	E. alexander	
Occupa	ational Health	Standards Branch
Please place the	attached document in	the PDR using the following file and
file points:		
	(Select One)	Related Documents (Enter if appropriate)
Proposed Rule (PR) Reg. Guide Draft Reg. Guide Petition (PRM) Effective Rule (RM)		ACRS Minutes No. Proposed Rule (PR) Draft Reg. Guide Reg. Guide Petition (PRM) Effective Rule (RM) Federal Register Notice SD Task No. NUREG Report Contract No.
	Subject:	Univ. of Michigan - Error in Catigory VIII
		Interval 2

NOTE TO: Document Control Room 016

INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND INDUSTRIAL HEALTH

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48109

MORTON S. HILBERT, B.S.C.E., M.P.H.

I. A. BERNSTEIN, PH.D. Associate Director for Research

MEMORANDUM

TO: Processors 38, 40, 48, 49, 50, and 51

FROM: Phillip Plato

DATE: August 7, 1979

RE: Error in Category VIII, Interval 2

Processor 49 challenged one of the dosimeters we irradiated in the interval shown above. The dosimeter in question was irradiated to gamma radiation at 3:35 PM on 3-12-79 and neutron radiation at 4:42 PM on 3-27-79. The table below shows the total deep dose equivalents reported and delivered to all dosimeters irradiated simultaneously with the dosimeter in question.

Dosimeters irradiated at the same time as dosimeter #220

	supplied by Processor 49	Total Dose Equivalent (mrem)
Processor	Gamma Neutron	Delivered Reported
16	#2074	336 270
36	# 507	336 330
38	# 10	345 2756
40	# 218	339 3250
. 48	#5847	339 3531
49	# 220 # 220	335 3006
50	# 725 # 56,	not yet reported
51	# 14	345 1676
52	# 10	334 470

Obviously, we did not deliver the correct neutron dose, although the gamma dose appears to be correct. Therefore, we have voided the six dosimeters shown in the table that were irradiated together.

We regret our error, but are pleased with our system of irradiating six dosimeters at a time from six different processors.

Phillip Plate

904159

PP/mf cc: Robert Alexander

7909040667