TERA

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

November 20, 1979

Docket No. 50-219

LICENSEE: Jersey Central Power & Light Company

FACILITY: Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF NOVEMBER 13, 1979 TELEPHONE CONVERSATION REGARDING LESSONS LEARNED IMPLEMENTATION

During a telephone conversation on November 13, 1979, the NRC Lessons Learned Implementation Team Leader discussed with the licensee its October 19, 1979 response to our September 13, 1979 letter. The team leader informed the licensee of two items for which the proposed action does not appear to comply with the lessons learned requirement and three items for which the proposed schedule for implementation is unacceptable. These items are listed in the enclosure.

By letter dated October 30, 1979, we provided additional clarification of the lessons learned requirements to all licensees. We also requested that within 15 days of receipt of our letter the licensees justify proposed actions not in complete agreement with the staff's requirements and to improve the implementation schedule where it differed from the staff's requirements. During this telephone conversation we requested that the licensee address our concerns in their response to our October 30, 1979 letter to the extent possible.

Stanley J. Nowicki

Stanley J. Nowicki, Project Manager
Operating Reactors Branch #2
Division of Operating Reactors

Enclosure:
As stated

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

1510 174

7912060 132

cc w/enclosure:
G. F. Trowbridge, Esquire
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

GPU Service Corporation
ATTN: Mr. E. G. Wallace
Licensing Manager
260 Cherry Hill Road
Parsippany, New Jersey 07054

Anthony Z. Roisman
Natural Resources Defense Council
917 15th Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20005

Steven P. Russo, Esquire
248 Washington Street
P. O. Box 1060
Toms River, New Jersey 08753

Joseph W. Ferraro, Jr., Esquire
Deputy Attorney General
State of New Jersey
Department of Law and Public Safety
1100 Raymond Boulevard
Newark, New Jersey 07012

Ocean County Library
Brick Township Branch
401 Chambers Bridge Road
Brick Town, New Jersey 08723

Mr. I. R. Finfrock, Jr.
Vice President - Generation
Jersey Central Power & Light Company
Madison Avenue at Punch Bowl Road
Morristown, New Jersey 07960

1510 175

ENCLOSURE

ITEMS THAT MAY NOT BE IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH THE
LESSONS LEARNED REQUIREMENTS

1. 2.1.2 - Relief and Safety Valve Testing

JCP&L concurs with the BWR Owners Group position as stated in T. D. Kennan's (Chairman, BWR Owners Group) letter to D. Eisenhut dated October 17, 1979. The team leader informed JCP&L that the Owners Group position is not acceptable and testing will be required.

2. 2.1.3.a - Direct Indication of Valve Position

JCP&L concurs with the BWR Owners Group position as stated in T. D. Kennan's letter to D. Eisenhut dated October 17, 1979. The team leader informed JCP&L that the Owners Group position is not acceptable and direct indication of valve position for safety valves is required.

ITEMS FOR WHICH THE SCHEDULE FOR IMPLEMENTATION MAY BE UNACCEPTABLE

1. 2.1.4 - Diverse Containment Isolation

JCP&L committed to verify and correct the diversity of parameters sensed for initiation of containment isolation prior to completion of the January 1980 refueling outage. However, the definition of the essential and non-essential systems is to be completed under Topic VI-4 of the Systematic Evaluation Program (SEP). The team leader informed JCP&L that all of item 2.1.4 needs to be completed on schedule independent of SEP.

2. 2.1.6.b - Plant Shielding Review

JCP&L committed to perform the shielding survey by January 1, 1980. However, JCP&L stated that some design modifications may not be implemented until related SEP evaluations were assessed. The team leader informed JCP&L that any deferral of implementation of hardware changes, relocations and shielding would have to be justified when the shielding analysis is completed.

3. 2.1.8.a - Post-Accident Sampling

JCP&L intends to meet the design review schedule and satisfy the requirements of this item as clarified in the regional meetings during the week of September 24, 1979. Hardware modifications will be implemented on schedule so long as they do not require substantial shielding redesign, in which case they will be assessed with related SEP modifications to assure integration. The team leader informed JCP&L that any deferral of implementation of hardware modifications would have to be justified when the design review is completed.

1510 176