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T0: Processors $38,40,48,49,50$, and 51
FROM: Phillip Plato
DATE: August 7, 1979
RE: Error in Category VIII, Interval 2

Processor 49 challenged one of the dosimeters we irradiated in the interval shown above. The dosimeter in question was irradiated to gamma radiation at $3: 35$ PM on 3-12-79 and neutron radiation at 4:42 PM on 3-27-79. The table below shows the total deep dose equivalents reported and delivered to all dosimeters irradiated simultaneously with the dosimeter in question.


Obviously, we did not deliver the correct neutron dose, although the gamma dose appears to be correct. Therefore, we have voided the six dosimeters shown in the table that were irradiated together.

We regret our error, but are pleased with our system of irradiating six dosimeters at a time from six different processors.
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