

DOCKET NUMBER  
PROPOSED RULE

PR-140 (44 FR 43128)

11



R. D. #1, Box 363  
Delta, PA 17314

August 6, 1979

Secretary  
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sir:

I just finished reading your release "NRC INVITES PUBLIC TO SUBMIT INFORMATION TO ASSIST IN DETERMINATION ON THREE MILE ISLAND ACCIDENT". It seems very obvious to me that, although the news media did their best to make it seem otherwise, there was not a "substantial" release of radioactive material nor was there "substantial" damage to any person or anything offsite. In addition, the lack of "substantial" release of radioactive material or of resulting dose to the public is born out by the results published from the "POPULATION DOSE AND HEALTH IMPACT OF THE ACCIDENT AT THE THREE MILE ISLAND NUCLEAR STATION" report of the Ad Hoc Population Dose Assessment Group. This report indicated the general public dose increase due to TMI was less than one (1) percent over normal background. This could certainly not be construed to be "substantial".

It is my opinion the TMI accident does not meet the definition of an "Extraordinary Nuclear Occurrence" (ENO) as defined in the Price-Anderson Act, nor does it meet the NRC criterion for an ENO.

Thank you for receiving my comments.

*Jack E. Winzenried*  
JACK E. WINZENRIED

JEW:vld

1029 214

Acknowledged by card... 8/21

7909260 539