


UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING APPEAL BOARD



In the Matter of )

SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT )

(Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station) )

Docket No. 50-312 (SP)

MOTION OF THE CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
TO TERMINATE ACTION ON REFERRAL

By its Order Accepting Referral, dated December 19, 1979, ("Order"), this Board accepted the referral of the Licensing Board's ruling that rejected the proposed issue on emergency planning of the California Energy Commission ("CEC"). In the Order, this Board specifically requested the parties to address the relevancy of the recent proposed rulemaking on emergency planning. See Order, pg. 2. After consideration of the Order and a review of the proposed rulemaking, the CEC, for the reasons set forth hereafter, now requests this Board to terminate action on the referred issue.

In August 1979, when the CEC submitted the emergency planning issue to the Licensing Board, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC") had not taken new steps to upgrade the emergency response capabilities of licensed facilities. In that context, we urged strongly that the issue was within the Licensing Board's jurisdiction and that the Douglas Point line of cases was inapplicable. After the Licensing Board ruled against the CEC on the Douglas Point issue, we sought this referral. Other parties supported

1819 232


8001280493

referral of the Licensing Board's ruling that this issue was within the scope of the Licensing Board's jurisdiction absent the Douglas Point rule. Since that time, on December 19, 1979, the NRC commenced a proposed rulemaking on emergency planning and scheduled various regional meetings, including a meeting in San Francisco on January 17, 1980, to obtain comments from interested state and local agencies. In light of this new development, CEC now proposes to withdraw the emergency planning issue, subject to the right to resubmit this issue in the event that the NRC does not proceed with the new rulemaking proceeding.

While we continue to believe that the Douglas Point rationale would not preclude Licensing Board jurisdiction over this issue, we also believe that the specific facts before us do not require that we now press this matter further. Accordingly, we request that this Board terminate the referral proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

  
Christopher Ellison  
Lawrence Coe Lanpher

Attorneys for the California Energy  
Commission

January 14, 1980

1819 233

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_  
In the Matter of )  
 )  
 )


SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT )

) Docket No. 50-312 (SP)  
)  
)  
)  
\_\_\_\_\_

(Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station) )  
)  
)  
)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the "Motion of the California Energy Commission to Terminate Action on Referral" was served this 14th day of January, 1980, upon the persons identified on the attached Service List by deposit in the U.S. mails, first class, postage prepaid.



Lawrence Coe Lanpher  
HILL, CHRISTOPHER AND PHILLIPS, P.C.  
1900 M Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 452-7011

Attorney for the California Energy  
Commission

1819 234

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING APPEAL BOARD

In the Matter of )

SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT )

(Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station) )

) Docket No. 50-312 (SP)

SERVICE LIST

Elizabeth S. Bowers, Esquire  
Chairwoman  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Gary Hursh, Esquire  
Suite 700  
520 Capitol Mall  
Sacramento, California 95814

Dr. Richard F. Cole  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
Panel  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Richard D. Castro  
2231 K Street  
Sacramento, California 95816

Mr. Frederick J. Shon  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
Panel  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

James S. Reed, Esquire  
Michael H. Remy, Esquire  
Reed, Samuel & Remy  
717 K Street, Suite 405  
Sacramento, California 95814

David S. Kaplan, Esquire  
Secretary and General Counsel  
Sacramento Municipal Utility  
District  
P.O. Box 15830  
Sacramento, California 95813

Christopher Ellison, Esquire  
California Energy Commission  
1111 Howe Avenue  
Sacramento, California 95825

Stephen H. Lewis, Esquire  
Richard K. Hoefling, Esquire  
Office of the Executive Legal  
Director  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Thomas A. Baxter, Esquire  
Lex K. Larson, Esquire  
Matias F. Travieso-Diaz, Esquire  
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge  
1800 M Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036

1819 235

Atomic Safety and Licensing  
Board Appeal Panel  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Richard S. Salzman, Esquire  
Chairman  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal  
Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Thomas S. Moore  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal  
Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
Panel  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. John H. Buck  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal  
Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Docketing and Service Station  
Office of the Secretary  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20555