

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT

REGION III

Report No. 50-346/78-28

Docket No. 50-346

License No. NPF-3

Licensee: Toledo Edison Company
Edison Plaza
300 Madison Avenue
Toledo, OH 43652

Facility Name: Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1

Inspection At: Davis-Besse Site, Oak Harbor, OH

Inspection Conducted: December 11-15, 1978

Inspectors: T. J. Madeda

Terry J. Madeda

1/22/79

J. F. Donahue
J. R. Creed *for*

1/22/79

J. F. Donahue
J. C. Howell *for*

1/22/79

Approved By: *J. A. Hind*
J. A. Hind, Chief
Safeguards Branch

1/22/79

Inspection Summary

Inspection on December 11-15, 1978 (Report No. 50-346/78-28)

Areas Inspected: Unannounced physical protection inspection including:
(a) actions taken by the licensee to correct items of noncompliance identified during an inspection conducted June 12-15, 1978; (b) implementation of the procedural aspects of 10 CFR 73.55, which became effective May 25, 1977; (c) implementation of the approved security plan, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.34(c); (d) 10 CFR 73.70 requirements; (e) the licensee's progress towards total implementation of 10 CFR 73.55 requirements; and (f) action taken as a result of IE Circular 78-17. Specifically, the inspection covered areas relative to: the security plan, security organization, physical barriers, access controls,

790202 0035

detection aids, communications controls, response requirements, testing and maintenance of security related equipment and record requirements. The inspection involved 111 inspection-hours onsite and at the licensee's corporate headquarters by three N.C inspectors.

Results: Of the nine areas specifically inspected, no items of noncompliance were identified in four areas; five items of noncompliance were identified in four areas: (Security Organization - Paragraphs 3.a and 3.b; Access Control - Paragraph 4; Detection Aids - Paragraph 5; Communications Controls - Paragraph 6). Three items are considered infractions, two are deficiencies. The licensee completed adequate corrective action for one item, (Paragraph 4 - Access Control) and initiated corrective action on the others. Of the three previously identified items of non-compliance, one item is closed, one item remains open pending installation of equipment and corrective action on one item did not prevent recurrence.