

January 5, 1979

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION Washington, D. C. 20555

Attention: Reba M. Diggs License Fee Management Branch

Gentlemen:

DOCKET NOS. 50-266 AND 50-301 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION CHANGE REQUEST #57 POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

We have received your letter dated December 20, 1978, in which you advised us that the license amendment fees which we provided with our application of November 16, 1978, have been determined to be insufficient to permit review of that application. We do not agree with your determination that the reviews necessary to approve these revisions to the heatup and cooldown limitation curves for the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, are significantly different to require two Class III amendment fees.

As you know, we are required to request a revision to the heatup and cooldown limitation curves for only Point Beach Unit 2 at this time. The Unit 1 curves will not require revision until sometime in 1980 However, because both the Unit 1 and Unit 2 curves are predicted and revised using identical techniques, as presented in Westinghouse Tcrical Reports WCAP-8738 and WCAP-8743, we believed that the NRC Staff's review time would be most effectively utilized by submitting both units' revisions at the same time. It should be noted that the previous revisions to these curves, issued by the NRC on February 24, 1977, were likewise submitted, reviewed, and approved at the same time.

If you do not agree on our position with respect to the license amendment fees, please disregard that portion of our November 16, 1978, application which requested amendments of Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, Facility Operating License DPR-24. We shall resubmit this portion of our application when it becomes necessary. In that case, we request that the \$400 overpayment of amendment application fees be refunded.

Very truly yours,

con Londin r

Executive Vice President

Sol Burstein 3901150066