



May, 13, 1979

Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
Washington, D.C.

Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362

Dear Sirs:

Enclosed please find copy of my 1975 letter to you requesting information necessary to the function of the responsibilities of the Evacuation and Emergency Planning Committee of the State of California San Onofre State Park Citizens Advisory Planning Commission.

We need, immediately, time and distance parameters for emergency planning. Three Mile Island experience has shown that we who are responsible for protection of the lives of many citizens cannot be satisfied with assurances that accidents more serious than the design-basis accident are not credible.

Feasibility of evacuation is dependent on time and distance constraints. How long would we have, in a most serious accident, to evacuate our populations of various distance areas? How far must we evacuate them, and how long must they be restrained from returning to the contaminated area?

Please provide assessments based on various scenarios of wind and weather, in the geographic conditions of San Onofre area, in the event of most serious accidents, whether or not you consider them credible.

This information is necessary for our creation of a feasible evacuation plan, as is information of the possible nuclides and radiation types which might be released, and information of effective measures to protect people from them. We now have only a response plan which details the assignments of the manpower and equipment available to us.

Also, I refer to Atomic Licensing Appeals Board decision 24 B 3 AEC 957 which affirmed the interveners' contention that there were not adequate roadways for timely evacuation, but did not consider the defect serious enough to deny the construction permit, noting, "other roads through Camp Pendleton are available; if widened, properly surfaced and clearly marked, they could be used for evacuation purposes."

Under your current regulations do you require the utility companies to provide such roadways before licensing of Units II and III? If not, how do you propose that we provide them? Why do you allow San Onofre Unit I to operate in spite of the Board decision?

1975 testimony before our California State Energy Commission indicated that federal studies of "plume behavior" were expected to be completed in several years. Have they been completed? Where may we have access to the reports of the study?

I appeal to you...I beg you...to answer promptly the questions of both of these letters; we are dependent on you.

*Lyn Harris Hicks*  
Lyn Harris Hicks, chairperson  
Evacuation and Emergency Planning Committee  
State of California San Onofre State Park Planning Commission

292 314

**POOR ORIGINAL**

7907050 551  
554

G