NRC PUBLIC DOCUMENT ROOM

SHAW, PITTMAN, POTTS & TROWBRIDGE

1800 M STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20036

амазат о. роття втериат с. ріттар вереке т. точтя вереке т. точта ве

STEVEN L. MELTZER DEAM D. AULICK JOHN ENGEL STEPHEN & HUTTLER WINTHROP M. BROWN JAMES S. MAMLIN ROBERT E. ZAHLER RICHARO E. GALEN ROBERT B. ROBBINS STEVEN M. LUCAS MATIAS F. TRAVIESO-OIAZ WICTORINA J. PERKINS JOHN H. O'NEILL JR. JAY A. EPSTIEN RANO L. ALLEN TIMOTHY E. MESRICE ELISABETH M. SENDLETON LUCY G. ELIASOF MALLAN F. BLARD MARRY H. GLASPIEGEL THOMAS H. MECORMICK SUBAN D. FALKSON MALN J. MELSARD MILLIAM F. BARR JOHN L. CARR. JR. PHILIP J. MARVEY KAT L. RICHMAN CHARLES W. SURASKY" ROBERT M. GORDON

"NOT ADMITTED IN Q.C.

1202) 331-4100

TIC

TELECOPIER (202) 296-0694 6 296-1760

TELEX 89-2693 (SHAWLAW WSH) CAOLE "SHAWLAW"

JOHN H. SHARON EDWARD B. CROSLAND COUNSEL

November 2, 1979

Ivan W. Smith, Esquire Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Walter H. Jordan, Member Atomic Safety and Licensing Board 881 West Outer Drive Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Dr. Linda W. Little, Member Atomic Safety and Licensing Board 5000 Hermitage Drive Raleigh, North Carolina 27612

> In the Matter of METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1) Docket No. 50-289

Dear Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board:

This is to advise the Board and all petitioners as to Licensee's suggestions on a number of procedural matters requiring consideration at the upcoming Special Prehearing Conference on November 8-9, 1979. By making these suggestions prior to the Special Prehearing Conference, Licensee hopes to minimize the time which will be spent on procedural matters. 1345 174

A. Contentions

1. Order in which contentions are to be considered. Licensee suggests starting the review of petitioners'



Ivan W. Smith, Esquire Dr. Walter H. Jordan Dr. Linda W. Little November 2, 1979 Page Two

contentions with those proposed by the Union of Concerned Scientists ("UCS"). The UCS contentions, more than the rest of the proposed contentions, will require careful focus on the principal issue facing the Board -- <u>i.e.</u>, the scope of this proceeding in view of the bases for suspension identified by the Commission in its August 9 Order.

2. Argument on contentions. Licensee proposes that argument on each set of contentions be confined to the Staff, Licensee, and the Petitioner advancing the contention. Of course, each petitioner would have an opportunity to argue in favor of its contentions even if a similar contention has previously been argued by another petitioner.

Schedule for revised contentions. As noted in the 3. covering memorandum accompanying our responses to the final contentions, Licensee has requested the Board to require certain petitioners to submit revised contentions with respect to emergency planning and offsite radiation monitoring after receiving additional information from Licensee in those areas; such contentions were marked by an asterisk (*) in our responses. Licensee suggests that the Board adopt thirty days following service of the additional information as the time within which more specific contentions must be filed. The Staff and Licensee would then be allowed seven days within which to file responses, and the Board could thereafter rule on the admissibility of the revised contentions. Licensee also suggests that an identical process be followed with respect to any supplemental contentions based on new information contained in the report of the Kemeny Commission, the Roggovin Special Inquiry, or the final report of the Lessons Learned Task Force (i.e., thirty days after publication of the document for revised contentions and seven days for responses).

B. Consolidation

Licensee suggests that, in lieu of consolidation of parties, the Board consider consolidation of issues by designating a single spokesperson pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.714(e) for major categories of contentions covered by two or more petitioners. The single spokesperson would be designated for purposes of discovery, motions, testimony and cross-examination.

Ivan W. Smith, Esquire Dr. Walter H. Jordan Dr. Linda W. Little November 2, 1979 Page Three

17

Notwithstanding such consolidation, Licensee and the Staff would continue to serve their papers and documents on all parties.

This consolidation procedure has a number of advantages. It would be directly responsive to the Commission's "expectation that the Board will conduct the proceeding expeditiously" and the Commission's instruction to consolidate parties "to the maximum extent practicable consistent with the provisions of [10 C.F.R. § 2.715a]" (August 9, 1979 Order and Notice of Hearing at 10). Moreover, consolidation of issues would produce a more readable and focused record, assisting the parties, this Board, and the Commission in a full and complete review of all the relevant evidence. It might also permit various intervening parties to conserve their resources without in any way restricting the scope or extent of their total participation on all issues. On the basis of very similar considerations, the hearing board in the license modification proceeding for Portland General Electric Co. (Trojan Nuclear Plant), Docket No. 50-344, directed, pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.714(e), the consolidation of issues through a single spokesperson. See Order Concerning Requests for Hearing and Intervention Petitions (filed July 27, 1978), at pp. 6-8, appeal denied, ALAB-496, 8 N.R.C. 308, 310 (1978). Cf. Duke Power Co. (Amendment to Ma-terials License SNM-1773), ALAB-528, 9 N.R.C. 146, 150 & n.9 (1979); Philadelphia Electric Co. (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 & 3), ALAB-512, 8 N.R.C. 690, 693 n.2 (1978); Detroit Edison Co. (Greenwood Energy Center, Units 2 & 3), ALAB-476, 7 N.R.C. 759, 763, 765 (1978). 1/

To assist both the Board and other parties, Licensee has prepared a tentative list of the major categories of contentions indicating the contentions which fall into each category; that list is attached hereto. There are, of course,

In situations of complex litigation, the federal courts routinely consolidate parties and issues through the use of both liaison counsel (see Manual for Complex Litigation, § 1.90), and lead counsel, either at the pretrial (see id. at § 1.92] or at the trial stage (see id. at § 4.53). Indeed, such consolidation is actively encouraged (id.). Given the favorable experience of the federal courts with consolidation, there is no reason to believe that any of the rights of the intervening parties here would be adversely affected by a similar procedure.

Ivan W. Smith, Esquire Dr. Walter H. Jordan Dr. Linda W. Little Nevember 2, 1979 Page Four

additional contentions outside these categories and these would be handled by the individual intervenor advancing the particular contention.

C. Discovery Schedule

Licensee proposes that discovery in this proceeding commence immediately upon issuance of the Board's Order following the Special Prehearing Conference. Discovery requests should be filed within thirty days following the Order, and responses thereto within thirty days following service of the request. Licensee contemplates that there might be late requests for discovery. Such discovery should be permitted where the parties involved can agree on additional discovery and on a mutually acceptable schedule for the discovery or, in the absence of such agreement, upon a finding by the Board of good cause. In either case, Licensee anticipates that such late discovery, if permitted, would be carried on in an extremely expeditious manner.

With respect to those petitioners that Licensee has not objected to as impermissible parties, and as to those final contentions that Licensee has not objected to, discovery from Licensee can begin immediately. In this regard, Licensee notes the availability of its Discovery Reading Room following the Special Prehearing Conference (see Licensee's Notice of Discovery Reading Room (filed October 26, 1979)), and requests that the Board authorize document production in accordance with the procedures set forth in Licensee's Notice.

D. Duplication and Filing of Documents

The Board's Memorandum and Order of October 15, 1979 (p. 8) requested Licensee to address the "means by which a reliable and affordable system of duplication of papers, filing, and other communication methods can be established." Licensee has considered the matter and makes the following suggestions:

 Licensee and NRC Staff continue to serve their papers and documents on all parties to the proceeding in accordance with existing regulations.

Ivan W. Smith, Esquire Dr. Walter H. Jordan Dr. Linda W. Little November 2, 1979 Page Five

- At a minimum, intervening parties should serve their papers and documents on the Licensing Board, counsel for the NRC Staff, counsel for Licensee, and one copy on the Secretary, Docketing and Service Section.
- 3. With respect to interrogatories, intervening parties should be relieved of the obligation to serve other intervenors with copies of interrogatories addressed to Licensee or the Staff or of their answers to interrogatories from Licensee or the Staff, provided that at the Special Prehearing Conference those other intervenors waive the requirement of service. As an aid to this process, Licensee and the Staff in their replies to interrogatories will repeat the interrogatory and serve the replies on all parties.
- 4. With respect to motions and answers to motions, those papers that affect the interests of all parties (including all motions relating to schedule) should be served on all parties. However, as to motions and answers that affect the interests of less than all the parties, intervenors may serve only those other intervenors that might be affected by the motion.
- 5. With respect to direct written testimony prepared by intervenors, such documents should be received into evidence as exhibits, rather than incorporated into the transcript, so as to limit the number of necessary copies. However, all parties to the proceeding should receive advance copies of the testimony.

Licensee believes that these suggestions, if implemented in a reasonable and cooperative manner, should serve to reduce much of the duplicating and filing burden of the intervenors.

Respectfully submitted

SHAW, PITTMAN, POTTS A TROWBRIDGE bridge 18

cc: Attached Service List

Psychological Stress and Sacontary Inpacts		÷. 9	
Pinancial Qualifications			
Querator Training		2 2	
Management Capability and Resources		Kb. 2	
Bhergancy Plans		÷ 5 9	175
Radiation Honitoring	Ab. 16	No. 3 No. 6	NJ. 2
THI-1 and THi-2 Separation			
Avalyses and Modification of Plant Design	3		ە ئ غ غ
Parties	Union of Concernad Scientists	Mar jorle M. Aunsit	Anti-Nuclear Group Kapresentiny York

1345 179

.

Partice	Environmental Confiction on Nuclear Rower	<u>Outober 22, 1979</u>			0:10her 5, 1979		Chesopeako Exergy Al Lance	
Multyses and Multfication of Flant Dasign			÷.4	ND. 7	¥. •			10 J2
TML-1 and TML-2 Separation						No. 9		222
Radiation Manitoring							ю. э	
Bhergency Plans			r 2			8. 9 8. 9	¥9. 2	
Management Capadul I I try and Resources								• • •
Querator Training								
Financial Qualifications								6. Q
Psychological Stress and Secondary Inpacts			4	i				

Psychological Stress and Secondary Inpacts	2.		- ~ 4 4	897 T
Pinancial Qualifications		R. 6		
Querator Training				
Munajement Capability and Resources	r ż	No. 5		
Biver cycencry P lans	* *		t .d	K. J
Radiation Manitoring	•• • 44 4			
TML-1 and TML-2 Separation	61CM	ND. 2 ND. 7		
Mulyses and Multication of Plant Design				
Parties	Steven C. Spilly	Muce Mile Islant Alert, Inc.	Medarty Tranship T.M. I. Stort inj Camittee	Magde Apriliat Nector

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY

Docket No. 50-289 (Restart)

(Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1)

SERVICE LIST

Ivan W. Smith, Esquire Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Walter H. Jordan Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel 881 West Outer Drive Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Dr. Linda W. Little Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel 5000 Hermitage Drive Raleigh, North Carolina 27612

James A. Tourtellotte, Esquire Office of the Executive Legal Director U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Docketing and Service Section Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 John A. Levin, Esquire Assistant Counsel Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission P. O. Box 3265 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Karin W. Carter, Esquire Assistant Attorney General Commonwealth of Pennsylvania 505 Executive House P. O. Box 2357 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Robert L. Knupp, Esquire Assistant Solicitor County of Dauphin P. O. Box P 407 North Front Street Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17108

John E. Minnich Chairman, Dauphin County Board of Commissioners Dauphin County Courthouse Front and Market Streets Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101

Walter W. Cohen, Esquire Consumer Advocate Department of Justice 14th Floor, Strawberry Square Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17127

1345 182

Jordan D. Cunningham, Esquire Attorney for Newberry Township T.M.I. Steering Committee 2320 North Second Street Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110

Theodore A. Adler, Esquire Widoff Reager Selkowitz & Adler P. O. Box 1547 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105

Ellyn Weiss, Esquire Sheldon, Harmon & Weiss Suite 506 1725 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Steven C. Sholly 304 South Market Street Marvin I. Lewis Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania 17055 6504 Bradford Terrace

Frieda Berryhill Chairman, Coalition for Nuclear Power Plant Postponement 2610 Glendon Drive Wilmington, Delaware 19808

Holly S. Keck Legislation Chairman Anti-Nuclear Group Representing York 245 West Philadelphia Street York, Pennsylvania 17404 Karen Sheldon, Esquire Sheldon, Harmon & Weiss Suite 506 1725 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Robert Q. Pollard Chesapeake Energy Alliance 609 Montpelier Street Baltimore, Maryland 21218

Chauncey Kepford Judith H. Johnsrud Environmental Coalition on Nuclear Power 433 Orlando Avenue State College, Pennsylvania 16801

Marvin I. Lewis 6504 Bradford Terrace Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19149

Marjorie M. Aamodt R. D. 5 Coatesville, Pennsylvania 19320