

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE

(34)

PR-50 (44 FR 41483)

R.D.#1
2 Pine Country Drive
Saco, Maine 04072

Secretary
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555



Dear Sirs:

In response to your newspaper request ("Evening Express", Portland, Me.) I wish to submit the following:

- #1 Safety for humans, animals, environment. In the event of a "problem" as shown in Pmm. an immediate evacuation plan for everyone. Need perhaps for "wardens" as during World War II - blackouts.
- #2 I would think so -
- #3 Yes -
- #4 Definitely!
- #5 Immediately - let the individual decide what he wants to do - get out or stay as soon as "news" is out - need for awareness of "Survival kits" - food

POOR
ORIGINAL

1064 271

7910010645

#6 water, blankets - items for sets, etc.

Any emergency that effects health - then individual can make own choice - stay or leave a "Civil Defense" type commission - with wardens perhaps in each area - could also be special alert radio stations. Special alerts flashed across t.v. screen, newspapers etc. -

POOR ORIGINAL

#7 yes - definitely as well as ~~wardens~~ ^{wardens} for each area - could be civilians - when

#8 Should also be a "police" type check. Regulations should be extremely rigid.

#9 yes - need for wardens - evacuation plan for areas - perhaps could be signs along roadways, in order for visitors to know what to do. - for main citizens to return "home" as soon as possible & evacuate "lured ones" from home. I could not leave an area without going "home" first. That would be a must!

1064 272

If there was enough warning - you would not leave home - but would be ready to evacuate!

Rick D. Taylor

POOR
ORIGINAL

3, Evening Express, Friday, August 17, 1979

Some planning questions

By JOHN LOVELL
Staff Writer

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has some specific questions for citizens who are concerned about improving evacuation plans for towns around nuclear power plants and are willing to make comments in writing.

Among them are:

- 1. "What are the essential elements that must be included in an effective plan?"
- 2. "Should NRC concurrence in the associated state and local emergency response plans be a requirement for continued operation of any nuclear power plant with an existing operating license?"
- 3. "Should financial assistance be provided to state and local governments for radiological emergency response planning and preparedness?"
- 4. "Should radiological emergency response drills be a requirement?"
- 5. "How and to what extent should the public be informed, prior to any emergency, concerning emergency

actions it might be called upon to take?"

6. "Under what circumstances and using what criteria should a licensee notify state, local and federal agencies of incidents, including emergencies? When, how, to what extent, and by whom should the public be notified of these incidents?"

7. "Should the licensees be required to provide radiological emergency response training for state and local government personnel?"

8. "To what extent should reliance be placed on licensees for the assessment of the actual or potential consequences of an accident with regard to initiation of protective action?"

9. "Would public participation in radiological emergency response drills, including evacuation, serve a useful purpose? If so, what should be the extent of the public participation?"

The NRC asks that written responses be addressed to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington D.C. 20555.

1064 273