

TIC



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION II
101 MARIETTA ST., N.W., SUITE 3100
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

NOV 26 1979

In Reply Refer To:

RII:JPO

50-437

Offshore Power Systems
Attn: A. R. Collier, President
P. O. Box 8000
Jacksonville, Florida 32211

Gentlemen:

The enclosed Circular No. 79-24 is forwarded to you for information. No written response is required. Should you have any questions related to your understanding of the recommendations on this matter, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

James P. O'Reilly
for James P. O'Reilly
Director

Enclosures:

1. IE Circular No. 79-24
2. List of IE Circulars Issued
in the Last Six Months

1517 233

41

7912070

013

Accession No: 7910250492
SSINS No. 6830

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

November 26, 1979

IE Circular No. 79-24

PROPER INSTALLATION AND CALIBRATION OF CORE SPRAY PIPE BREAK DETECTION EQUIPMENT
ON BWRs

Description of Circumstances:

During 1976 the Iowa Electric Light and Power Company identified and corrected a potential problem involving the core spray (CS) pipe break detection system at the Duane Arnold Energy Center (DAEC). The problem relates to the setpoint, function, and installation of the differential pressure (dp) instrument which monitors for a CS pipe break that is located in the annulus area of the reactor vessel (i.e., located outside the core shroud but inside the reactor vessel). The installed instrument, range of 0 - 24 psid, was found deflecting downscale (i.e., reading negative psid) during operation.

The licensee's investigation of the downscale deflection revealed that the original piping arrangement and calibration did not adequately take into account the effect of density changes of the water in the pressure leg connections. The original installation had the high pressure side of the dp instrument (see attached Figure 1) connected to the reference leg in the vessel (Figure 1 Connection X) and the low pressure side to the core spray piping outside the vessel but inside the drywell (Figure 1 Connection Y). With the piping intact, this arrangement senses the pressure difference between bottom and top of core. With a break in CS piping in the annulus area the instrument then senses the additional pressure drop across the separators (dp \approx 7 psi additional) and dryers (dp \approx 7-inches water). This installation was in accordance with GE design requirements.

Also in accordance with GE instructions the calibration of the dp instrument was performed with the reactor in the cold condition and the alarm was set to trip at 5 psid increasing. Because of this cold calibration the dp instrument then indicated full downscale negative during operation. This negative dp was due to the heat up of the reference leg (Figure 1 Connection X) which caused the fluid density to decrease as the plant reached hot conditions. The magnitude of this dp was determined to be about 3.5 psid following completion of the modification discussed below.

1517 234

DUPLICATE DOCUMENT

Entire document previously
entered into system under:

ANO

No. of pages:

7910250492
5