

1879-1979

100 Years of Public Health in Texas

OH 708-4

BRODSKY

DOCKET NUMBER

PROPOSED RULE

PR-Misc Notice

Reg Guides

Texas Department of Health

Raymond T. Moore, M.D.
Commissioner

Philip W. Mallory, M.D.
Deputy Commissioner

1100 West 49th Street
Austin, Texas 78756
458-7111

Members of the Board

Robert D. Moreton, Chairman
William J. Foran, Vice-Chairman
Roderic M. Bell, Secretary
Johnnie M. Benson
H. Eugene Brown
Sister Bernard Marie Borgmeyer
Ramiro Casso
Charles Max Cole
Francis A. Conley
Ben M. Durr
William J. Edwards
Raymond G. Garrett
Bob D. Glaze
Blanchard T. Hollins
Laurance N. Nickey
Joe N. Pyle
Richard W. Ragsdale
Isadore Roosth

June 5, 1979

Secretary of the Commission
United States Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Attn: Docketing and Service Branch

Dear Sirs:



The members of our radiation control staff have reviewed the proposed Regulatory Guide 8.23, "Radiation Safety Surveys of Medical Institutions", and have the following comments:

1. The Guide appears to make the assumption of a "radiation protection staff". For private (i.e., non-federal) installations, the radiation protection "staff" will frequently consist of, at most, the nuclear medicine technologist. The number and frequency of surveys required by this guide, would probably require a full time position. The inflationary impact of this cost on medical cost should be evaluated before implementation of this guide.
2. The limits for removable contamination in Table 2 appears to be more restrictive than NRC requires for release of facilities for unrestricted use. For example, beta and x-ray emitters: $10^{-6} \text{ Ci/cm}^2 = 2.2 \text{ dpm/cm}^2$. Hence, for 100 cm^2 , the limit of removable beta/gamma contamination is only 220 dpm. This value is much less than $1000 \text{ dpm/100 cm}^2$ allowed for release of facilities. Consistent limits should be maintained in all regulatory guides.
3. We do not feel air sampling to be worthwhile since it is difficult to correlate personnel exposure with air concentration. If air borne activity is a problem, bioassays would better determine personnel exposure.

939352

7909110429


Acknowledged by card 6:21:39 AM

United States Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
June 5, 1979
Page 2

The Regulatory Guide appears to be appropriate for an institution with a broad research use of by product materials. Most medical institutions do not qualify as this type of facility, yet these "lesser" facilities give excellent medical care, and should not be burdened with a teaching and research hospital protocol. There needs to be an intermediate level of facility recognized and addressed in this guide.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this guide.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "David K. Lacker".

David K. Lacker, Director
Division of Occupational Health
and Radiation Control

939353