

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

LER 80-3/3L

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ (1)

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

7 8 9 | V | T | Y | Y | S | 1 | _____ (2) | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | _____ (3) | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | _____ (4) | _____ (5)
LICENSEE CODE | LICENSE NUMBER | LICENSE TYPE | CAT 58

CON'T
7 8 9 | REPORT SOURCE | L | _____ (6) | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 | _____ (7) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 0 | _____ (8) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | _____ (9)
DOCKET NUMBER | EVENT DATE | REPORT DATE

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

0 2 | _____
0 3 | See attached sheet
0 4 | _____
0 5 | _____
0 6 | _____
0 7 | _____
0 8 | _____

7 8 9 | SYSTEM CODE | CAUSE CODE | CAUSE SUBCODE | COMPONENT CODE | COMP SUBCODE | VALVE SUBCODE
B B (11) | E (12) | B (13) | PIPE EX X (14) | A (15) | Z (16)
17 LER/RO REPORT NUMBER | EVENT YEAR | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. | OCCURRENCE CODE | REPORT TYPE | REVISION NO.
8 0 | _____ | 0 0 3 | 0 3 | L | 0
18 F | 19 Z | 20 Z | 21 Z | 22 0 0 0 0 | 23 Y | 24 N | 25 X | 26 X 9 9 9
ACTION TAKEN | FUTURE ACTION | EFFECT ON PLANT | SHUTDOWN METHOD | HOURS | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED | NPRD-4 FORM SUB. | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER
CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

1 0 | _____
1 1 | See attached sheet
1 2 | _____
1 3 | _____
1 4 | _____

7 8 9 | FACILITY STATUS | % POWER | OTHER STATUS (30) | METHOD OF DISCOVERY | DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32)
C (28) | 0 7 5 (29) | NA | B (31) | Technician Observation
ACTIVITY CONTENT | AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35) | LOCATION OF RELEASE (36)
Z (33) | Z (34) | NA | NA
PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER | TYPE | DESCRIPTION (39)
0 0 0 (37) | Z (38) | NA
PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER | DESCRIPTION (41)
0 0 0 (40) | _____ | NA
LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE | DESCRIPTION (43)
Z (42) | _____ | NA
PUBLICITY ISSUED | DESCRIPTION (45)
N (44) | _____ | NA
NRC USE ONLY

NAME OF PREPARER W. E. Conway

PHONE: 802-257-7711

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES

During weekly routine environmental air sample changeout on January 7, 1980, at 1115, it was discovered by the technician that Air Sample Station 3.3 was not drawing a continuous sample as specified by Technical Specifications 3.9.D.2 and Table 3.9.1. As a consequence of this failure, no sample was obtained for the sampling period from December 30, 1979, at 1223 to January 7, 1980, at 1115 which constitutes a missed surveillance according to Technical Specifications 3.9.D.2 and Table 3.9.1.

Although the plant underwent a shutdown and started up during this period of missed surveillance, vent stack monitoring of releases indicated normal low levels of <100 $\mu\text{ci}/\text{sec}$ noble gases for the period, except for the period of January 5 at 0800 to January 6 at 0800 when a peak of 900 $\mu\text{ci}/\text{sec}$ on January 5, 1980 at 1700 caused by the daily average to increase to 300 $\mu\text{ci}/\text{sec}$. I^{131} releases for the above period were 4.49×10^{-4} $\mu\text{ci}/\text{sec}$. Since all other eight air monitoring stations indicated normal environmental conditions during the above period, it was concluded that there were no consequences or potential consequences to the health and safety of the public. Previous occurrences involving missed environmental surveillance have been reported to the Commission as RO 76-39, RO 78-18, RO-78-1, and RO 79-19/3L.

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

At the air sampling station, it was discovered that the tygon tube which connected the air sampling head to the gas flow meter had become disconnected from the gas flow meter. This event terminated air flow through the sampling head, which contains the charcoal cartridge and the glass fiber filter.

Corrective actions consisted of immediately reconnecting the tygon tube to the gas meter and initiating a new weekly sample at the above date and time. Subsequently, stainless steel hose clamps were installed at the inlet to the gas meter to prevent a future recurrence.