

MEMO ROUTE SLIP Form AEC-94 (Rev. May 11, 1947)		See me about this. Noted and return	For concurrence. For signature	For action. For information
TO (Name and unit): R. DeYoung, Chief Containment & Component Tech. Br.	INITIALS  DATE	REMARKS Please provide assistance in evaluating the following areas of the Metropolitan Edison application (Docket 50-289). 1.0 Containment Design 1.1 Is the design of the prestressed containment adequate to withstand the design pressure, earthquake and wind loadings? 1.2 Will the quality control, testing and surveillance program described by the applicant assure that the structure meets the design criteria?		
TO (Name and unit):	INITIALS  DATE	REMARKS 1.3 Please review the containment isolation criteria and system including the steam line isolation valves. 1.4 What degree of inspection of liner welds by radiography or other NDT methods should be required as a minimum? What degree of visual inspection should be required on the external containment surface while it is at containment design pressure?		
FROM (Name and unit): C. G. Long, Chief RPB #3 <i>C. G. Long</i>	REMARKS	2.0 Components 2.1 Analyze the use of check valves in the thermal shield to solve the "steam bubble" problem, particularly with regard to effects of the valves on normal operation of the plant. Is siphoning possible in case of a low break in the cold leg and		
PHONE NO. 7436	DATE 7-10-67	USE OTHER SIDE FOR ADDITIONAL REMARKS U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1957-O-342500		

**POOR ORIGINAL**

MEMO ROUTE SLIP Form AEC-94 (Rev. May 11, 1947)		See me about this. Noted and return	For concurrence. For signature	For action. For information
TO (Name and unit): R. DeYoung, Chief Containment & Component Tech. Br.	INITIALS  DATE	REMARKS will the check valves serve as a siphon break? 2.2 Analyze possible spillage from the accumulators during safety injection. 2.3 Please provide your comments on the analysis of the effect of cold water injection on the pressure vessel and thermal shield. 2.4 Please analyze the proposed thiosulfate spray system from the standpoint of its interaction with the engineered safety systems and review the experimental information which support its effectiveness as an iodine removal system.  (Your comments and questions are desired by 7/24/67.)		
FROM (Name and unit): C. G. Long, Chief RPB #3	REMARKS	1446 262 7910170 628 A		
PHONE NO. 7436	DATE 7-10-67	USE OTHER SIDE FOR ADDITIONAL REMARKS U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1957-O-342500		