UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

1	In the Matter of:	
2	IE THI INVESTIGATION INTERVIEW	
3	of	
3 4 5	Mr. John H. Flint Physics Tests Coordinator, Unit 2	
6 7		
/		
8		Trailer #203
9		NRC Investigation Site TMI Nuclear Power Plant
10		Middletown, Pennsylvania
11		1
12		April 23, 1979 (Date of Interview)
13		June 19, 1979
14		(Date Transcript Typed)
15		58 and 59 (Tape Number(s))
16		(Tape Humber(3))
17		승규는 귀엽에 가슴
18		
19		630
1	NRC PERSONNEL:	0-
20	200	
21	NRC PERSONNEL:	
22	James S. Creswell, Reactor Inspector	
23	Donald C. Kirkpatrick, Nuclear Engineer	
24	and a second sec	
25		891 323

1 SHACKLETON: The time is now 6:08 p.m., April 23, 1979. This is an 2 interview of Mr. John H. Flint. Mr. Flint is presently assigned as the 3 Physics Tests Coordinator, Unit 2, at the Three Mile Island Nuclear 4 Power Plant operated by the Metropolitan Edison Company. This interview 5 is being conducted in Trailer 203 just outside the south gate at the 61 Three Mile Island Nuclear Power Plant. Present to conduct this interview 7 are: Mr. James S. Creswell. Mr. Creswell is a reactor inspector for 8 Region III of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Also present is 9 Mr. Donald C. Kirkpatrick. Mr. Kirkpatrick is a nuclear engineer assigned 10 to Inspection and Enforcement headquarters of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory 11 Commission in Washington, D.C. Also present at the request of Mr. Flint 12 is Mr. William H. Behrle. Mr. Behrle is project engineer for the 131 Metropolitan Edison Company.

14

SHACKLETON: Prior to starting this taped interview, I presented to Mr. Flint a two-page document prepared by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission which explains the purpose, the scope and the rights of the individual regarding giving a signed statement and explaining this investigation. At this time, Mr. Flint signed the three questions "yes" that I'm going to repeat here for the record.

22 <u>SHACKLETON:</u> Mr. Flint did you understand both pages of the document? 23

FLINT: Yes, I did.

891 024

25

241

1	SHACKLETON: And do we have your permission to tape this interview?
2	
3	FLINT: Yes, you do.
4	
5	SHACKLETON: And, would you like a copy of this tape or a transcript?
6	
7	FLINT: I would prefer a transcript.
8	
9	SHACKLETON: All right, sir. Mr. Flint, for those persons who are
10	listening to this tape, would you please give us some background in-
11	formation on yourself as to your credentials and being involved in your
12	present job assignment.
13	
14	FLINT: I am presently an engineer with Babcock and Wilcox Company. My
15	prior experience includes approximately 5 years in the United Stales
16	Naval Nuclear Power Program. I have 6½ years as a Senior Reactor
17	Uperator licensed on three reactors with the General Atomic Company and
18	have been with Babcock and Wilcox almost seven years. During the
19	period of time I've been with Babcock and Wilcox I was a physics test
20	engineer on the startup Oconee units 1 and 2 for Duke Power Company in
21	South Carolina; Three Mile Island Unit 1 at Metropolitan Edison Company,
22	was approximately 1 year in the preoperational and initial criticality
23	testing at the Toledo Edison Company, Toledo, Ohio; and was assigned as
24	
25	0.01
	891 025

a physics test coordinator Unit 2, Three Mile Island, for GPU Service Corporation, was in charge of the physics program startup operational testing and prior to this transient was in process of writing statup report for Unit 2.

1

2

31

4.

5

61

7

8

9

10

11

12

SHACKLETON: Thank you. And now gentlemen, we will begin our questioning, and for the purposes of those listening to the tape, Mr. Flint was present on March 28, 1979, some hours after the problem at Three Mile Island was identified. Mr. Flint, could you give the listening audience the best details that you can recall as to your actions and activities on that day?

13 FLINT: At approximately 0830 on the 20th of March, I arrived at the 14 North gate to the Island only to be stopped due to security personnel 15 restricting anyone from coming on the Island. It took me approximately 16 20 minutes to a half hour to have the security guards call in and 17 contact the control room to find out if my services were required. At 18 approximately 0900 I was granted permission to go on the Island; im-19 mediately went to the Unit 2 turbine area where we have the entrance for Unit 2 and went directly to the control room. On entering the 201 control room, I noticed that the normal alarms were lit and that the 21 22 typers were printing out as normally occurs following a turbine/reactor trip. Ah, I also noticed that the Emergency Team for radiation type 23 24 emergency was in attendance in the control room at this time. I talked with the Control Room personnel and this is primarily with Bill Zewe, 25

891 026

1 the Shift Supervisor, Ed Fredricks, the Control Room Operator, and Lee 2 Rogers, Babcock and Wilcox Site Representative, and learned that the 3 conditions were abnormal for this type of a transient. In talking with 4 these personnel and looking at the console indications and the computer 5 printouts, I noted that the hot leg temperatures for the primary system 6 were in excess of 620°F, cold leg temperatures were significantly lower 7 than this, which would be unusual in this condition, pressure was low 8 in the reactor coolant system, all control rods were on the bottom. 9 Indications for the source and intermediate range appeared to be normal, 10 for this period of time following a shutdown condition. I did notice. 11 however, that there were several blips on the recorde for source/intermediate 12 range and in conversation with Ed Fredricks he informed me that they 13 thought at the time that they were going critical and that they had 14 added additional boron to the system. At this time, I informed them 15 that in all probability this was not the case, that there had been a 16 change in leakage flux path from the reactor core to the detectors and 17 it was not in fact the case the reactor going critical again. Looking 18 at the recorder that prints out the steam generator and reactor coolant 19 temperatures on wide range which runs from approximately 0 to 800°F, 20 there were 2 temperatures that were printing up scale on the hot leg 21 temperatures; one approximately 770 degress, the other approximately 22 800 degrees. Now these thermocouples are not normally used in this 23 range so I was not certain that they would give an accurate temperature, 24 only indications of approximate ranges. Ivan Porter, Metropolitan 25 Edison I&C engineer, showed me a setup where he had set up a bridge and

891 027

1 was reading out a temperature in the back of the control room that was 21 converting instances was above the normal Rosemont Calibration scale, 31 came out to be approximately 725°F, which tended to back up the indications 4 we'd seen on the other recorder. Talked to various personnel in the 5 Control Room, Gary Miller, the rest of the Operations personnel such as 6 George Kunder, informed me of sequence of events that led up to this 7 position. At this time I again talked with Ed Fredricks and both he 8 and I were convinced that we had in fact a solid steam bubble in both 9 loops of the hot legs. At the time, I attempted to initiate the filling 10 of the steam generator to induce natural circulation or at least remove 11 enough heat to collapse steam bubbles sufficient to run a reactor 12 coolant pump. At this time I had been informed that they had tried to 13 bump the pumps earlier and that they had seen little or no flow indication 14 and had therefore secured the pumps. At that time, to the best of my 15 knowledge, natural circulation had not been started they were just in 16 the process of filling the generators. Shortly after this, they tried 17 to attempt to pressurize the system and collapse the bubbles by using 18 pressure. I had at that time informed them that they could not do it 19 this way in all probability because of the high temperatures, the 20 pressure would exceed the allowable pressure we could go to in the RCS 21 and that the Code safetys would lift long before we got there. However, 22 the attempt was made in the in the possibility that thermocouples were 23 not indicating properly and that just was a chance that it may in fact 2: collapse the bubble. It did not work, and they then brought the pressure 25 back down. During this period of time they were dumping steam out of

891 328

1 the atmospheric dumps, this was approximately 1100 to 1230. This was 2 the mode that they were using to get rid of decay heat. They had lost 31 the auxiliary boilers from Unit 1, (not have condenser vacuum, this was 4 the reason they were using this mode); the "B" generator was bottled up 5 at this time because there had been a reported primary to secondary 3 leak. They had taken another set of samples and confirmed the fact 7 that there was activity in the "B" generator. About this time, Gary 8 Miller was preparing to go with George Kunder and Jack Herbein to see 9 the Governor. We received a call that indicated that the Governor did 10 not want us dumping radioactive steam out. He was under the impression 11 that's what we were dumping, although we had an individual on top of 12 the turbine building monitoring, we had people around the site; we had 13 no contamination with dumping. However, the governor wanted the atmos-14 pheric dump valve shut and it was shut at approximately 12:30. At this 15 period of time they were essentially removing decayed heat by either 16 opening the block valve for the electromatic relief valve, which is 17 RCV2, and dumping down into the reactor building, or dumping down into 18 the condensor on the bypass valves. In this range of time, heard a double thump, and at the time we had been in and out of respirators. I 191 201 assumed that the sound I heard was just a ventilation cycling at this 21 time. There appears to be a correlation with this and the reported hydrogen detonation in the building at that time. Shortly afterwards 22 we received our alarms for high building pressure, ECCS, building 23 24 spray, and so forth. But, as I say, at that time, I just thought the noise was associated with the building dampers. Spent several hours 25

891 029

14 feeding with the high pressure injection pumps. Finally succeeded in 2 bringing the hot leg temperature on the "A" side down on scale. At 3 this point in time, the operator attempted to switch the inlet piping 4 that was sending the high pressure injection in to try to collapse the 5 (ah) "B" side also. At that time he lost the "A" side, went back up 6 off scale above 620°. It was requested that we once again attempt to 7 collapse the bubble. We took the pressure back to approximately 2300 8 pounds; held it there for approximately 1 hour (I again explain, I did 9 not believe we could collapse it because of the temperatures we were 10 at). It did not work and we were unable to collapse it this way. There 11 was then some concern about whether or not we actually had the core 12! covered. We felt that we did, but elected to reduce pressure in an 13 attempt to bring the core flood tanks on. Through the injection nozzles 14 of the core flood tanks, and coming right into the downcomer, it was 15 felt that if the core was not covered that there should be a significant 16 level and pressure change in there when they actuated. Pressure was 17 slowly brought down, core flood tanks actuated, level came down slowly, 18 we did not see any significant changes during this portion of time to 19 indicate that we were, in fact, not covering the core. There was no 20 noticeable change in the count rate for the source range instrumentation, 21 which would also indicate that we had not significantly changed anything 22 in there. We found that we were steaming again in going down on the 23 bypass valve and so forth, had filled the steam generators up, removed enough heat that the "A" loop temperature came back on scale, the cold 24

25

891 030

1 leg temperatures started coming up and we felt that we had collapsed 2 the bubble to some degree in the "A" side. During this portion of time 3 we were periodically printing out the RTD's of the incore themocouples 4 . and following the temperatures in the core. When we first started 5 dumping them out many of them had question marks, which indicated they 6 were above their normal scale of 700° not printing out "bad" which 7 would indicate that they had failed. Over the next few hours these 8 thermocouples gradually came back on scale we were recovered more and 9 more of them and towards late afternoon I believe we had most of them 10 indicating on scale. We then elected to run a reactor coolant pump. We 11 chose the "A" loop since we have spray on this side and this would be 12 therefore, the best side to get a pump on if possible. Additionally 13 since the "B" side was bottled up, we had a better chance of dropping 14 heat across the "A" side. In order to be prudent, we only bumped the 15 "1A" pump for approximately 10 seconds. We tried the "2A" pump, it did 16 not start so we went to the "1A". During the approximately 10 to 11 17 seconds we ran the "1A" pump, reactor coolant pump, we had good flow 18 indications and a normal indication of pump current. We did not therefore, 19 have any reasons to believe that seals had failed, all the seal pressures 20 and leakages were normal and so forth We then waited approximately 15 21 minutes. This is a normal mode since can draw a large amount of 22 surge current on it we do not want to start the pump any more often 23 than we have to. Since we feit that we had moved water, the temper-

24

25

891 031

atures appeared to be good, we did not feel that we had a two-phased blowdown there. We then turned on the "1A" pump again, approximately 15 to 18 minutes later, and left it on. The temperatures did in fact come down on the "A" side and later slowly started coming down on the "B" side. Late in the evening, approximately 2200 to 2218, in that range, we had the temperatures down and we did reestablish a bubble in the pressurizer. I believe that pretty well covers a general overview of what I observed that day and what I participated in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11:

12

13

14

23

24

25

<u>CRESWELL:</u> OK John, thank you. I'd like to go back to the previous day. Ah, John having looked at the security logs, I note that that you were in from the time period of 1445 hours to 1555 hours. Could you briefly describe what you did during that time period?

15 FLINT: Since that time period would be the time period that I normally 16 go up to the Control Room, talk to the Control Room Operators, find out 17 if conditions were normal, which I did at least once a day. We had the 18 Reactimeter, which is B&W's name for a data logging system, data acqui-19 sition system, 24-channel capability. Its on an automatic 3-second 20 logging frequency, at least once a day change the magnetic tape in it. 21 This is the period of time when I went in and I always try to stop at 22 the Control Room before I go in to change the tape.

891 032

1	CRESWELL: So you did change a tape out?
3	FLINT: That is correct.
5	CRESWELL: And a tape would last for how long?
7	FLINT: Tape on a 3-second logging frequency will last for approximately 26 hours.
9	
10	CRESWELL: OK. Were there any particular points that you were monitoring
11	at that time?
12	
13	FLINT: Do bu have any problem there?
14	
15	BEHRLE: No.
16	
17	FLINT: Ah, OK. During a normal ande in which we might experience a
18	transient of some type, we normally monitor reactor coolant system
19	pressure, the hot leg on the primary side, the cold legs on the primary
20	side, pressurizer level, the spray valve position, steam generator
21	operate and startup levels, steam generator pressures, turbine header
22	pressure, makeup tank level, drain tank pressure.
23	
24	CRESWELL: That's reactor coolant drain tank pressure?
25	

FLINT: Reactor coolant drain tank pressure. Feedwater flows on the "A" and "B" loop on the secondary side, feedwater temperature; pretty make the parameters that we normally would look at.

1

21

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

<u>CRESWELL:</u> Ah, the reactor coolant drain tank pressure - why was it of interest?

FLINT: If for some reason you had lifted the safety valve, you can get an inference from the changes in the drain tank pressure. This is sort of a backup system to incur on a transient to see how long you had blown down.

CRESFIL: OK. Have you had some previous experience that indicated that you needed to monitor that particular parameter?

16 FLINT: I don't know as I'd use the term "needed to". It's more that 17 we felt its a nice parameter to have. There had been one or two ocassions 18 down at Oconee where we had a rupture disk go on the tank and therefore 191 we were trying to follow what was the occurring during the transient 20 and as I say, it is a backup in case one of the other systems didn't 21 tell me the information, this was another way of gaining it. Sort of 22 like on the steam generator, you're normally on the operate level, but we monitor both the startup and the operate for overlapping redundancy, 23 24 if you will.

891 334

 \hat{n}_{i}

1	CRESWELL: OK, So you were the one that selected the data points that
2	would be put in the Reactimeter?
3	
4	FLINT: That is correct.
5	
6	CRESWELL: Oh. So, I think you mentioned that you arrived at the gate.
7	What, the north gate or the south?
8	
9	FLINT: North Gate.
10	
11	CRESWELL: North Gate. About 7:30 a.m.?
12	
13	FLINT: Approximately 0830.
14	
15	CRESWELL: 0830. I'm sorry. Ah, and you were there for what - about 30
16	minutes?
17	
18	FLINT: 20 to 30 minutes. I'd say closer to 30 minutes.
19	
20	CRESWELL: Ah, could you expand on that time period. Did you initially
21	walk up to the guard, or could you develop that time period for us?
22	
23	FLINT: No. The first several minutes, I just sat there debating
24	whether to go up to the observation center, which is the normal col-
25	lection point for this type of, an isolation of the site, most people
1	

will go up there. However, I noticed that Unit 1 had not come up and I knew that that morning was scheduled to come back up line; and about that period of time before I decided to go up to the observation center, personnel started coming down. Most of them appeared to be instrumentation technicians to go on the Island. I therefore felt that there was no reason why at least some people could not go on and therefore at that time approached the security personnel. Took a few minutes to convince him that he should contact the Control Room and find out if my assistance was required.

CRESWELL: OK. What did you observe while you were waiting there that 25-30 minute period?

14 <u>FLINT:</u> "rimarily that both units were down and, that traffic was being 15 sent up to the observation center, there were several security personnel 16 in the area. There were only (I believe) 2 or 3 cars there when I was 17 there. It was just a normal atmosphere, just possibly overrunning a 18 security drill except for the fact that I noticed that the cooling, 19 there was nothing coming out of the cooling towers on Unit 2.

21 <u>CRESWELL:</u> OK. And you noticed the same on Unit 1 and that's how you 22 derived that Unit 1 wasn't back on line.

24 FLINT: That is correct.

11

2

31

4

5

61

7

81

9

10

11

12

13

201

23

25

891 336

1 CRESWELL: OK. Ah, did someone call you at home to come in or is the 21 normal time for you to come in? 3 4 FLINT: This is approximately the normal time I would have come in in 5 the morning. I received no phone call at home. But I have a very 6 selective phone system. I can call out at any time but only approxi-7 mately 40% of the messages come in. I spent about a year fighting with 8 the phone company on this but no success vet. 91 10 CRESWELL: OK. Getting back with TMI. Ah, You finally gained access. 11 The guard, well what, the guard told you you could go in? 12 13 FLINT: He contacted the Unit 2 Control Room; I believe spoke to Gary 14 Miller or George Kunder and they said to send me in. 15 16 CRESWELL: OK. So could you ah, briefly describe how you got up to the 17 control room? 18 19 FLINT: Went down to the normal access we use to go into Unit 2, which is through the security access gate down the Unit 2 turbine building, 20 21 went in through the turbine building, and up to the control tower. 22 23 CRESWELL: What did you notice walking from the security gate until got to the control room? 24 25 891 337

1 FLINT: Essentially that the unit was either cooling down or in a 2 relativel ... d' Je. There was not the normal sounds that you hear 3 from the steam piping and so forth when you're operating. At that time 4 ther eve no safety valves lifting I just walked thru the lower levels 1.1 so I couldn't tell whether or not the turbine was s `1 rolling or 61 anything of this nature. But it was obviously a case where the unit 7 had been shut down for saveral hours. 8 9 CRESWELL: OK. Did you see any personnel on your way in? 10 11 FLINT: The only personnel were the two personnel that I met at the 12 security station that passed me thru the gate and allowed me to go in. 13 14 CRESWELL: OK. What, ah, as I recollect walking down the hall there in 15 the control room, there's some glass windows. 16 17 FLINT: There's a window outside of the Shift Supervisor's office. 18 19 CRESWELL: What did you see as you walked dow. the hall through the window? 20 21 221 FLINT: Saw a large number of people in the Control Room that were 23 appeared to be in conference. And I could glance in, I could see the 24 annunciators were on. It would appear to be a recovery from a trip. 25

891 338

1 CRESWELL: Do you recollect who was in the Shift Engineer's office when 21 you passed it? 3 4 FLINT: I could not say for certain at this time. 5 61 CRESWELL: OK. So then you entered the Control Room. And what were 7 your first impressions as you entered the Control Room? 8 9 FLINT: Well, except for the fact that there were some auxiliary operators 10 and the radiation monitoring team or the emergency radiation team in 11 there, it appeared to be, as you would expect after a turbine reactor 12 trip, normal lines, normal annunciators lit, station personnel, such as 13 Gary Miller, Joe Logan, George Kunder, Seelinger, were all in the 14 Control Room. The operators were there. The only unusual portion was 151 apppeared that the previous shift was on. Had essentially double shift 16 in the Control Room. Plus some personnel that are normally up in 17 Unit 1 were down there. 18 19 CRESWELL: OK. How many people would you estimate were in the Control Room at that time? 201 21 FLINT: Perhaps 25, 28. 22 23 CRESWELL: OK. Did you happen to notice the radiation alarms on the 24 panel? 25 891.039

1 FLINT: I noticed that there were some radiation alarms on the panels. 2 I did not walk over though to look at that time at the relative indi-3 cation to find out how far up it was. 4 5 CRESWELL: Do you happen to remember approximately how many would have 6 been? 7 81 FLINT: No, I was just doing a quick scan at that time. Looking at the 9 computer output and getting a quick idea of what the conditions were 10 and not specifics. 11 12 CRESWELL: I have here a set of photographs that I have obtained from 13 the TMI Training staff. Color photographs of the Control Room. That I 14 am showing John now and to give us some perspective about where he is 15 in the control room and where some of the other people are. Could you 16 briefly describe as you walk in the Control Room what the situation is? 17 18 FLINT: Entered on the left side of the Control Room through the normal 19 access door. Looked at the back annunciator panels, primarily towards 20 the reactor coolant portion of it the emergency cooling system, radiation 21 alarms, went to the plant computer, which is on the left hand side, saw 22 that the printouts appeared to be standard printouts at that time following a turbine reactor trip. Then, verified of course at the same 23 24 25 891 040

time that all the control rods were on the bottom (this is one of the first things I noticed entering the Control Room) and started talking with Lee Rogers, Ed Fredricks, Bill Zewe at this time.

1

2

31

4

5

61

7

8

9

121

11

13

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

CRESWELL: Could you briefly describe what you discussed with them or what their statements were to you?

FLINT: At the time I had asked them what had occurred. They told me that they had had a turbine reactor trip. That they had eventually saw an indication of loss of flow on the reactor coolant pumps and secured the pumps, had seen an unusual indication on the source in the immediate range, which I had verified by looking at the strip chart and when they 12 told me that the pressure had been low earlier I went over and checked a few of the charts quickly and told them at that time, that my impression was not that the reactor started to go critical again, but that it was just a change in leakage path. I asked them about the alarms for the radiation. They said that they had a large number of alarms in the aux building, in the reactor building and that they had bottled up the "B" generator because they thought that they had a primary secondary leak on that side. Shortly after this period of time, Dick Dubiel had obtained an additional sample and verified that in fact that the "B" generator did have activity. 22

891 041

<u>SHACKLETON:</u> Gentlemen: Hold off just for a moment while we change the tape. We'll use the second tape. This is Owen C. Shackleton speaking. I've been present since the beginning of the interview acting as moderator and I am an investigator for Region V U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

The time is now 6:38 p.m., April 23, 1979.

SHACKLETON: The time is now 6:40 p.m., April 23, 1979, and this is a continuation of the interview of Mr. John H. Flint. Please continue gentlemen.

12 <u>CRESWELL:</u> John, we were just discussing what you had the discussion 13 that you have had with the operator when you came in ah, into the 14 control room. Now what time did you, ah, realize that there was ah, an 15 abnormal situation?

16

11

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17 <u>FLINT:</u> I would say that I realized it pernaps, this is only an estimate, 18 20 minutes perhaps a half hour after I had come into the control room. 19 By this time I had talked with several people and had learned of the 20 securing of the pumps the unusual flow indication, the source and 21 immediate range was coming up scale, had looked at the thermocouple 22 readings that were reading, one was reading 770 the other one was 23 reading up around 800°F indicated as I mentioned I didn't know whether

25

24

891 342

to exactly believe these because they normally don't operate in this range. I had also looked at the computer printout, called up a few of the incores I believe at that time, and felt that at that time that we did have a fairly serious problem.

6 <u>CRESWELL:</u> OK. Uh, you mentioned a flow problem. Could you elaborate 7 on that a bit?

9 FLINT: The operators that ah, indicated that although the pumps were 10 running, the indication on the current appeared to be going down, and 11 the flow continued to exhibit a slow decrease in indicated flow. At 12 this time their pressure was somewhere around a thousand pounds to the 13 best of their knowledge. They stated that because of the pressure they 14 had shut off the "B" loop pumps and then later shut off the "A" loop 15 pumps in order not to fail the reactor coolant pump seals. This is a 16 normal operating procedure.

18 <u>CRESWELL:</u> Do you feel that that was a reasonable thing for them to do?

20 <u>FLINT:</u> Here you are asking for an opinion from me and I would have to 21 say that my answer would be probably different from their's as an 22 engineer as opposed to a control room operator.

24 CRESWELL: OK.

25

23

17

19

5

8

3 4 CRESWELL: Based on what sort of judgement in your mind? 5 61 FLINT: As long as my seal cavity pressures and flow to the pumps was 7 maintained and I did not see any unusual indications other than the 8 dropping of the current, did not see any large oscillations indicating 9 that I was flashing the reference legs to the Gentilly tubes and just 10 that it was going down, I would feel that from my indicated temperatures 11 and and my pressure, I was ah, had low quality water and was therefore 12 pumping low quality water and that the pumps in fact, were still per-13 forming satisfactorily. 14 15 CRESWELL: OK. To what do you attribute the drop in current required 16 by the reactor coolant pumps? 17 18 FLINT: If the pumps are, the pumps are designed as constant mass. 19 Therefore, if they are pumping lower quality, they require less current 20 in order to function and turn at a certain RPM, they are not working as 21 hard. 22 CRESWELL: What if one were receiving vibration alarms? 23 24 25 891 044

21

FLINT: And I would say at that point and time. I would probably have

1

2

continued to run the pumps.

FLINT: If the alarms were high enough to indicate that there was potential failure of the pump or the seals, then in that case, I would shut the pump down.

1

2

3

4

5

61

7

8

91

10

11

121

13

14

15

CRESWELL: OK. We recognize that you, as you prefaced your remarks, these are your opinions as an engineer.

FLINT: As an engineer not as an operator and of course, I'm talking ah, coming into the control room five hours approximately after it occurred.

<u>CRESWELL:</u> Right, right. OK. One of the other things that you discussed with them was the isolation of the "B" steam generator. Could you go into some detail about what you discussed with them about that?

16 FLINT: They had mentioned at the time when I was talking with them, that they had isolated the "B" steam generator because they felt that 17 18 there was a primary to secondary leak based on some activity, later and additionally when they thought they should be maintaining a constant 19 20 level, they had seen a level change in the generator. As opposed to the "A" generator which it was not varying in level at that time. I 21 asked if they had taken an additional sample to verify that, they said 22 they were in a process of doing so, and shortly after that, as I men-23 tioned earlier, Dick Dubiel presented the results of the sample which 241 did indicate they had activity in the "B" generator. 25

891 045

1 CRESWELL: OK. Did you look at any data regarding levels, pressures 21 whatever, any temperatures in the steam generator ah, to, to research 3 that on your own? 41 5 FLINT: Essentially, all I did was go over and look at the existing 6 level and the trend prior to that, they were on the strip chart re-7 corders on the console. 8 9 CRESWELL: What did that indicate to you? 10 11 FLINT: I would say, at that time, just merely that in all probability 12 the "B" generator was bottled. Cause I was just looking at it and had 13 not been told that, and that they were, at that time, steaming off of 14 the "A" generator. Ah, other than that, I, I really couldn't have 15 drawn much of a conclusion. 16 17 CRESWELL: OK. What happens next? After you've discussed these items 18 with the (ah) operators? 19 201 FLINT: Ah, that was the period of time that ah, they'd come to the 21 conclusion that the reason they probably were not transferring heat 22 across very well was the fact that they did not have the level high 23 enough in the generators to induce natural circulation and that they 24 had a steam bubble in the two loops and till they collapsed steam 25 bubble they could not establish natural circulation.

23

891)46

1 CRESWELL: Where in the ah, physically located in the reactor coolant 2 system do you feel the blockage was, flow blockage? 31 4 FLINT: At that time I felt it was at the top of the hot legs, which we 5 refer to as the "J" legs where they come up and just before they go 61 down into the top of the steam generators. 71 8 CRESWELL: When do you figure that that flow blockage occurred in those 91 hot legs? At what point in time? 10 11 FLINT: Looking at the data that was available there, I would estimate 12 that it had occurred shortly after or about the time that the two re-13 "A" loop reactor coolant pumps were secured. 14 15 CRESWELL: That's when all forced flow was lost in the system? 16 17 FLINT: That's when all four reactor coolant pumps were off at that 18 time. 191 20 CRESWELL: OK. Ah, what happens next in time? 21 22 FLINT: Approximately in that period of time we are bringing the ah, 23 generator level up on the "A" side to induce natural circulation. 24 There was a period for approximately an hour when the pressure was 25 increased to approximately 2000 pounds in an attempt to collapse that

891)47

1 bubble. We were also reading out the incore thermocouples and following 2 the ah, setup that Ivan Porter had put up to look at the temperature 31 over there on the special bridge, and, following the temperatures that 4 were printing out on the recorder. 5 6 CRESWELL: OK. Ah, we have received from the licensee certain charts 7 that were prepared there, ah, 20 of these charts if I remember correctly. 8 And ah, its my understanding that these were plotted in the control 9 room. Could you relate in time when this plotting went on? 10 11 FLINT: These charts were actually plotted down in the process control 12 center in one of the ah, rooms there on the 29th and the 30th. A day 13 and 2 days following the transient. 14 15 CRESWELL: OK. So no data was plotted in the, in the control room 16 area? 17 18 FLINT: None of the 20 charts you're referring to were plotted in the 19 control room. 20 21 CRESWELL: OK. Was there other data that you did plot in the control 22 room? 23 FLINT: At that time, no, we were merely ah, trending data output on 24 25 the computer, watching the strip chart recorders and occasionally bringing out ah, utility printer groups looking at various parameters

in the system.

25

891)48

1 CRESWELL: OK. Would you classify your participation in the control 2 room after you got there as mainly as an advisory capacity? 31 4 FLINT: That is correct. Since I do not have, ah a reactor operator's 5 license, I can only suggest or recommend certain operations to the 6 personnel there since they have the licenses ah, they can accept or 7 ignore my advice. 8 9 CRESWELL: Ok. Could you briefly ah, describe those recommendations 10 that you made and who you made 'em to? 11 12 FLINT: One recommendation was to fill the generators to cool down and 13 collapse the bubble. When we got some indication that we had flow 14 across there to run a reactor coolant pump for a short period of time 15 to induce flow; to monitor the incore thermocouples to tell us what the 16 core was doing; to, at that time they had already taken steps although 17 I did not know it when I first mentioned about taking those samples to 18 verify that we did in fact have activity on the "B" generator because 19 if we could open up both generators, this would make it a little easier to cool the unit; ah, to maintain the pressure as much as possible and 20 not go down any lower. At that time or shortly after I had gotten 21 there, I learned that the electromatic relief valve had been opened for 221 23 several hours and that they had finally shut the block valve to isolate it, and on occasion when I was there, they were using the block valve 24 as a control valve during this portion of time. Ah, 25

891)49

.

1	CRESWELL: When you say control valve, that's to vary the flow out?
3	FLINT: Vary the flow out. They had ah, letdown flow during this time,
4	normal letdown flow and they were also using that as they were putting
5	the high pressure injection water in from the makeup pumps they were
6	using that to control their level on their pressurizer.
7	asing side to concrot their rever on their pressurizer.
8	('DECWELL, You had indications that they used drive this price to the
9	<u>CRESWELL:</u> You had indications that they were doing this prior to the
10	time that you were there?
10	
11	FLINT: Ah, I had verbal indications that they were doing this prior to
12	my being there.
13	
14	CRESWELL: Now please go on with your recommendations that you made.
15	
16	FLINT: Ah, when we finally got the levels up high enough in the gen-
17	erators that we could see, in fact, some pressure drop across it,
2.3	recommend the running of the pump to try to remove heat, anyway. At
19	this time, they were still working here to get the auxiliary boilers
20	back so we could draw a vacuum down the condensor and steam it. We
21	were in constant communication with Lynchburg, Virginia, with Babcock
22	and Wilcox, there was an open line to them; ah, NRC personnel, approxi-
23	mately, I would say 10 to 11, but that's only a guess because time has
24	no meaning when you're in this type of a situation. Had arrived in the
25	

1 control room they had an open line and were talking with other NRC 21 personnel on the incident and of course the Met-Ed people were conferring 3 with outside personnel in their organization regarding this. 4 5 CRESWELL: Who did you make these recommendations to? 61 71 FLINT: Made them to Lee Rogers, George Kunder, and Gary Miller, who 8 are the top people at the site and talked with, on occasion, Joe Logan. 9 10 CRESWELL: Did you spend most of the, your time with those people, that 11 is Miller, er, Rogers and Kunder? 12 13 FLITT: No. Lee Rogers spent most of the time with them, I was primarily 148 out in the control room with ah, Bill Zewe or Ed Fredricks, ah, or some 15 of the other operators out there. I was more or less following what 16 was occuring in the plant and occasionally going in and listening to 171 the discussions. 18 19 CRESWELL: Ah, you mentioned, ah, that you were monitoring the incore 20 thermocouples. Ah, did you have indications that ah, personnel in the 21 control room had been monitoring that data bef ... you got there? 22 23 FLINT: No, to the best of my knowledge they had not been. 241 25

28

1 CRESWELL: Did you recommend that they pay closer attention to that 2 information? 3 4 FLINT: I recommended at the time that we look at what they were in-5 dicating to try to get some idea of what the core conditions might be. 61 Periodically, after that I called up the indications to follow what was 7 going on in the core. 8 91 CRESWELL: Did you have any indications that after you'd been there and 10 studied the ah, plant history and the event, that the core had been 11 uncovered before you got there? 12 13 FLINT: My early remark to them about the fact that it'd been in all 14 probability change in the leakage path from the core was my feeling 15 that at that time either there had been partial uncovering of the core, 16 or that there had been enough void formation or low quality enough 17 water there, that the ah, leakage path had changed enough that probably 18 the core had been uncovored. There were at least 2 instances where this probably occurred. 191 20 21 CRESWELL: Does this plant contain ah, what is called, the device is called 'internal vent valves'? 22 23 24 FLINT: Yes. There are internal vent valves. 25

29

1	CRESWELL: To your knowledge did these devices play any part in the
2	event?
3	
5	FLINT: To the best of my knowledge, no.
6	
7	CRESWELL: OK. Ah, what time did you leave that day?
8	ELINT: Approximately 2200
9	FLINT: Approximately 2300.
10	CRESWELL: OK. That'd be 11:00 at night?
11	
1	FLINT: Yes.
13	
14	CRESWELL: Ah, so were you doing any other things besides, ah, looking
15	at data, just having discussions with the operators, or discussions
16	wich Lee Rogers:
17	
19	FLIMI. No, that was primarily my function at the time.
20	
21	CRESWELL: At the end of the day, what types of data had you looked at, beyond what you've already mentioned?
22	
23	FLINT: I'd taken a quick scan through the alarm printer, ah, and also
24	looked at the other recorders on the back of the console in a little
25	more detail, had looked at the backup recorders, seen some of the

levels that were being indicated on the radiation monitors. Primarily that was it. I wasn't too interested in the secondary sides cause we were essentially shut down and weren't many parameters over there to look at.

CRESWELL: OK. It's my understanding that since the event ah, you have been involved in reviewing quite a bit of the data.

FLINT: That is correct.

. <u>CRESWELL:</u> And at this time I would like to ask Don Kirkpatrick ah, ah, to address that particular aspect of what you've been doing.

14 <u>KIRKPATRICK:</u> Allright. First of all, I would like to go back to ah, I 15 have a two, couple of questions on some of the comments you made earlier. 16 Ah, you mentioned the fact that you tried to raise the pressure in the 17 system in order to collapse the voids. What method were you using to 18 try to arrange that?

FLINT: The makeup pumps or high pressure injection pumps.

22 <u>KIRKPATRICK:</u> In other words, you turned on more than one valve?
23
24 <u>FLINT:</u> Pump.

25

1

2

3

4

5

61

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

KIRKPATRICK: Pump.

1

2

31

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12!

13

17

21

22

23

24

25

<u>FLINT:</u> To bring it up. Or if, since we were using RCV2 as a block valve on the electromatic, you only, if that is shut, you only have letdown flow at that time essentially and a makeup pump can more than overcome this. So you can pressurize the sytem that way also, just with one pump.

KIRKPATRICK: I see. Ah, But there were, the pressure went up in spite of the fact that ah, the electromatic relief valve was open?

FLINT: In spite of the fact? Could you clarify that?

14 <u>KIRKPATRICK:</u> Well, I understood you to say that ah, the ah, high 15 pressure injection pump raised the pressure without reducing the temper-16 ature. Is that right?

18 <u>FLINT:</u> We increased the pressure ah, the hot leg temperatures were 19 offscale above 620°, we did change the cold leg temperatures some 20 amount during this period of time.

KIRKPATRICK: I see. Well at the time that you were trying to raise the pressure, ah, I take it the block valve was closed?

891 055

1 FLINT: Yes, that is true. During a portion it was closed. 21 3 KIRKPATRICK: I see. Let's see. You said at one time earlier, that 4 you had a question of whether the nore was ah, uncovered, ah, can you 5 comment on why according to the charts that the pressurizer level 6 appeared to be high at this time? 7 8 FLINT: Well, I felt that the core was covered at this . ind of time. 9 There were some individuals that had doubt as to whether it was and it 10 seemed prudent to verify whether or not it was. Ah, the pressurizer 11 level would be high if you had transferred your bubble from the pressurizer 12 into the hot leg loops, or if you have a bubble and you continually 131 cycle your hydromatic relief valve or your back block valve to it or if 14 the Code Safetys left, obviously your level in the pressurizer is going 15 to increase. You're going to bring the level up there if you collapse 16 the bubble. 17 18 KIRKPATRICK: I see. Let's see referring to some of these prints. 19 201 CRESWELL: Excuse me, would you run that, run over that description 21 again. Ah, if the bubble collapses the pressurizer level wil ncrease? 22 23 FLINT: The pressurizer level will increase if you're feeding through 24 the high pressure injection pumps and you're feeding water in then the 25 level would tend to go up. If you reduce pressure in the system and

891 356

you have steam bubbles up in the loop, they are going to tend to expand and act as a pressurizer ah, steam space up there. Ah, they would tend to force water up into the pressurizer and out through the electromagnetic

KIRKPATRICK: That's under the assumption that the water level was above the inlet to the surge line to the pressurizer?

9 <u>FLINT:</u> Well, if, if it wasn't then you wouldn't have a level indication 10 in the pressurizer. So you had to have water in there, which said 11 there had to be above the surge line to get in there.

KIRKPATRIČK: I see. Well, ah, you mentioned also earlier, that you felt that the change in the ah, flux indication was due to the fact that ah, there was increased leakage path, or a change in leakage path. Ah, could you comment more, er, or elaborate on what form this change in the leakage path was, or what you felt caused the change in leakage path?

19

1

21

3

4

5

61

7

81

121

relief valve.

20 <u>FLINT:</u> Well, after the fact that they told me the pressure, that they 21 had come down to approximately 1000 pounds or so, the fact that the 22 temperatures were still fairly high meant that you either had very low 23 quality water and/or steam in there. And this of course, would reduce 24 a normal density and increase your leakage flux out of the core.

25

1	CRESWELL: When, when do you feel significant fuel damage first occurred?
2	danage first de joe feet significant fact danage first decarted.
3	FLINT: This is only a supposition on my part, I'd like to stress that,
4	and I feel that it did not occur until approximately a hundred minutes
5	into the transient when it first started occurring.
6	
7	CRESWELL: That's when all the pumps were off?
8	
9	FLINT: All the pumps were off.
10	
11	. CRESWELL: Do you feel that that damaged could occur with low quality,
12	let me put it this way, with a mixture of gas and liquid phase, water
13	and gas in liquid phase in the core?
14	
15	FLINT: If there was still flow through the core and considering we
16	only had approximately 90 EFPD on the core and we shut down at that
17	time, I would say no. I would say that the core would still be receiving
18	adequate cooling, and that ah, no significant damage would occur to it.
19	
20	CRESWELL: OK.
21	
22	KIRKPATRICK: Now from your review of the data, ah, of these charts,
23	ah, do you have any feeling for how much water was in the reactor, at
24	what percentage of the primary system was filled at the time the pumps
25	were shut down?

.

.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

FLINT: I have no idea.

<u>CRESWELL</u>: Going to the first minute or two in the transient, ah, after the power operation release valve, or the EMOV failed the pressure did drop in the system. High pressure injection was initiated. And while pressure was dropping, the pressurizer level was increasing. Do you have an explanation for why that would occur?

FLINT: If you're charging into the system and you have a leakage path out, with the electromagnetic relief valve open there would be a tendency for the water level in the pressurizer to increase you have nothing you're compressing against, essentially, its just restricted back pressure there. So there would be, you would expect that the level in the pressurizer would go up.

CRESWELL: Due to what?

FLINT: The fact that ah, you no longer have a steam bubble there to hold it down, to cushion it. You're relieving everything out of the pressurizer.

22 <u>CRESWELL:</u> Well, doesn't the pressurizer level indicate the water level 23 inside the pressurizer?

25

24

.

FLINT: That is correct.

4	
3	CRESWELL: And it didn't actually go solid or go to 400 inches?
5	FLINT: It went to 400 inches
7 8 9	<u>CRESWELL:</u> It didn't actually do that though, until minutes into the transient.
10	FLINT: You initially see your level in your pressurizer dropping and
11	you would ah, expect that this would in effect occur. You have tripped
12	the reactor, you're cooling down, you're adding a minimum of additional
13	heat, during this portion of time you're taking energy out of the steam
14	generators and you have relieved some through the electromagnetic
15	relief valve. You would therefore, expect some contraction and therefore
16	the level to initially drop.
17	
18	CRESWELL: OK, but how do you explain the pressurizer level increase
19	after that period of time when the pressure is dropping in the reactor
20	coolant system?
21	
22	FLINT: There could be 2 mechanisms for this. One mechanism would be
23	that if you're not removing as much heat and the water is starting to
24	expand then obviously its gonna add some volume to the system, and if
25	you're charging in with a high pressure injection pumps, you're also

37

you're charging in with a high pressure injection pumps, you're also adding water to the system.

891 060

1 CRESWELL: So you'd describe two physical effects? Effects that could 2 occur. Ah, one is that as pressure drops the water in the pressurizer 3 expands? 4 5 FLINT: Well in the, in the reactor coolant system will expand simply 6 by the energy it picks up from the core and the heat that it already 7 has in it. If the pressure drops, the water is gonna tend to expand. 8 9 CRESWELL: Looking at the charts of "B" hot and "B" cold. It appears 10 that the average temperature of the reactor coolant system remains 11 fairly constant during this time. 12 13 FLINT: Right. "T" average time is fairly constant. 14 15 CRESWELL: So that the expanding, expansion effect of the reactor 16 · coolant system would not be a major contributor to? 17 18 FLINT: No. It would only be a small factor. Primary factor would be 19 the fact that you have makeup pumps on and adding to the system. 20 21 CRESWELL: OK, But the additional effect that you feel could affect the 22 increase in pressure level would be the expansion of water in the 23 pressurizer? 24 891 261 25

FLINT: Well, when you initially ah, have a transient your heater banks shut off and then come back on. Depending on how much heat they are adding at the time the water in the pressurizer can expand from these also. I do not have ah, an indication of the energy that the heaters were putting in therefore, I cannot qualify how much was due to their input.

KIRKPATRICK: Have you considered the possibility that there may have been some voiding in the primary system that escaped flashing?

FLINT: At this period of time?

KIRKPATRICK: At this period of time?

15 FLINT: Yes. Ah, particularly at about 6 mintues there was that pos-16 sibility. I felt, however, that since we take our pressure tap very 17 high up on the hot leg and considering the temperatures are in a hot 18 leg at a very high point, that if there were any flashing it would be 191 minimal, and since the reactor coolant pumps were still circulating ah, 201 you could get low quality water perhaps, but I did not feel that there 21 would be any significant flashing at this time. And if it was it would 22 only be at the very top of the hot leg.

23

1

21

31

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

24 <u>CRESWELL:</u> Do you feel that as the reactor coolant flow was dropping 25 off, this was prior to the time of any reactor coolant pumps were tripped. Ah, that there was any significant cavitation in the ah,

23

24

25

1

reactor coolant pump suction? That would be the lowest pressure point in the system?

FLINT: On the suction.

<u>CRESWELL</u>: Would that to your knowledge, be most likely point for flashing? The water there of course, is cooled more than it is on a hot leg, ah, and I feel that because we did not see more.....

<u>SHACKLETON:</u> This is a continuation of the interview of Mr. John H. Flint. The time is now 7:15 p.m., April 23, 1979. The first tape ended at 6:38 p.m. Jim, would you review again what the question was so that we can complete, if you can recall, where you got cut off. John, maybe you can recall, you were here yesterday.

16 <u>FLINT:</u> It was whether or not we had a single-phase or two-phase flow 17 in the reactor coolant pump. As I mentioned, the fact that the recorder 18 strip chart did not indicate more oscillation than what it did, would 19 to me say that it was single-phase at that period of time or tend to 20 indicate single-phase.

<u>C ESWELL:</u> I have one brief question. Have you seen these oscillations; have you experienced these oscillations before to see what they looked like?

891 363

1 FLINT: Yes, we normally see them whenever we do reactor coolant pump 21 flow coast down tests. This is a standard test that you do preopera-3 tionally? 4 5 CRESWELL: You would do that at low enough pressure so that you can see 6 cavitation? 7 3 FLINT: Its not a case of seeing cavitation, its a normal fact that the 9 pump being discreet individual pumping mechanisms as it were, buckets 10 turning down there, sends out the water, not in a continuous stream, 11 but you do get these pressure pulsations. And you do see this oscillation. 12 131 CRESWELL: Due to cavitation? 14 15 FLINT: Ah, not to cavitation, its just that even when you're running 16 at normal temperatures and pressures you can see these oscillations in 17 the, in the flow. 18 19 CRESWELL: OK, John. That's fine. Owen, do you want to close out the 201 interview? 21 22 SHACKLETON: Yes. Mr. Flint, would it be possible in the future time 23 to conduct further interviews regarding what took place if we have 24 additional questions? 25

41

1	ł	i	
*	t	i	
	1		
-	i	i	
1	1		

FLINT: Yes. There'd be no problem.

SHACKLETON: We thank you very much for the time you've given to the Commission this evening and we'll bring this interview to a close. The time is now 7:17 p.m., April 23, 1979.

. 891 365