LLAN HLUULAIUNI LUNIMISSIUI ניונג ויוויונו באווו (7.71) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION) CONTROL BLOCK: 1 (1) 1 JMF 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 34 1 11 0 0 ICENSE NUMBER LICENSEL CODE CON'T 18 7 1 10 12 17 17 19 (2) 1 1 1 2 7 7 9 (3) REPORT I GOO 0 1 5 0 0 0 SOURCE EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10) On 10-27-79, during a review of surveillance schedules, it was determined that letdown j 0 orifice isolation valve 1-CVC-HV-8149A had not been tested prior to the plant entering 03 Mode 4 as required by Tech. Spec. section 3.6.3.1. The valve was subsequently tested 13 4 satisfactorily on 10-28-79 (approximately 5 days after entering Mode 4). The health 0 5 and safety of the public were not affected by this event. (See attachment.) 0 6 07 0 8 COMP VALVE SYSTEM CAUSE CAUSE SURCODE COMPONENT CODE SUBCODE SUBCODE CODE Z | (15 Z (16) Z Z Z Z Z (14) SF (12 C (13) (11 A 0 9 18 19 REVISION SEQUENTIAL OCCURRENCE REFORT LER RO EVENT YEAR REPORT NO. CODE TYPE NO. 013 L 0 14 19 REPORT 19 NUMBER | 32 30 28 NPRD-4 PRIME COMP SUBMITTED COMPONENT METHOD HOURS (22) EFFECT SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER Y (23) Z | 9 | 9 Z 25 N 1(.4) 9 Z (21) 0 0 0 0 (20) 12 E (18) X (19 CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27 This event is attributable to personnel error. The valve was not satisfactorily 10 tested prior to entering Mode 4 as a result of inau quate documentation on the STP 1 1 schedule and inadequate review of the STP schedule prior to the mode change. The 1 2 individuals involved in this incident will be reir structed concerning the entries that 1 3 should have been made and the improper review of the schedule. 1 4 80 g METHOD OF STATUS OTHER STATUS (30) DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION S POWER DISCOVERY A (31) Surveillance test schedule review 0 0 0 (29) NA 1 5 G (: 80 ACTIVITY CONTENT 11 LOCATION OF RELEASE (36) AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35) OF RELEASE CLEASED. NA Z (34) 6 NA 80 PERSUNNEL EXPOSURES DESCRIPTION (32) NUMBER TYPE 0 (31) Z 0 NA 0 80 PERSONNEL INJUHILS DESCHIPTION (11) NUMBER 0 0 (40) NA 0 80 11 12 USS OF OH DAMAGE TO FACILITY (43) 791203018 DESCRIPTION Z (42 NA 80 PUBLICITY NRC USE ONLY 1458 068 DESCRIPTION (45) LNKO NA 1) 48 (205) 899-5156 NAME OF PHEPARER ... W. G. Hairston, III PHONE:.

ALABAMA POWER COMPANY JOSEPH M. FARLEY NUCLEAR PLANT DOCKET NO. 50-348 ATTACHMENT TO LER 79-049/03L-0

Facility: Joseph M. Farley Unit 1

Report Date:

Event Date: 10/27/79

Identification of Event:

Surveillance schedule review on 10-27-79 revealed that valve 1-CVC-HV-8149A had not been tested prior to the plant entering Mode 4.

Conditions Prior to Event:

Plant was in M. de 4 on 10-27-79.

Description of Event:

On 10-27-79, during a review of surveillance schedules, it was determined that valve 1-CVC-HV-8149A had not been tested prior to the plant entering Mode 4 as required by Tech. Spec. section 3.6.3.1. The valve was subsequently tested satisfactorily on 10-28-79 (approximately 5 days after entering Mode 4).

Designation of Apparent Cause:

This event is attributable to personnel error.

Analysis of Event:

Surveillance test FNP-1-STP-10.3 was performed on 9-4-79, and as a result, four valves required repair and/or retesting. It was noted on the surveillance test schedule that the procedure results were unsatisfactory, but it was not noted which valves failed to meet the acceptance criteria. On 9-5-79, a work request was released and valve 8149A repair (air line replacement) was completed on 9-25-79. On 9-6-79, a partial STP-10.3 was performed on three (3) of the valves which had failed the test on 9-4-79; however, valve 8149A was not retested at that time. No notation was made on the STP schedule entry for 9-6-79 concerning which valves had been retested satisfactorily.

The review of STP schedules made prior to entry into Mode 4 on 10-23-79 incorrectly determined that surveillance was satisfactory because it was assumed that the partial STP-10.3 performed on 10-6-79 had tested all valves requiring retest.

1458 069

....

Corrective Actions:

.

12.5

.

- 1. The valve was satisfactorily tested on 10-28-79.
- 2. The individuals involved in making the STP schedule entries and in the review of the STP schedules prior to entry into Mode 4 will be reinstructed concerning the entries that should have been made and the improper review of the schedule.

Failure Data:

None