VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY

RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23261

December 11, 1978

Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Serial No. 677/112178
PO/DLB:scj
Docket No. 50-338
License No. NPF-4

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

We have reviewed your letter of November 21, 1978 in reference to the inspection conducted at North Anna Power Station on October 16-19, 1978 and reported in IE Inspection Report No. 50-338/78-32. Our response to the specific violation is attached.

We have determined that no proprietary information is contained in the report. Accordingly, the Virginia Electric and Power Company interposes no objection to the inspection report being made a matter of public disclosure.

Very truly yours,

C. M. Stallings

C. M. Stallings
Vice President-Power Supply
and Production Operations

Attachment

cc: Mr. Albert Schwencer

7901180043

RESPONSE TO VIOLATION
LISTED IN INSPECTION REPORT
NO. 50-338/78-32

NRC COMMENT

Based on the results of the NRC inspection conducted on October 16-19, 1978, certain of your activities appear to deviate from your commitments to the Commission as indicated below.

In response to an inquiry made by the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, a VEPCO letter, serial no. 323/053178, dated July 7, 1978, stated that the air start manual isolation valves and the control room selector switch "... are checked every four (4) hours and recorded in the Diesel Log by an Operator".

Contrary to the above, prior to October 18, 1978, the checks made of the diesel air start manual isolation valve or manual selector switch were not recorded.

RESPONSE

The deviation listed above was the result of an error in the initial lette. (serial no. 323/053178, dated July 7, 1978) submitted to the Commission on the subject of diesel generator auto start functions. This item was subsequently addressed in Vepco letter, serial no. 556/092578, dated October 31, 1978. The status of the air isolation valves is still pending further discussion between Vepco and the NRC. The final resolution of these discussions will determine the surveillance requirements needed for the air isolation valves. Since the statement mentioned in the deviation was corrected by the response on October 31, 1978, full compliance has been achieved and no further corrective actions are required.