1C



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION II 101 MARIETTA ST. NW. SUITE 3100 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

AUC : 0 16/9

In Reply Refer To: RII: JPO 50-259 50-260 50-296

> Tennessee Valley Authority ATTN: H. G. Parris Manager of Power 500A Chestnut Street Tower 11 Chattanooga, TN 37401

Gentlemen:

Enclosed is IE Bulletin No. 79-02, Revision 1, Supplement No. 1, which clarifies NRC positions on actions requested with regard to your power teactor facility (ies) with an operating license.

Should you have any questions regarding this Bulletin or the actions required by you, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

James P. O'Reilly

Director

Enclosures:

- 1. IE Bulletin No. 79-02. Revision No. 1 (Supplement No. 1)
- 2. Listing of IE Bulletins Issued in Last Six Months

POO ORIGINAL 7909070273

924 127

Tennessee Valley Authority

cc w/encl: J. G. Dewease Plant Superintendent Post Office Box 2000 Decatur, Alabama 35602

J. F. Cox 400 Commerce Street W10C131 C Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

D. E. McCloud Project Engineer 400 Chestnut Street Tower II Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401

SSINS: 6820 Accession No: 7908150164

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION OFFICE OF INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

August 20, 1979

IE Bulletin No. 79-02 Revision No. 1 (Supplement No. 1)

PIPE SUPPORT BASE PLATE DESIGNS USING CONCRETE EXPANSION ANCHOR BOLTS

Description of Circumstances:

The supplement to IE Bulletin No. 79-02 is intended to establish criteria for the evaluation of interim acceptability of plant operation with less than the design factors of safety for piping supports due to as-built problems, under design, base plate flexibility, or anchor bolt deficiencies.

In the reviews for system operability of the Duane Arnold and Crystal River facilities, criteria have been developed by the NRC staff that defines pipe support operability. The criteria has been applied in lieu of other analysis or evaluation. Specifically, the licensees identified problems with pipe supports in which the original design factors of safety were not met but some lesser margin was available. The design margins of four or five are intended to be final design and installation objectives but systems may be classed as operable on an interim basis with some lesser margin providing a program of restoration to at least the Bulletin factors of safety has been developed. Facilities which fall outside the operability criteria are considered to probably require a Technical Specification exception and will require review on a case by case basis.

Action to be Taken by Licensees:

For the following two cases, plant operation may continue or may begin:

a. For the support as a unit, the factor of safety compared to ultimate strengths is less than the original design but equal to or greater than two.

b. For the anchor bolts the factor of safety is equal to or greater than two and for the support steel the original design factor of safety compared to ultimate strengths is met.

| The above criteria may be applied graded to design margins of safet and by the next refueling for non- fined in Bulletin No. 79-14 where of the plant which can be entered | DUPLICATE DOCUMENT |
|--|------------------------------------|
| | Entire document previously entered |
| 924 129 | No. of pages: |