



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION II
101 MARIETTA STREET, N.W.
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

Report No.: 50-338/78-38

Docket No.: 50-338

License No.: NPF-4

Category: C

Licensee: Virginia Electric and Power Company
P. O. Box 26666
Richmond, Virginia 23261

Facility Name: North Anna 1

Inspected at: Mineral, Virginia

Inspection Conducted: November 1-3, 1978

Inspector: R. J. Hennessey, Jr.

Reviewed by: *F. P. Gillespie*
F. P. Gillespie, Chief
Security and Investigation Section
Safeguards Branch

11/14/78
Date

Inspection Summary

Inspection on November 1-3, 1978 (Report No. 50-338/78-38)
Areas Inspected: Routine unannounced inspection of physical security program. Areas inspected were selected portions of the Security Plan, applicable portions of 10 CFR 73.55 that were to be implemented by May 25, 1977, including Security Organization, Physical Barriers, Alarm Stations and Assessment Aids. The inspection involved fifteen man hours onsite by one NRC inspector. The inspection was initiated during day shift.
Results: Of the areas inspected, no items of noncompliance were identified.

790103 0138

DETAILS

Prepared by: R. J. Hennessey, Jr. 11/1/78
 R. J. Hennessey, Jr., Security Inspector Date
 Security and Investigation Section
 Safeguards Branch

Dates of Inspection: November 1-3, 1978

Reviewed by: F. P. Gillespie 11/21/78
 F. P. Gillespie, Chief Date
 Security and Investigation Section
 Safeguards Branch

1. Persons Contacted

- *J. D. Kellams, Superintendent of Station Operations, VEPCO
- *C. F. Winecoff, Station Security Supervisor, VEPCO
- *C. W. Smith, Jr., Security Operations Supervisor, VEPCO
- *W. R. Runner, Jr., Coordinator, Production Security, VEPCO
- *M. S. Kidd, Resident Inspector, USNRC
- J. D. Rayman, Training Coordinator, VEPCO

*Denotes those present at exit meeting.

2. IE Circular Followup

(Closed) IE Circular 78-17: INADEQUATE GUARD TRAINING/QUALIFICATION AND FALSIFIED TRAINING RECORDS. This circular was received by plant management, reviewed for applicability, and discussed with the inspector. Plant management maintains that no problem exists in this respect. The inspector reviewed training records and found up-to-date documentation of all required training; no questionable entries or questionable documentation practices were in evidence. In frequent discussions with Plant Security Personnel, the inspector found these persons to be fully knowledgeable of duties and procedures. The inspector found plant security management personnel to be fully aware of, and involved in ongoing training and operational activities pertaining to security matters. The inspector determined that, due to the supervision of the security program currently being administered by corporate and site security management, conditions are not likely to occur which would permit questionable documentation practices and/or lapses in the Security Training Schedule, and that plant management's evaluation as to applicability is appropriate.

3. Alarm Stations

Operations of both alarm stations were inspected for compliance with applicable portions of 10 CFR 73.55, the site security plan, and site security procedures. No items of noncompliance were disclosed.

4. Security Organization

Inspection of Security Personnel Qualification records, discussions with security officers, observation of activities involving security personnel, and review of security procedures substantiated that a qualified security organization was implementing the site security program in accordance with 10 CFR 73.55, the Site Security Plan, and Site Security Procedures. No items of noncompliance were disclosed.

5. Physical Barriers

All protected area and vital area barriers were inspected for structural integrity, and for aspects of their use and maintenance as governed by 10 CFR 73.55, the site security plan, and site security procedures. All physical barriers were found to have been maintained in a structurally sound condition, and no items of noncompliance were disclosed.

6. Assessment Aids

The inspector viewed several alarm responses and assessments in progress from both the alarm stations and from inside the plant. Actions observed met the provisions of applicable regulations, plans, and procedures, and were viewed to be adequate and effective in responding to and evaluating alarms. Surveillance of protected area boundaries is considered to be adequate and effective to detect penetration and effect immediate response. No items of noncompliance were disclosed.

7. Exit Meeting

An exit meeting was held with the superintendent of station operations, and the extent of the inspection was discussed.