TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

CHATTANOOGA. TENNESSEE 37401

500C Chestnut Street Tower II

MAR 20 1979

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Attention: Mr. Thomas A. Ippolito, Chief Branch N 3 Division of Operating Reactors U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Dear Mr. Ippolito:

In the Matter of the Tennessee Valley Authority Docket No. 50-259

Enclosed is the proposed hydrostatic pressure testing program for Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant unit 1 written to the 1974 Edition, Summer 1975 Addenda of Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. Enclosure 1 is a list of the systems to be pressure tested. Enclosure 2 consists of the requests for relief from ASME code requirements submitted for NRC review pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50, section 50.55a(g)(5)(iii).

)

The inspection cycle for which the enclosed program is proposed begins on June 1, 1979. Significant delay in receipt of your approval of the test program and the associated revisions to the technical specifications beyond the start of the inspection cycle creates undue hardship on plant operation and test performance. To avoid this hardship, we would appreciate completion of your review of the program and issuance of a ruling by September 1, 1979. If your ruling has not been received by this date, time constraints force us to assume we have your staff's interim approval of our hydrostatic pressure testing program as proposed in the enclosure and will prepare test procedures accordingly. If we can be of any assistance in expediting your review please let us know.

Very truly yours,

for J. E. Gilleland Assistant Manager of Power

Enclosures

7903270465

Enclosure 1

This table lists the systems to be pressure tested in accordance with ASME Section XI, Articles IWA-5000, IWB-5000, IWC-5000, and IWD-5000. The tabulation identifies Systems, Code Class, drawings, and specific references to Code Sections for test requirements.

	(3) CI 12-CHI (4)						
	-2000 I:00-2'-10						
	Test Reg		×	x x	X	x	*
	I (•)(125-5-1	×					
	Cless	-	1,2	1.2	5	l. 2	N
	Referenced	1-716474 1-716474 1-1028274 1-128274 1-128274 1-258274 1-258274 1-258274 1-258274 1-218474	1-106474 2-108474 1-718474 1-718474 1-718474 1-718474 1-218474 1-218474 1-218474 1-218474 1-218474 1-218474	1-1561:14	ו-יוופאלין	474827-2	1-118414
	Systems	Reactor Vessel Main Stear, and associated portions of RCIC, HFCI, Core Spray, RUR CAD, Feedwater, SLC.	Reactor Vessel, Main Steem, and associated portior of: Turbine Drain and Misc. Piping. Sampling. RCIC. HPCI Core Spray CBD. RHR RHR Feeduater, SLC	SLC	Core Spray	CRD Mydraul ic Systes	Rill
: :	Test	-	8	•	. 7	5	₽.

					rear	. te g d a . e . e .		
Test	Systems	Referenced Dravings	Code Class	T:3-=210(a)	IVB-5212(b)	INC - 5000	<u>I.C2112(}</u>	<u>T-D-2010(e</u>)
7	HECI	17:912-1	2			x		
			2			х		
8	RCIC	47-513-1	•					x
9	RICESV	47WS58-1 47W610-43-1	3				.	
10	EEC4 and associ- ated portions of RC4	474859-1 671859-2 671844-2 674866-7	3				x	x

Mart Seguinents

NOTES: 1. IMB-5210(a) is a system leakage test performed prior to startup following each refueling and as required by maintenance.

- TNB-5210(b) is a system hydrostatic pressure test performed at 10 year intervals and as required by maintenance.
- IWC-5000 is a system hydrostatic pressure test performed at 10 year intervals, to be scheduled at various times in the interval for the various systems in accordance with IWC-2412 and as required by maintenance.

 TMD-2410(b) is a system hydrostatic pressure test performed at 10 year intervals and as required by maintenance.

 IWD-2410(c) is a system leakage test performed within every one-third of an inspection interval (one-third of ten years).

Enclosure 2

1.2

Attachment 2 consists of Requests for Relief from various portions of ASME Section XI, Subsections IWA, IWB, IWC, and IWD, as they apply to system pressure tests. Relief requests are numbered H(Hydrostatic) -1 through -11.

SYSTEM - All Systems

• .

CLASS - 1, 2, and 3

TEST REQUIREMENT - Qualified inspector in accordance with IWA-2130.

BASIS FOR RELIEF - Present TVA policy is to provide its own inspection services. TVA is a federal agency and, as other federal agencies, acts as its own inspector and is not subject to state or other non-federal inspectors.

ALTERNATE TESTING - TVA vill provide its own independent review of the Section XI program through its central office staff in Chattanocga.

.

1

.

 SYSTEM All Systems

 CLASS 1, 2, 3

 TEST REQUIREMENT Maintaining test pressure and temperature for four hours prior to examination per IN1-5210(a).

 MSIS FOR RELIEF 1977 Edition of Section XI. Article IWA-5000, allows pressure and temperature to be maintained for 10 minutes prior to examination on exposed piping.

 ALTERNATE TESTING Exposed piping will be maintained at pressure and temperature for 10 minutes before beginning examination.

REPUEST FOR HELLER H-3

 SYDTEM Main Steam beyond out-board MSIV; steam to Reactor beed Pump Turbines. SJAE, Off-Gas Preheater; Core Sprey (high pressure piping downstream of FCV 75-23 and FCV 75-51); RHM (high pressure piping downstream of FCV 74-52 and FCV 74-66); HFCI (piping downstream of FCV 73-44); RCIC (piping downstream of FCV 71-39).

 CIASS 2

 TEST REQUINEMENT Hydro at 1.25 x Design Pressure

 BASIS FOR RELIEF This piping will be tested at the same time as the hydro for the Class 1 Reactor Vessel and associated piping, due to valve locations and insufficient test connections to test to higher pressures.

ALTERNATE TESTING - Hydro test, with reactor vessel, at 1020 or 1040 psig, dependent on vessel temperature.

SYSTEM -	Standby Liquid Control, Core Spray, CRD Hydraulic System, RIR, HPCI. RCIC
CIASS -	2 '
TEST REQUIREMENT -	Maintaining test temperatures at 100°F per IWC-5220(a)
MASIS FOR RELIEF -	Standby Liquid Control. Core Spray. HPCI, and RCIC are tested only at 100% of the pressure seen during periodic surveillance testing, per 1WC-5220(c), and as such are not subject to brittle fracture at those pressures.
	CRD and PHR piping will be run at essentially ambient temperatures (approx. 80-85°F) and are not subject to fracture at this temperature. Testing at this temperature, however, is more stringent than testing at 100°F and above.
ALTRINATE TESTING -	Testing at available test temperatures as stated above.

,*

REQUEST FOR MELLOF H-S

GYSTEN -	RHITSW
C1ASS -	3
tyst reduiredant -	Providing isolation values for buried piring and conducting a loss of pressive test for cumponent leakage.
BASIS FOR RELIEF -	There are no installed connections for providing a loss- of-pressure test on buried piping. Also, the downstream isolation value (butterfly) on each section of buried pipe is not designed for minimal leakage to conduct this type of test.
ALTERNATE TESTING -	This piping has water flow and pressure for long periods of time during coli shutdowns. Any substantial leakage in buried piping would be evidenced at this time. Also, the pressure test for this piping is performed by deadheading an NHRSW pump. The pump not developing at or near design deadhead pressure would indicated leaking piping. Also, pump testing required by Subsection TWP of the Code would identify substantial leakage based on degradation of pump performance on two different pumps supplying the same piping (Al and A2, Bl and B2, Cl and C2, Dl and D2).

STRITEM -	KECW
MASS -	3 '
TNUT REQUIREMENT -	Providing isolation volves for buried piping and conducting a loss of pressure test for component leakage.
BASIS FOR RELIEF -	The downstream isolation valve (butterfly) on each section of buried pipe is not designed for minimal leakage to conduct this type of test.
ALTERNATE TESTENG-	This piping has water flow and pressure at all times during normal operation. Any substantial leakage in buried piping would be evidenced at this time. Also, the pressure test for this piping is performed by deadheeding an EECW pump. The pump not developing at or near design deadheed pressure would indicate leak- ing piping. Also, pump testing required by Subsection IWF of the Code would identify substantial leakage based on degradation of pump performance on two diffe-

based on degradation of pump performance on two different pumps supplying the same piping (A3 and C3, B3 and D3).

.

. . .

SYSTEM -	RURSW (Low Pressure Piping Only)
CLASS -	3
TEST REQUIREDENT -	System test pressure at 1.10 x design pressure.
BASIS FOR RELIEF -	There are no suitable test connections for installing a hydro pump to pressurize to 1.10 x design pressure. Also, to do this testing both pumps supplying a header would have to be isolated. This is not acceptable in accordance with Technical Specification 4.5.C.2.
ALTERNATE TESTING -	Fiping will be tested at the dead-head pressure of one RIGSW pump.

SYSTEM -	EECW
CTASS-	3 ,
TEST NEQUIREMENT -	System test pressure at 1.10 x design pressure.
BASTS FOR RELIEF -	There are not suitable test connections for installing a hydro pump to pressurize to 1.10 x design pressure. In addition, there is no postulated event that could cause overpressurization of this piping except for massive tube failure of an RHR Pump Seal Heat Exchanger.
ALTERNATE TESTING -	Piping will be tested at the dead-head pressure of one

SYSTEM -

EEC.

3

CLASS -

TEST REQUIREMENT -

Hydro testing of short sections of piping to/from the following components:

Control Bay Chillers - Between: 3-67-763 & 3-67-765 3-67-773 & 3-67-772

Unit 3 Piesels - Detween:

	3-57-092 & 3-57-575	
	3-67-701 & 3-67-704	
	3-57-712 4 3-67-716	
-	3-67-721 & 3-67-724	

BASIS FOR RELITEF -

The Control Bey Chillers are not safety related equipment, and the EECW serves only as an alternate heat sink for the Chiller Condenser. There is no way to postulate overpressurization of this piping. Due to the valving arrangement there is no practical wey to test this piping. The piping to the Diesels can't be practically tested without removing Yl four diesels from service

at the same time, which violates Technical Specifications. There is no postulated event which could overpressurize this piping.

ALTERNATE TESTING - None

. .

SYSTEM	-	All systems
CLAST	-	1, 2, 3
TEST REQUIREMENT	-	Pressure tests following repair to components in accordance with IWA-4210
BASIS FOR RELIEF	-	Performance of a hydrostatic pressure test after minor repairs by welding is unnecessary. An in- crease in the level of safety of the component is not realized. In addition, other nondestructive tests (PT, UT, etc.), if applicable, shall be employed in a case-by-case basis in accordance with documented TVA repair procedures which are main- tained by a QA program in accordance with IWA-4100(b).
ALTERNATE TESTING	-	The guidelines set forth in IWA-4400 of the 1977 Edition, Summer 1978 Addenda of Section XI, shall be followed. Components which require repairs as a result of a pressure test shall be pressure-tested. The reactor pressure vessel shall remain under the guidelines set forth in IWA-4210 of the 1974 Edition, Summer 1975 Addenda of Section XI.

SYSTEM - All systems

CLASS - 1

••••

- TEST REQUIREMENT Pressure tests following repair to components which cannot be isolated from the reactor vessel, IWA-4400 of the 1977 Edition, Summer 1978 Addenda.
- BASIS FOR RELIEF Performance of a hydrostatic pressure test on components, following repairs by welding, which cannot be isolated from the reactor vessel is impractical. This would involve pressure testing the reactor vessel and all portions of Class 1 piping.
- ALTERNATE TESTING The component will be leak-tested in accordance with IWA-5000 and IWB-5221.