UNCOMPLETED ITAAC NOTIFICATION CHECKLIST (UINC)

A Lead Reviewer in concert with other reviewer(s) assigned to an uncompleted ITAAC notification (UIN) review will complete this form for the ITAAC under review.

Docket No:	5200026	**Plant Name**:	Vogtle Unit 4 Combined License
Licensee Name:	Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc	**Combined License No:**	NPF-92
ITAAC ID No:	2.6.09.05a	**ITAAC Type:**	Targeted
ITAAC Family Designation or enter N/A:	17D		
Uncompleted ITAAC Notification (UIN) ADAMS ML No:			ML19249B862
Name of Lead Reviewer:			Webb, Michael

Name of Technical Reviewer assigned to UIN Review based on an affirmative response to statement “f” below:

Name of the Technical Reviewer: Diec, David

Enter “Yes” in the blank at the beginning of a statement below if the whole statement is true, “No” if the whole or part of the statement is not true, and “N/A” if the statement is not applicable.

a. **Yes** The UIN identifies all of the following: (1) licensee, (2) plant site name, (3) unit number, and (4) plant’s docket number.

b. **Yes** The ITAAC as stated in the UIN matches the ITAAC as stated in the combined license.

c. **Yes** NRC personnel with the requisite technical and engineering knowledge has/have determined that the ITAAC Completion Description (ICD) of the UIN contains sufficient information, including summarizing the methodology for performing the ITAAC, to demonstrate that the licensee will successfully perform the inspection, test, and/or analysis stated in the ITAAC if the licensee uses the methodology described in the UIN. The methodology described in the ICD of the UIN either was reviewed and approved by the NRC, or is acceptable based on sound scientific, mathematical, and/or engineering principles, and is repeatable, if necessary, without any significant change to the reported result.

d. **Yes** NRC personnel with the requisite technical and engineering knowledge has/have determined that the ICD of the UIN contains sufficient information to demonstrate that the licensee will be able to show that it has fully met the entire acceptance criterion stated in the ITAAC if it performs the ITAAC as stated in the UIN.

e. **N/A** For ITAAC specified as being performed on “as-built” structures, systems, or components (SSCs): If the UIN indicates that the

inspections, tests, and/or analyses of the ITAAC are being performed at location(s) other than the final installed location, then the UIN, based on the guidance in NEI 08-01 either summarizes a technical justification or provides a reference to a generic technical justification in the ICD of the UIN that establishes why it is acceptable to perform the ITAAC at location(s) other than the final installed location. [Enter N/A if the ITAAC is not being performed at a remote location or if the ITAAC is not specified as "as-built."]

f. **Yes** An additional reviewer was assigned to the UIN review with his or her name entered into the blank at the top of this page based on his or her expertise being required

If the NRC reviewers determine that a UIN has sufficient information, this is based on the UIN's description of the proposed methodology for completing the ITAAC. The UIN review is not based on consideration of actual performance of the ITAAC, the acceptance criteria results, the completion of NRC inspections, or the resolution of NRC inspection findings, if any. These matters will be addressed during the NRC's review of the later ITAAC closure notification (ICN) for that ITAAC. The NRC staff plans to rely on a UIN review in its review of the later ICN to the extent that the ITAAC completion methods described in the UIN and ICN are the same, subject to new information that might arise between the UIN and ICN reviews.

Lead Reviewer: Webb, Michael **Date:** 9/19/2019

Lead Approver: Welch, Christopher **Date**: 9/19/2019

Licensing PM: Armstrong, Garry

Technical Reviewer: Diec, David **Date:** 9/18/2019

Technical Approver: Sampson, Michele **Date:** 9/18/2019