilation duct and on the refueling floor. The trip logic is a 1 out of 2 for each set and each set can initiate a trip independent of the other set. Any upscale trip will cause the desired action. Trip settings of 11 mr/hr for the monitors in the ventilation duct are based upon initiation normal ventilation isolation and standby gas breatment system operation to limit the done

3.3 LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

- 3. (a) Control rod withdrawal sequences shall be established so that maximum reactivity that could be added by dropout of any increment of any one control blade would not result in a peak fuel enthalpy in excess of 280 cal/cm.
 - (b) Whenever the reactor is in the startup or run mode below 20% rated thermal power, the Rod Worth Minimizer shall be operable. A second operator or qualified technical person may be used as a substitute for an inoperable Rod Worth Minimizer which fails efter withdrawal of at least 12 control rods to the fully withdrawn position. The Rod Worth Minimizer may also be bypassed for low power physics testing to demonstrate the shutdown margin requirements of specifications 3.3.A.1 if a nuclear engineer is present and verifies the step-by-step rod movements of the test procedure.

4.3 SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

- (a) To consider the rod worth minimizer operable, the following steps must be performed:
 - (i) The control rol withdrawal sequence for the rod worth minimizer computer shall be verified as correct.
 - (ii) The rod worth minimizer computer on-line diagnositc test shall be successfully completed.
 - (111) Proper annunciation of the select error of at least one out-of-sequence control rod in each fully inserted group shall be verified.
 - (iv) The rod block function of the rod worth minimizer shall be verified by attempting to withdraw an outof-sequence control rod beyond the block point.
 - (b) If the rod worth minizer is inoperable while the reactor is in the startup or run mode below 20% rated thermal power and a second independent operator or engineer is being used, he shall verify that all rod positions are correct prior to commencing withdrawal of each rod group.

3.3 LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

- 4. Control rod shall not be withdrawn for startup or refueling unless at least two source range channels have an observed count rate equal to or greater than three counts per second.
- During operating with limiting control rod patterns, as determined by the nuclear engineer, either:
 - a. both RBM channels shall be operable; or
 - b. Control rod withdrawal shall be blocked; or
 - c. The operating power level shall be limited so the the MCFR will remain above 1.07 accuming a single error that results in complete withdrawal of any single operable control red.

4.3 SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

- Prior to control rod withdrawal for startup or during refueling verify that at least two source range channels have been observed count rate of at least three counts per second.
- 5. When a limiting control rod pattern exists, an instrument functional test of the RBM shall be performed prior to withdrawal of the designated rod(s) and daily thereafter.



D5 indicative of a generic control rol drive problem and the reactor will be shutdown. Aise if damage within the control red dr.ye mechanism and in particular, clacks in drive internal housings, connot be ruled out, then a generic problem affecting a number of drives cannot be ruled out. Circumferential cracks resulting from stress assisted intergranular corresion have occurred in the coller housing of drives at several BWRs. This type of cracking could occur in a number of drives and if the cracks propagated until severance of the collet housing occurred, scram could be prevented in the affected rods. Limiting the period of operation with a potentially severed collet housing and requiring increased surveillance after detecting one stuck rod will assure that the reactor will not be operated with a large number of rods with failed collet housings.

- E. Control Sod Withdrawel
 - 1. Control rod dropout accidents as discussed in the SAR can lead to significant core camige. If coupling integrity is minimized, the possibility of a rod dropout accident is climinated. The overtravel position feature provides a positive check as only uncoupled dri es may reach this position. Bautron instrumentation response to rod movement provides a verification that the rod is following its drive. Absence of such response to drive movement would provide cause for suspecting a rod to be uncoupled and stuck. Restricting recoupling verifications to power levels above 20% provides assurance that a rod drop during a recoupling verification would not result in a rod drop accident more severe than analyzed.
 - The control rod housing support restricts the outward movement of a control rod to less than 3 inches in the extremely remote event of a housing failure. The amount of reactivity which could be added by this

small amount of rod withdrawal, which is less than a normal single withdrawal increment, will not contribute to any damage to the primary coolant system. The design basis is given in Section 6.6.1 of the SAR, and the design evaluation is given in Section 6.6.3. This support is not required if the reactor coolant system is at atmospheric pressure since there would then be no driving force to racidly eject a drive housing. Additionally, the support is not required if all control rods are fully inserted and if an adequate shutdown margin with one control rod withdrawn has been deponstrated since the reactor would remain subcritical even in the event of complete ejection of the strongest control rod.

3. Control rod withdrawal and insertion sequences are established to assure that the maximum insequence individual control rod or control rod segments which are withdrawn could not be worth enough to result in a peak fuel enthalpy of 280 cal/gm

if they were to dron cut of the core in the manner defined for the Red Drop Accident. (5) These sequences are developed prior to operation of the unit following may refueling outage and the requirement that an operator follow these sequences is backed up by the operation of the REM. These sequences are developed to limit reactivity worths of control rods and together with the integral

red velocity limiters and the action of the control rod drive system, limit potential reactivity insection such that the results of a control rod drop accident will not exceed a maximum fuel energy content of 280 cal/gm. The peak fuel enthalpy of 280 cal/gm is below the energy content, 425 cal/gm, at

which rapid fuel dispersal and primary system damage have been found to occur based on experimental data as is discussed in Reference 1.

> The analysis of the control rod drop accident xas originally presented in Sections 7.9.3, 14.2.1.2 and 14.2.1.4 of the Safety Analysis Report. Improvements in analytical capability have allowed a more refined analysis of the control rod drop accident.

Bases (cont'd)

These techniques are described in a topical report (1) and two supplements. (2) (3) In addition, a banked position withdrawal sequence described in Reference (4) has been developed to further reduce incremental rod worths.

By using the analytical models described in those reports coupled with conservative or worst-case input parameters, it has been determined that for power levels less than 20% of rated power, the specified limit (typically 1.3% 4K) on insequence control rod or control rod segment worths will limit the peak fuel enthalpy to less than 280 cal/gm. Above 20% power even single operator errors cannot result in out-of-sequence control rod worths which are sufficient to reach a peak fuel enthalpy of 280 cal/gm should a postulated control rod drop accident occur.

- (1) Paone, C.J., Stirn, R.C. and Wooley, J.A., "Rod Drop Accident Analysis for Large Boiling Water Reactors", NEDO-10527, March 1972.
- (2) Stirn, R.C., Paone, C.J., and Young, R.M., "Rod Drop Accident Analysis for Large BWR's", Supplement 1 - NEDO-10527, July 1972
- (3) Stirn, R.C., Paone, C.J., and Haun, J.M., "Rod Drop Accident Analysis for Large BWR's Addendum No. 2, Exposed Cores", Supplement 2-NEDO 10527, January 1973.

The following parameters and worst-case bounding assumptions have been utilized in the reload analysis to determine compliance with the

280 cal/gm peak fuel enthalpy.

Details of this analysis are contained in Reference 6. Each core reload will be analyzed to show conformance to the limiting parameters.

a. An factor⁽⁵⁾ inter-assembly local power peaking

- b. The delayed neutron fraction chosen for the bounding reactivity curve.
- A beginning-of-life Doppler reactivity feedback.
- d. Scram times slower than the technical Specification rod scram insertion rate (Section 3.3.2.1)
- The maximum possible rod drop velocity (3.11 ft./sec.)
- The design accident and scram reactivity shape function.
- g. The minimum moderator temperature to reach criticality.
- (4) C.J. Paone, "Banked Position Withdrawal Sequence" Licensing Topical Report NEDO-2123, January 1977.
- (5) To include the power spike effect caused by gaps between fuel pellets.
- (6) Generic Reload Fuel Application NEDE-24011-P-A, August 1978

Change No. 28 Amendment No. 43 62a

Bases (con'd)

It is reconfized that these bounds are conservative with respect to expected operating conditions. If any one of the above conditions is not satisfied, a more detailed calculation will be done to show compliance with the 280 cal/gm design limit.

In most cases the worth of insequence rods or rod segments

in conjunction with the actual values of the other important accident analysis parameters described above would most likely result in a peak fuel enthalpy substantially less than the 280 cal/gm design limit.

Should a control drop accident result in a peak fuel energy content of 280 cal/gm less than $660 (7 \times 7)$ fuel rods are conservatively estimated to perforate. This would result in an offsite dose well below the guideline value of lOCFR 100. For 8 x 8 fuel, less than 850 rods are conservatively estimated to perforate with nearly the same consequences as for the 7 x 7 fuel case because of the rod power differences. The Rod Worth Minimizer provides automatic supervision to assure that out of sequence control rods will not be withdrawn or inserted; i.e., it limits operator deviations from planned withdrawal sequences. Ref. Section 7.9 SAR. It serves as a backup to procedural control of control rod worth. In the event that the Rod Worth Minimizer is out of service, when required, a licensed operator or other qualified technical employee can manually fulfill the control rod pattern conformance functions of the Rod Worth Minimizer. In this case, procedural control is exercised by verifying all control rod positions after the withdrawal of each group, prior to proceeding to the next group. Allowing substitution of a second independent operator or engineer in case. of RWM inoperability recognizes the capability to adequately monitor proper rod sequencing in an alternate manner without unduly restricting plant operations. Above 20% power, there is no requirement that the RWM be operable since the control rod drop accident with out-ofsequence rods will result in a peak fuel energy content of less than 280 cal/gm. To assure high RWM availability, the RWM is requried to be operating during a startup for the withdrawal of a significant number control rods for any startup after June 1, 1974.

4. The Source Range Monitor (SRM) system performs no automatic safety system function; i.e., it has no scram function. It does provide the operator with a visual indication of neutron level. This is needed for knowledgeable and efficien' reactor startup at low neutron level. The consequences of reactivity accidents are functions of the initial neutron flux. The requirement of at least 3 counts per second assures that any granelent, should it occur, begins at or above the initial value of 16⁻⁸ of rated power used in the analyses of transients from cold conditions. One operable SRM channel would be adequate to monitor the approach to criticality using homogeneous patterns of scattered control rod withdrawal. A minimum of two operable SRM's are provided as an added concervatism.

5. The Rod Block Monitor (RBM) is designed to autofactically prevent fuel damage in the event of erroneous rod withdrawal from locations of high power density during high power level operation. Two channels are provided and one of these may be bypassed from the console for maintenance and/or testing. Tripping of one of the channels will block erroacous rod withdrawal soon enough to prevent fuel damage. This system backs up the operator who withdrame rods according to a written sequence. The specified restrictions with one channel out of service conservatively assure that fuel damage will not occur due to rod withdrawal errors when this condition exists. Amendments 17/18 and 19/20 present the results of an evaluation of a rod block nomitor failure. These amendments show that during reacter operation with certain limiting control rod patterns, the withdrawal of a designated single control rod could result in one or more fuel rods with MCPRs less than 1.07. During use of such partners, it is judged that testing of the R3M system prior to withdrawal of such rods to assure its operability will assure that improper withdramal does not occur. It is the responsibility of the Muclear Engineer to identify these limiting patterns and the designated rods either when the parterns are initially established or as they d v ba due to the occurrence of incorrable control 2003 in CTIVER TIGH LIMITING PATTERNS.

C. Scram Insertion Times

The control red system is analyzed to bring the reactor subcritical at a rate fast enough to prevent fuel damage; i.e.; to prevent the MCFR from becoming less than 1.07. The limiting power transfent is that resulting from a turbine stop valve closure with failure of the turbine bypass system. Analysis of this transient shows that the negative reactivity rates resulting from the scrap with the average response of all the drives as given in the above specification, provide the required protection, and MOFR recains greater than 1.07. Reference (1) shows the control rod seran reactivity used in analyzing the transients, Reference (1) should not be confused with the total control rod worth, 1972k, as listed in some aroudownts to the SAR The latak value represents the amount of reaccivity available for withdrawal in the cold clean core, whereas the control rod worths choin in Reference (1) represent the amount of reactivity available for insertion (scram) in the hot operating core. The claima abount of reactivity to be inserted duting a seron is controlled by percitting no core than 10% of the operable rods to have long scrau tires. In the analytical treatment of the transients, 390 milliseconds are allowed between a neutronsensor reaching the serva point and the start of rotion of the centrol rods. This is adequate and conservative when compared to the typically observed time delay of about 270 milliseconds. Asyroximately 70 zilliseconds after neutron ilux reaches the

 "Broadon Station Special Report No. 29, Supplement B", Figure 1.

Amendment No. 21, 43







81C-1

Amendment No. 27, 43

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENT
J. Local LHGR	J. Local LHGR
During steady state power operation, the linear heat generation rate (LHGR) of any rod in any fuel assembly at any axial lc- cation shall not exceed the maximum allow- able LHGR as calculated by the following equation.	The LHGR as a function of core height shall be checked daily dur- ing reactor operation at > 25% rated thermal power.
$L_{T}^{PGR} \ll L_{H}^{GR} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\Delta P}{P} \right)_{max} \left(\frac{L}{L_{T}} \right) \right]$	
LHGR _d - Design LHGR = 17.5 kw/ft, 7x7 fuel assemblies - 13.4 kw/ft, 8x8 fuel assemblies	
$\left(\begin{array}{c} \Delta P \\ P \end{array}\right)$ 8x8 R fuel assemblies $\left(\begin{array}{c} P \\ P \end{array}\right)$ max - Maximum power spiking benalty	
037 initial core fuel 026 reload 1, 7x7 fuel 022 $8x3$ fuel .000 $8x8$ R fuel L _T - Total Core Length - 12 ft.	3
L - I.xial distance from bottom of core	
If at any time during operation it is det- ermined by normal surveillance that the limiting value for LHGR is being exceeded, action shall be initiated within 15 minutes to restore operation to within the prescribed limits. If the LHGR is not returned to within the prescribed limits within two (2) hours, the reactor shall be brought to the Cold Shutdown condition within 36 hours. Surveil- lance and corresponding action shall continue until reactor	Amendment No. 21 43
operation is within the	Amendment No. 27, 43

MENT

81C-2

3.5 LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

K. Minimum Critical Power Ratio (MCPR)

During steady state operation, WCFR shall be greater than or equal to -

Unit 2 1.24 (7 x 7 fuel) 1.31 (8 x 0 fuel/8x8R) at rated power and flow. For core flows other than rated, these neminal values of MCPR shall be increased by a factor of Kf. where Kf is as shown in Figure 3.5-2. If at any time during steady state power operation, it is determined that the operation, it is determined that the action shall be initiated within 15 minutes to restore operation to within the prescribed limits. If the steady state more is not returned to within the prelimits within two (2) hours, the reactor shall be brought to the Cold Shutdown condition within 36 hours. Surveillance and corresponding action shall continue until reactor operation is within the prescribed limits.

POOR Omonit

A.5 SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

K. Minimum Critical Power Ratio (SCPR)

MCPR shall be determined daily during a reactor power operation at 2 25% rated thermal power and following any change in power level or distribution that would cause operation with a limiting control rod pattern as described in the bases for specification 3.3.0.5.

3.5 Limiting Conditions for Operation Bases

A. Core Spray and LPCI Mode of the RHR System - This specification assures that adequate emergency cooling capability is available.

> Based on the loss of coolant analyses included in References (1) and (2) in accordance with 10CFR50.46 and Appendix K, core cooling systems provide sufficient cooling to the core to dissipate the energy associated with the loss of coolant accident, to limit the calculated peak clad temperature to less than 2200°F, to assure that core geometry remains intact, to limit the core wide clad metal-water reaction to less than 1%, and to limit the calculated local metal-water reaction to less than 17%.

The allowable repair times are established so that the average risk rate for repair would be no greater than the basic risk rate. The method and concept are described in Reference (3). Using the results

 "Loss-of-Coolant Accident Analysis Report for Dresden Units 2, 3 and Quad-Cities Units 1, 2 NEDO-24146, September 1978"

developed in this reference, the repair period is found to be less than 1/2 the test interval. This assumes that the core spray and LPCI subsystems constitute a 1 out of 3 system, however, the combined effect of the two systems to limit excessive clad temperatures must also be considered. The test interval specified in Specification 4.5 was 3 months. Therefore, an allowable trhatf period which maintains the basic risk considering single failures should be less than 45 days and this specification is within this period. For multiple failures, a shorter interval is specified and to improve the assurance that the temathing systems will function, a daily" test is called for. Although it is recognized that the information given in reference 5 provides a quantitative method to estimate allowable repair times, the lack of operating data to support the analytical appreach prevents complete acceptance of this method at this time. Therefore, the times stated in the specific items were established with due regard to judgment.

Should one core spray subsystem become inoperable, the remaining core spray and the entire LPCI system are available should the

- (2) MEDO-20566, General Electric Company Analytical Model for Lossof-Coolant Analysis in Accordance with 10CFR50 Appendix K.
- (3) APED-"Guidelines for Determining Sofe Test Intervals and Repair Times for Engineered Safeguards" April 1969, I.M. Jacobs and P.W. Marriott.

5 Limiting Condition for Operation Bases (Cont'd)

I. Averane Planar INCR

This specification assures that the yeak cladding temperature following a pentulated design basis loss-of-coolant accident will not exceed the 2200 F limit specified in 100FR50 Appendix K considering the postulated effects of fuel pollot descification.

The peak cladding temperature following a postulated loss-of-ecolant socident is principly a function of the average HEGR of all the reds in a fuel essenbly at any exial location and is only dependent secondarily on the red to red pewer distribution within a fuel ascenbly. Since expected local variations in power distribution within a fuel assembly. Since expected local variations in power distribution within a fuel assembly affect the calculated peak olad temperature by less then 420°P relative to the peak temperature for a typical fuel design, the limit on the average planer LEGR is cufficient to assure that calculated tempcratures are bolow the 160FR50, Appendix K limit.

The maximum average plenar HiGNs chown in Figure 3.5.1 are based on calculations employing the models described in Reference (1). Fever operation with HiGNs at or 5 left these snown in Fig. 3.5.1 assures that the reak chedding temperature following a postulated less-of-coolant accident will not exceed the 2.00°P limit. These values represent limits for operation to ensure conference with 160°R50 and Appendix K only if they are more limiting them other design parameters.

(1) "Loss-of-Coolant Accident Analysis Report for Dresden Units 2, 3 and Quad-Cities Units 1, 2 Nuclear Power Stations, NEDO-24146, September 1978." Eaximum average planar LNGNS plotted in Fig. 3.5.1 at higher exposures repult in a calculated peak clad temperature of 1993 then 2200°F. However the maximum average plenar INGNs are shown on Fig. 3.5.1 as limits because conformance calculations have not been performed to justify operation at 106Rs in excess of these bhown.

J. Local USA

This specification assures that the roximum linear heat generation rate in any rol is loss than the design linear

Amendment No. 27, 43

The

4.6 SURVEILIANCE REQUIREMENT		Z. Safety and Relief Valves	A minimum of 1/2 of all safety valves shall be bench checked or replaced with a bench checked valve each refueling outages. The popping point of the safety valves shall be set as follows: Number of Valves Number of Valves 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Number of Valves Set Point (Psig 1115* 2 *Target Rock combination safety/relief valve 90
5 LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION	an orderly shutdown shall be initiated and the reactor shall be in a Cold Shutdown condition within 24 hours.	. Safety and Relief Valves	1. During reactor power operating conditions and whenever the reactor coclant pressure is greater than 90 psig and temperature greater than 320° f, all eight of the safety valves shall be operable. The solenoid activated pressure valves shall be operable as required by Specification 3.5.D.	2. If Specification 3.6.E.l is not met, an orderly shutdown shall be initiated and the reactor coolant pressure and temperature shall be 790 psig and 2320°F within 24 hours.

Bases:

3.7

A. Primary Containment - The integrity of the primary containment and operation of the emergency core cooling system in combination. limit the off-site dozes to values less than those suggested in 10 CFT 100 in the event of a break in the primary system piping. Thus, containment integrity is specified whenever the potential for violation of the primary reactor system integrity exists. Concern about such a violation exists whenever the reactor is critical and abave atmospheric pressure. An exception is male to this requirement during initial core loading and while the low power test program is being conducted during initial core loading and while the low power test program is being conducted and ready access to the reactor vessel is required. There will be no pressure on the system at this time which will greatly reduce the chances of a pipe break. The reactor may be taken critical during this period; however, restrictive operating procedures will be in effect again to minimize the probability of an accident occurring. Procedures and the Rod Worth Minimizer would limit control worth to preclude a peak fuel enthalpy of 280 cal/gm. In addition,

in the unlikely event that an excursion did occur, the reactor building and standby gas treatment system, which shall be operational during this time, offers a sufficient barrier to keep off-site

doses well within 10 CFR 100.

The pressure suppression pool water provides the heat sink for the reactor primary system energy release following a postulated rupture of the system. The pressure suppression chamber water volume must absorb the associated decay and structural sensible heat released during primary system blowdown from 1000 psig.

Since all of the gases in the drywell are parged into the pressure suppression chamber air space during a loss of coolant accident, the pressure resulting from isothermal compression plus the vapor pressure of the liquid must not exceed 62 psig, the suppression chamber design pressure. The design volume of the suppression chamber (water and air) was obtained by considering that the total volume of reactor coolant to be condensed is discharged to the suppression chamber and that the drywell volume is parged to the suppression chamber. Ref. Section 5, 2, 3 SAR.

Using the minimum or maximum water volumes given in the specification, containment pressure during the design basis accident is approximately 18 psig which is below the design of 62 psig. Maximum water volume of 115,655 ft³ results in a downcomer submergence of 4 feet and the minimum volume of 112,000 ft³ results in a submergence approximately 4 inches less. The majority of the Bodega tests (9) were run with a submerged length of 4 feet and with complete condensation. Thus, with respect to downcomer submergence, this specification is adequate.

Experimental data indicates that excessive steam condensing loads can be avoided if the peak temperature of the suppression pool is maintained below 160°F during any period of relief valve operation with sonic conditions at the discharge exit. Specifica-

Trumone 2009

⁽⁹⁾ Bodega Bay Preliminary Hazards Summary Report, Appendix 1, Docket 50-205 December 28, 1962.