## VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY

## RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23261

December 19, 1979

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation	Serial No.: FR/MLB: mvc	986B
Attn: Mr. A. Schwencer, Chief Operating Reactors Branch No. 1 Division of Operating Reactors	Docket No.:	50-338 50-339
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555	License No.:	NPF-4

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION TO AMENDMENT TO OPERATING LICENSE NPF-4 NORTH ANNA POWER STATION UNITS NO. 1 AND 2 PROPOSED TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS CHANGE NO. 27

My letter to you dated November 29, 1979, Serial No. 986, transmitted our proposed Technical Specifications Change No. 27 and a supporting LOCA-ECCS analysis which met the limits of 10 CFR 50.46. This analysis was determined to be in compliance with Appendix K to 10 CFR 50 even though the cladding heatup rate dependent burst curve used was revised and had not been explicitly reviewed by the NRC. This approach was taken because the revised modeling was more technically correct. To facilitate the NRC staff review and understanding of this revision, we are providing, as supplemental information to our transmittal of November 29, 1979, a LOCA-ECCS calculation for the  $C_D = 0.4$  DECLG break (limiting break size) using the non-heatup rate dependent burst curve modeling documented in the February 1978 version of the Westinghouse ECCS Evaluation Model. This calculation resulted in a peak clad temperature of 2088°F.

Both the analysis provided in our November 29, 1979 submittal and the above analysis meet the criteria denoted in 10 CFR 50.46. However, as indicated in the Westinghouse (T. M. Anderson) to NRC (D. G. Eisenhut) letter of December 7, 1979 (Serial No. NS-TMA-2174), additional impacts on the limiting peak clad temperature may result if the flow blockage modeling documented in draft report NUREG 0630 (reference NRC (D. B. Vassalo) letter of November 28, 1979) is used. At this time, we do not believe that these potential impacts will be significant when the NRC review is completed. Further, these potential impacts can be offset by the modeling improvements documented by Westinghouse in their above letter of December 7, 1979. These benefits are expected to more than offset any potential impacts on North Anna 1 & 2 even if implementation of the current version of draft report NUREG-0630 is required. As a result, the reduction in the limiting F<sub>0</sub> values as proposed in our requested Technical Specifications change No. 27 remains appropriate for supporting continued operation.

1616 359



•

Should you have questions, please contact our Mr. M. L. Bowling (804-771-3183) at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

L.M. Stallings

C. M. Stallings Vice President-Power Supply and Production Operations

cc: Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director Office of Inpsection and Enforcement, Region II

Mr. O. D. Parr, Chief Light Water Reactors Branch No. 3

Mr. J. E. Rosenthall, Reactor Safety Branch Division of Operating Reactors

1616 360