NRC FORM 366 (7-77)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: (1) (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

Line	Field	Entry
01	Licensee Code (2)	N Y J A F 1
01	License Number (3)	0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 0
01	License Type (4)	4 1 1 1 1
01	CAT (5)	
CON'T 01	Report Source (6)	L
01	Docket Number (7)	0 5 0 0 0 3 3 3
01	Event Date (8)	1 2 0 9 7 8
01	Report Date (9)	0 1 0 3 7 9

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

02 Please See Attachment

Line	System Code (11)	Cause Code (12)	Cause Subcode (13)	Component Code (14)	Comp. Subcode (15)	Valve Subcode (16)
09	I B	X	Z	I N S T R U	X	Z

(17) LER/RO REPORT NUMBER

Event Year		Sequential Report No.		Occurrence Code	Report Type		Revision No.
7 8	—	1 0 0	/	0 3	L	—	0

Action Taken (18)	Future Action (19)	Effect on Plant (20)	Shutdown Method (21)	Hours (22)	Attachment Submitted (23)	NPRD-4 Form Sub. (24)	Prime Comp. Supplier (25)	Component Manufacturer (26)
X	X	Z	Z	0 0 0 0	Y	N	N	G 0 8 0

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

10 Please See Attachment

Line	Facility Status (28)	% Power (29)	Other Status (30)	Method of Discovery (31)	Discovery Description (32)
15	F	0 1 9	NA	B	Surveillance Test

Line	Activity Released (33)	Content of Release (34)	Amount of Activity (35)	Location of Release (36)
16	Z	Z	NA	NA

PERSONNEL EXPOSURES

Line	Number (37)	Type (38)	Description (39)
17	0 0 0	Z	NA

PERSONNEL INJURIES

Line	Number (40)	Description (41)
18	0 0 0	NA

LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY (43)

Line	Type (42)	Description
19	Z	NA

PUBLICITY

Line	Issued (44)	Description (45)
20	N	NA

7901080154

NRC USE ONLY

NAME OF PREPARER: W. Verne Childs

PHONE: 315-342-3840

During normal operation at approximately 19% rated power, Operations Surveillance Test F-ST-5R titled RBM Upscale and Downscale Instrument Functional Check could not be satisfactorily completed on Rod Block Monitor (RBM) A. The test was being conducted to allow a power increase above 30% of rated power. The RBM system is not required to be operational at less than 30% of rated power and power was maintained less than 30% of rated until the test could be completed with satisfactory results.

During trouble shooting to determine the source of failure, the malfunction disappeared and could not be duplicated. The instrument was tested with satisfactory results. On December 13 and 14, 1978 an effort to duplicate the malfunction was again conducted without success. No other corrective action was taken.