## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

IE TMI INVESTIGATION INTERVIEW

of

3

4

5

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Gregory R. Hitz Shift Supervisor

> Trailer #203 NRC Investigation Site TMI Nuclear Power Plant Middletown, Pennsylvania

May 23, 1979 (Date of Interview)

July 9, 1979 (Date Transcript Typed)

262 (Tape Number(s))

1908290204.

NRC PERSONNEL:

Dorwin R. Hunter William H. Foster 009 248

24

FOSTER: The following interview is being conducted of Mr. Gregory R. Hitz. Mr. Hitz is Shift Supervisor for Operations at the TMI Nuclear Power Facility. The present time is 4:28 p.m. Today's date is May 23, 1979. The place of the interview is trailer 203 located immediately outside the south gate of the TMI site. Individuals present for the interview are: Dorwin R. Hunter. Mr. Hunter is an Inspection Specialist with the Office of Inspection and Enforcement, Performance Appraisal Branch. My name is William H. Foster. I'm a Senior Inspector and Auditor for the Office of Inspector & Auditor, NRC, and I will be monitoring the interview. Mr. Hitz was previously interviewed as part of this investigation on April 22, 1979. At this point I am going to turn the interview over to Mr. Hunter.

HUNTER: Thank you. Greg, a couple of things that we will sort out just to get things rolling. You were in Unit 1 on March 28 and we were trying to locate some information where a phone call was made to Unit 1 from an outside agency and giving a person possibly information to the tune of checking high pressure injection on, or getting high pressure injection on, or would you express to management in Unit 2 to the desire to provide high pressure injection and to get it into the core at that time. Do you recall any telephone conversation with an outside agency with that tone to it?

HITZ: I guess I'm confused; okay, you know there is a phone call that we make to outside agencies, and one of the agencies that we talk to, we give them the status of the plant, Unit 2: Did high pressure injection actuate, what's the RCS pressure, what's the RCS temperature, so forth and so on. Is that what you are referring to or...

HUNTER: No. That would be status, okay.

HITZ: Yes, plant status.

<u>HUNTER:</u> But a specific call back to unit control room, because they couldn't get Unit 2 control room, okay?

HITZ: Well, I had talked, this was sometime later in the morning. A group of NRC officials had come into the control room and I had directed them to set up their office in the Unit! Shift Supervisor's Office.

And I had talked to some people in the NRC and giving them plant status which they could not get out of the Unit 2 control room. We got the information over our hot line and I gave that information to the people from the NRC. And, if I understand your question, did someone direct me to tell the Unit 2 control room to go on to high pressure injection?

HUNTER: To assure or to express the concern that high pressure injection should be initiated or maintained in the morning or early afternoon, you know sometime, maybe like 12:00, plus or minus a couple of hours, either way. Do you recall getting an outside call and then relaying that to Unit 2?

HITZ: I know that I relayed at lot of information to Unit 2. On these lines there were a lot of conversations that were transmitted to me to transmit back to Unit 2. That specific instance I don't remember, but I do remember talking to them and telling them, hey, you know this outside agency or that agency recommends that we look at doing this or possibly look at doing that. I know there was a lot of concern that they were looking at the pressure-temperature relationship and the fact that we were floating, what they call floating on the core flood tanks. And it seems to me that was the area that they were talking about, and why we don't go back to high pressure injection, and I relayed that message to the individuals in Unit 2. It looks to these people that maybe you ought to think about going back on high pressure injection. You know, you ought to look at that and see what you think you ought to do.

HUNTER: Would that be the understanding that you had during these conversations, that you should consider going back on, or think that you should consider, high pressure injection?

HITZ: Yes, that's to me, to the best of my recollection, you know because I said are you, I'm pretty sure I asked the individual, whoever it was, and I don't remember who it was, "Are you telling me to go on high pressure injection?" Or if that was instance, I always said "Are you telling me to do this or are you telling me to do that?" And the guy always said, "I'm not telling you to do anything, I'm recommending or suggesting that you do that."

HUNTER: Okay.

HITZ: Okay, and thats, you know, I, in turn, transfer that information to Unit 2.

HUNTER: That's why I was asking the question more, than, to direct that the conversation or tone was recommending that to your management or to people in Unit 2 that they should in fact consider safety injection or high pressure injection?

HITZ: That's right.

HUNTER: Do you recall something similar to that?

HITZ: Similar to that, yes, I do.

HUNTER: Okay, now I'm asking. Who did you send, who did you relay that message to or any other messages that you had went to Unit 2 to relate?

HITZ: Okay, we have a hot line. Basically, how that works is you pick up a phone in Unit 1 and it automatically rings a phone in Unit 2 and when he picks it up he's talking directly to you, between the two control rooms. I talked to several individuals over there. And I can't specifically say, hey, for this instance I talked to this individual, for that instance, I talked to that. Because it was my job in the Unit 1 control room to get plant status back from Unit 2 so I could run backup calculations for offsite doses and so forth and so on. Now that, you know, it could have been any number of individuals that I talked to.

HUNTER: Would it be worthwhile for you to try to relate the individuals that you recall talking to during that time?

HITZ: I talked to, you know, you are talking the whole day or the whole time that I was here. Because I talked to control room operators. You know, I talked to Ma.k Coleman, I talked to Len Right, I talked Denny Olson, I talked to Mike Ross (he is the supervisor of the office). I talked to Jim Selinger, he's the Unit 1 superintendent. And you got to understand, I talked to these, you know, every time I picked up the phone depending on what each of these different individuals were doing.

I could have gotton a different guy each different time. You know, Mr. Ross and Mr. Seelinger were directly involved in directing the activities of the unit. Consequently, if they were involved in a meeting on making the major decisions such as what you were talking about, of putting high pressure injection back on, I could have gotten some control room operator. And I would tell that individual, "Please relay this following information to Mr. Ross and Mr. Seelinger."

HUNTER: Would that be the type of comment that you would use to relay to a certain person?

<u>HITZ:</u> Yes, I always make sure that the information, if I received any information that I was to transfer over to the Unit 2. I always made sure that if I didn't talk to either Seelinger or Ross, that that information got to them via the individual that I was talking to.

HUNTER: Okay, now good, that's what we are looking for. That will key us back to one or two people that we can discuss that that particular issue.

HITZ: Now there are times also that I talked to the Shift Supervisor over there. Alright, and there were two of them over there at the time. And that was Mehler and Chwastyk.

5 6 7

2'0

HUNTER: Okay, I understand.

HITZ: See, I tried to work, you have to understand, I tried to work at the top of the chain and work my way down. Do you understand what I'm saying? To get the man who was in charge, and if I couldn't get him, I would try to get the man directly underneath him. And if I couldn't get him I would try to get the man directly under that individual and that went like Seelinger, Ross, Chwastyk, Mehler. Chwastyk and Mailer are in the same plant as the control room operators.

HUNTER: Greg, did you keep a log of your phone conversations during that time period. Or any kind of notes?

HITZ: Of my individual...I...we kept notes. I didn't keep specific notes of anything that I transmitted to them. The notes that we kept were the conversations that were transmitted over the headsets between the ECS and the control room and between the ECS and the offsite teams.
But my specific conversations between Unit 1 and Unit 2, no I did not.

HUNTERL In a previous interview we talked, or in a previous interview (I have read a number of interviews now), anyway you made a tour of the auxiliary building. Can you go through your path, your activities, and what you did while you were in the auxiliary building on this tour?

25

HITZ: Sure. We deemed it necessary to go into the auxiliary building due to the fact of the water situation on the floor, to try and determine if we had a leak or what exactly was the problem down there. So one of the health physics people and myself donned the proper protective clothing and so forth and so on, the Scott airpacks and went into the building with the proper radiation monitors that we used to monitor our dose rates, and we...the first place I went was to the radwaste panel, and I wanted...when we got into the building I wanted to try and see if I could do anything about the standing water on the floor, that is, to transfer water from the auxiliary building sump into one of the neutralizing tanks. I also wanted to make sure that I didn't have leakage through the reactor building isolation valves via the reactor building sump into the auxiliary building. So the first thing I looked at was the reactor building sump pumps. They were not running; they were off, but they were in the auto position. So I turned them to the off position. The reactor building isolation valves were in fact closed, but some of the downstream valves, which do not receive any S signal, or SFAS signal, to close, were still open, and they should have been open because they transfer... you know, when you transfer water those valves normally stay open. I closed those as a backup in case there was leakage by the valves, by the reactor building isolation valves. I also tried to start the transfer pumps to transfer water from miscellaneous waste holdup tank into the neutralizing tank so I could drain the auxiliary building sump into the miscellaneous waste holdup tank.

I could get none of the pumps to start down there. Due to the fields of radiation that we were in, I couldn't afford much time to go check breakers, or so forth and so on. My afforts were futile to get any water transferred. So I deemed it necessary at this time to take a tour of the auxiliary building to see if I could determine if there was (a) a leak, or (b) how bad the damage was in the building as far as water depths and so forth and so on. One of the places I went was into the area of the auxiliary building sump, and the water level in the auxiliary building sump was flush with the floor, meaning that the water that we had on the floor had backed up through the floor drains.

HUNTER: OK and, if I recall right, then you left the auxiliary building and got out of your clothing and, via Unit 2, went back to Unit 1?

HITZ: That's correct.

HUNTER: All right, let me go to another area I will cover with you.

The emergency feedwater valves, the EF flow valves A and B, have been a problem and they were a problem during this event; they were closed, and we are doing a specific investigation effort concerning the emergency feedwater surveillance program, and I'm in fact involved in that particular activity. We have discussed with a number of operators to determine, Greg, exactly how they do business, how they do the surveillance,

what's saved in the surveillance procedure, what's discarded into the trash, you know, what's in the surveillance file. One of the problems that we came up with is that the EF-12 flow valves apparently have been found closed before, and possibly even the pumps in "pull to lock." I need to ask you if you have ever been aware that they were, in other words, you noted that they were not in their normal condition, that might be the 5's, the A7's or the 8's or the 12's and also the pumps, or was it ever reported to you that they weren't in their normal condition, that you recall?

HITZ: You're talking any time during the plant ...

HUNTER: Yes, sir, when they were not supposed to be closed, meaning mode 1 through whatever, that they were not in there normal position.

HITZ: They're supposed to be in their normal position when the turbine header pressure gets equal to or greater than 800 pounds...why? why? any time in plant life.

HUNTER: So in Unit 2, that would be from core load, you know, it seems to come in this direction.

24

25

MITZ: It seems to me that during a heatup one time; let me think, okay? It seems to me that during a heatup one time we did have a problem with one of those. We were in the process of a heatup, and by that I mean we were going from a decay heat removal situation where the RCS temperature was 100 and some degrees, maybe 100, 140 degrees, and we were heating up. And of course when you heat up, the secondary side starts to pressurize. And what happened is, at shift relief time--my shift was coming on and another shift was going off--and one of the things that you got to do in order to go from one particular mode of operation to another particular mode of operation is to fill out a -- they have certain surveillances that have to be done. And one of the surveillances that you have to do after you get up above 200 pounds in the turbine, in the steam side, on the secondary side, is the emergency feed pump surveillance. I believe that we were taking, we were in the process of going up, getting close to this 800 pounds and they were finishing up the surveillance on the emergency feed system. And in the process of the turnover we found that the 12 valves were closed, I believe we found the 12 valves closed. I'm pretty sure of that, okay? All right. Do you understand what I'am saying? We were, like one shift, like I'm coming in to relieve you, okay? And you are doing a surveillance, and it looked to me like it was the in point where they were finishing up on the surveillance and we were coming in to take Now whether...I can't remember whether we did the steam generator

3 4 5

surveillance or not. I don't believe we did. I believe it was the final; the final touches were being done when we came in. I'm almost sure of that.

HUNTER: You need time, you're saying the EHV12 A&B valve?

HITZ: Yes, three-twelve.

<u>HUNTER:</u> What about the pumps? At any time do you recall them being "pull to lock?"

HITZ: Boy, pumps "pull to lock." I can't remember, I just can't remember any time.

HUNTER: We'll proceed through this thing. At the point, in the modes in tech specs, as soon as you go into a specific mode, the feed system, the emergency feed water system, needs to be in the operable condition, meaning 3 pumps, 2 flow paths. If, in fact, at that time those pumps were B 12 A&B valves closed, and header valves closed, meaning that the two headers where inoperable or shut, would that put you in violation of the tech specs?

HITZ: If I was in the mode that they were supposed to be in? Absolutely.

HUNTER: Do you recall if you were in violation of tech specs at that condition that you were speaking of, when you found the 12 A and B valves closed?

HITZ: I couldn't have been in violation of tech specs or I would have wrote it down, I would have reported it. That would have been a reportable incident.

HUNTER: Let me ask you, if in fact you find them, in, an operator or to his shift foreman that you report the valves were in other than normal position, what's your action at that time?

HITZ: Which condition am I in? Am I in a condition where I'm violating tech specs or not violating tech specs?

HUNTER: Violation of tech specs; a condition where a piece of equipment has failed or a valve has failed.

<u>HITZ:</u> Okay, if I come in and I find a condition where we are violating tech specs, I immediately make corrective action for that. And the tech specs tell me what the corrective action can be. If in fact I have to cool back down, I'll cool back down. If I've got, if I'm on a time clock, which sometimes you get yourself on a time clock, I'll take the corrective action, and if I can complete that corrective action on a

time clock, then I can continue on with my heatup. OK? Or whatever I'm doing, or I can stay at power, let's see. But I must write a report. OK? I must notify the Supervisor of Operations, and I also make it a point to notify, well, I made it a point to notify the Supervisor of Operations and I must notify the Station Unit Superintendent also. I also notify the PORC members right away. It depends what time of the day it is as to how we notify the NRC.

<u>HUNTER:</u> Let me ask you a couple of questions concerning the emergency feedwater system valves, the EF12 valves; also the EF11 valves. Have you ever had problems with the EF11 valves being left in manual? Have you ever run into that type of situation?

HITZ: I have run into a situation where I've had the main feedwater valves in manual. The main reg valves, yeah.

HUNTER: I understand.

HITZ: I have had them blocked open. We did some maintenance and it calls for those valves to be tagged open, and evidently what they did was they tagged them open, they put them in manual, and when they went and removed the tags they never put them back in auto; and the valves,

of course, when you put them in manual and open them, they do not respond to the ICS control station. They stay wide open; as a matter of fact, it caused me to have a turbine and reactor trip.

HUNTER: I understand; okay. Another condition that we were interested it is whether or not you personally have seen anything at any time, an event where the EFII B valves on a unit trip, or your steam generators come to the low-level control point of 30 inches--have you ever seen when these valves didn't respond to that low-level control point?

HITZ: Well, I personally have seen EFV11 B not respond to its control point.

HUNTER: And maintain steam generator level of 30 inches after a unit trip.

<u>HITZ:</u> I believe there was one time; you've got to understand that I've been involved in several trips. OK? And I believe that there was one trip that we had where we had to take manual control of one of the emergency feed valves, if not both.

HUNTER: Greg, if that happens, what would be your action? More so interested in, yes, I had the problem, and also, what are your actions as a Shift Supervisor?

HITZ: First of course, I get control of the plant. And once I've got control of the plant...if I'm not mistaken, when we write a work request, and what you do is, if you have a problem with a piece of equipment you write a work request to get it fixed. I'm not positive, but I think those are the valves that we have the problems with the controllers, the Bailey controller on it. Not the one in the control room that the air E to peak converter so forth and so on down at the valves.

HUNTER: At the valves.

HITZ: We have had problem with those. I'm positive, I'm 98% sure that that's on the emergency feed water, the 8-11s.

HUNTER: Have you ever had the problem with maintaining steam generator levels even in manual on a loss of...

HITZ: Yes, there was a trip that we had problems with. We had to go to the 32's and 33's.

HUNTER: Okay, would you, can you recall the trip, or the type of trip, or the time frame?

HITZ: The time frame was the shift relief time again. The Shift Supervisor that I was relieving was still there, George Chwastyk.

HUNTER: Can you recall the time in-plant life?

HITZ: Boy, I sure can't. It was before commercial operation.

HUNTER: And during the startup program, before commercial?

HITZ: I'm almost sure of that, yes.

HUNTER: And what was the problem there, do you recall?

HITZ: It seems to me that, if I'm not mistaken, that's when the, for some reason the feed pumps just wound down and didn't pump. And they actually, it was either the feed pumps wound down or we lost the condensate and condensate booster pump, which of course was the operating feed pump. We were at low power level. And when I say low power, I'm talking somewhere between 20% and 25% power.

HUNTER: Greg, when you say "wound down", would that be other than a feed pump trip, or would you just...

HITZ: For some reason, I've seen, I've had a situation where the pumps just, for some reason, never even, just went back to minimum speed.

HUNTER: And what does that do to you?

HITZ: Decreases feedwater flow.

HUNTER: And the results of that would be what?

<u>HITZ:</u> High reactor coolant system pressure, which would cause a reactor trip if the reactor was online.

HUNTER: I can trip on the high reactor pressure; then would the emergency feedwater come on automatically?

HITZ: Only if the feed pumps tripped or received a trip signal to trip.

HUNTER: And if the feed pumps did not trip?

HITZ: Nothing, the emergency feed pumps won't start.

HUNTER: And what would be plant shift reaction to that?

HITZ: Well, the first thing you try to do is get the feed pump back up. If the feed pump won't come back up, you trip the two main feed pumps or the feed pump that was on--at this time it should only be one.
And when that trips, the emergency feed pumps start automaticaly.

HUNTER: Do you recall going through a problem like that and having to go on the 32 valves? Is this the same situation?

HITZ: Yes, this is the same situation.

HUNTER: D'd the guy have to manual start the aux water feed pumps?

HITZ: I can't remember; see, the Shift Supervisor (the other Shift Supervisor) and I were in the Shift Supervisor's office turning over, and all I did was look up and I seeen the rods fall in. I seen all the control rods trip, and I knew we had reactor trip. When we went out, we were in a loss of feed condition. The emergency feed pumps were on at this point.

HUNTER: As you understand it though, since the main feed pumps only went ...

HITZ: They either wound down or they tripped.

<u>HUNTER:</u> That would not trip the aux feed pumps. The operator would then have had to notice the condition and start the auxiliary feed pumps...

HITZ: Yes. That's true. The emergency feed pumps only start in two conditions, three conditions. And that's not one of the conditions.

HUNTER: Okay, the guy would have started the emergency feed pumps.

Then, what happened to the 11 valve, do you recall? That you had to go on backup \_\_\_\_\_ with the 32 valves.

HITZ: I didn't look at the ll valves, I looked at steam generator level. And steam generator level was not responding. So we went to the 32's in this \_\_\_\_\_\_.

HUNTER: And what would go ahead in case the ll's were not opening?

Was this before you had actual indication on the ll valves? In other words, they only had a signal to them and later on you ended up with some...actually ended up with indication on the valves themselves.

HITZ: Yes, but that doesn't tell you valve position.

HUNTER: Even on Unit 2 it still doesn't?

HITZ: No.

HUNTER: Okay, so you went to the 32's just in case?

HITZ: Yes, you know, if you go to measure variables it tells you valve demand. It tells you what the valve should be, but it doesn't tell you where the valve is. And, you know, the steam generator level was not reacting the way it was supposed to, and I know for a fact that this was before commercial operation, because it was right after we did the cooldown from outside the control room test.

HUNTER: What's the time frame on that?

HITZ: That would have been fall, sometime in the fall. But it was right after we did the cooldown from outside the control room. That's when you go out and manually control your steam generator levels and makeup tank level and pressurizer level from outside the control room.

HUNTER: Okay, and the operators then would have used the 32's. Are those full open, or are they just stroke valves?

HITZ: We sent people down to control room them, manually.

HUNTER: And then they would manually control the 32's or \_\_\_\_\_\_assuming he opened them all in, got some water to the steam generator?

HITZ: Yes, to the best of my recollection, that's what happened.

<u>MUNTER:</u> And those in are there, because of, just in case, this type problem, they bypass the 11 valves?

HITZ: That's correct.

HUNTER: Another question I want to ask them to discuss a little bit...

HITZ: Let me say something, I believe that's the point where we found out that we had problems with the little grey Bailey control box down at the valve center.

HUNTER: Okay, the problem then really was the 11 valves, the actual local controller?

HITZ: Right.

FOSTER: We are going to break now and change the tape. The time is 4:58 p.m.

6 7

8 9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

FOSTER: We are going to continue with the interview of Mr. Hitz. The time is 5:00 pm.

HUNTER: Okay, Greg, we have just discussed the fact that the EFV 32 A&B valves, the back S valves on the automatic feedwater for steam generators had been used and, subsequent to that use of those valves, it was found out locally that EF 11 A&B valve local Bailey controllers were at fault, and that the valves did in fact not open or they didn't respond all the way out. I am assuming that.

HITZ: Right.

HUNTER: Okay, and I indicated I would like to ask another question concerning the emergency feedwater system as a whole. We'd like to get an indication from you. The Unit 1 and Unit 2, you have operated both units. Now Unit 1 seems to be a fairly calm unit, on a unit trip. Things seem to work, and you have not had S's and you haven't had the problems since preops started. On Unit 2, the operators and Shift Supervisors look at Unit 2 as being a very sensitive unit--the best way to put it, I guess. The primary system is very sensitive to a trip. Things change, pressurizer level goes below 80 inches, may lose their heaters. They have had safeguards features, EFF actuation due to low pressure. The operators are getting very good at trying to maintain the plant on the line by starting the second makeup pump, closing letdown,

24

25

and doing the things to try to stabilize. Some of them even take the pressurizer spray valve, crank it open, and try to clip that pressure spike, and then put it back to auto; then feed from the BWST to try to maintain pressurizer level. Looking at that concept, on a loss of feed accident. One of the things that would happen or on a turbine trip with the main feed pumps, you could run into an overfeed problem, if you were still feeding the steam generators as the turbines or feed water regulator valves came down. If one of them was in manual, you would overfeed and end up with a severe cooldown transient. If you have a main feed pump trip and the auxiliary feed system starts, and the steam generators start down level with the auxiliary feed pumps on, and operating at shutoff pressure behind the EFII valves, that would be the normal situation. If, in fact, as a generator goes below 30 inches the EF11 valves start to open and try to maintain 30 inches, that could, in fact, constitute a, or would that in fact, constitute an excessive feedwater flow? Would it constitute excessive feed flow in the operator's eyes? Are they sensitive to that particular aspect of the plant.

HITZ: I think you want to know, if the operator puts the valves to manual and just runs the ll's open, to get water back in the generator?

HUNTER: That. How he treats those, whether he would put them in manual or keep them closed, or put them in manual and open them to try to limit the transient on the plant. Have you got any feeling for that?

HITZ: How would the operator respond if the valve didn't function and he was now controlling the valve? Or if he just, if it was in manual and he wanted to go up and just popped it to auto, that type of thing?

HUNTER: Let's say that we're sitting and the plant is at 97% power; feedwater pump trips due to whatever; both of them trip; you really don't get a chance for a runback or anything, it's just down and you get the reactor trip. It's obvious that the auxiliary feedwater pumps should start and do start, no problem. Considering the normal lineup that the EF12 valves should be open and the 11 valves should be closed, weighting the ICS low limit to 30 inches, then they would start open. Would there be a possibility that the operators would not allow them to open? Like walking over and putting them in manual while they're closed? Have you ever seen anything like that happen or did you see anything like that talked about?

HITZ: It would be conceivable, okay, depending on what reactor coolant system pressure was doing. You know, pressure, reactor coolant system pressures and feedwater control; feedwater can control reactor coolant system pressure. It can stop, you can increase or decrease pressure and stop it on a dime by controlling feedwater flow. If pressure is going extremely high and you're into a plant transient, all you got to do is take either the valves or the demands to manual, and either run them open or run them closed and that will stop your RCS pressure. It

depends what that operator seen the pressure doing. If he seen pressure screaming out the top, it's conceivable that he could put those valves to manual and close them, I'm sorry, open them. Try to open the valves to overfeed the generators, which, in fact, would give you a bigger heat sink and stop your pressure rise on the primary side or, if pressure was going out the bottom, going down real fast, he could take the valves, put them manual and bring them closed. Yes, that's conceivable; I've done it when I was a control room operator. Not on the emergency feedwater valves. I've never would done anything like that in emergency feed water valves. I've never seen emergency feedwater systems start automatically in Unit 1 on a transient; I have seen it start, okay, but due to a reactor trip or feed pump trip.

HUNTER: What about on Unit 2? Have you ever seen the operators take control of the 11 valves and keep them closed? Say, for instance, pressure is down below 1700 and continuing to drop, take manual control of the F11 valves and keep them closed?

HITZ: We did when I had a severe trip here; we lost vacuum. I don't know if you are familiar with this trip or not. But we had, for some reason, vacuum went way down on us and it caused the turbine to trip. Well, eventually the feed pumps, which we don't have auxiliary vacuum pumps in Unit 2--they come off the main vacuum pumps. I had a trip to the main feed pumps too and we were vorking with the emergency feedwater

valves. And we, I believe we did do some controlling of steam generator level with the ll's in hand that day. That was quite an unusual situation. We bottled up the RCS system. What happened was the bellows on the atmospheric relief valves ruptured. I don't know if you are familiar with this issue or not, okay?

HUNTER: Yes.

HITZ: Well the room, we lost all pressurizer heaters because the pressurizer heaters are located in the same room as the <u>code</u> relief valves and the atmospheric dumps. We found, from the control room, that when we closed the atmospheric relief valves from the Bailley control stations, that the noise level decreased to 0 and they didn't hear any steam rumbling. So we kept the atmospheric relief valves isolated and controlled steam generator level manually \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, thus bottling up the RCS system.

HUNTER: And by controlling them in hand, would you have been... the vacuum was lost so you don't have atmospheric, you don't have condenser dumps.

HITZ: I don't have condenser; any cooldown rate that I would have wanted, or any way to cool the plant down, would have been opening the atmospheric relief valves and blowing that live steam into that cubicle which we call the M2O area; but it's the area where the pressurizer heaters and the code relief valves are--the pipe, in fact, itself.

HUNTER: During that incident, Greg, I might assume that there was a low power history on the plant.

HITZ: Yes, very little.

HUNTER: Otherwise, you would have been on the safety valves with a secondary.

HITZ: Yes, sir, we were at... the plant actually tripped. We were below 20% power, I believe, because I noticed, I was watching vacuum and I shouted at a control room operator, I said "the vacuum is going down; send somebody down to the vacuum pumps. Get an operator down to the polishers and let's watch reactor power." And as vacuum continued to decrease, and it decreased at an alarming rate as far as I was concerned—you can open a vacuum breaker and it takes a long time for 30 inches of vacuum to dissipate—this was screaming down at a alarming rate. At that time, when I knew that we had a problem, I said to the operator that was on the primary side "start reducing reactor power."

He started reducing power to try to bring power down along the 15% range instead of the 18% to 22% where we were; but the turbine tripped. At that time, when the turbine tripped, I heard we have microphone that's mounted down where the code relief valves are so we can tell in the control room when the relief valves are lifting. I heard the code reliefs go for an instant, and then everything went silent. And I knew something was wrong. At that time I ordered the control room operator to continually to drive rods till the reactor was, in fact, shut down, and to continued to drive rods until the rods were...we were down into the intermediate range of power level.

HUNTER: Okay, looking at the same type situation as far as locating emergency equipment in other than normal conditions, looking at the core flood tank valves or the high pressure injection M16 valves, or the B16 valves, or the containment spray pumps, or the decay heat pumps in "pull to lock." Do you recall ever having any input from the operators that any of those particular pieces of equipment were not normal, or during your tour of the control board ever found any of that equipment in the abnormal condition?

HITZ: I found I can't remember if it was the building spray or the decay heat. I don't even remember what mode we were in. But I did find, it was either one or both, no, it was only one. I can't remember, okay, but I did find a pump in "pull to lock" and I can't remember if

it was decay heat or building spray. I seem to think it was building spray, but I don't know that for sure, okay? You're got to remember the building spray and decay heat pumps, the control switches are right next to each other. One's elevated a couple of inches. Again, I can't remember what mode we were in.

HUNTER: Do you recall doing anything with that event or ...

HITZ: If we would have been in a mode were I had to report that, I would have reported that. We talked about this before, when you asked me about the 12 valves being closed. If I'm in a condition, okay, where its not a reportable incident, I will talk personally to the Shift Supervisor of the individual of the shift that I've relieved and make him aware of what I found so that he can definitely talk to the individual who was involved in that situation. If it's in a condition where its a reportable occurrence, and by that I mean where you're in a mode, let's take for example the 12 valves because that's the one everybody talks about. If I was in a condition where steam header pressure was above 800 pounds, that's a reportable incident; its cut and dried.

009 278

HUNTER: No problem?

HITZ: No.

HUNTER: Okay, have you ever run into problems where, or had the feeling, that something like that may have been reported to the foreman and it didn't get to you? The operators here do not fill out a corrective actions system report.

HITZ: The control room operators?

HUNTER: Right, or an incident report or any type of corrective action document that would force the issue, if you will. So it is strictly verbal.

HITZ: That's right. And I know if it was reported my shift foreman, he would report it me. I know my shift foreman like a book.

HUNTER: Any comments? I've got the information I need. Any comments; do you have any, we covered a lot of area as far as inoperable systems.

HITZ: You know, and so much has happened, I have experienced so many trips, and when I say so many trips, I've experienced, you know, I could probably say 5 reactor trips. From the startup program up till now, okay, you know, to try to pick out a specific instance, that's

tough. Did you operate those valves in manual at any time from the time you loaded the fuel till now? Hey, that's tough. You know, you're talking, you know, what, 2 years?

HUNTER: Hopefully, the event that the 12 valves being closed, and I say "did you in fact remember them being closed?" That's significant enough so you wouldn't forget it. I'm hoping that that's the case.

HITZ: When I pulled that out, you know, once you, I had to sit and think about that. If it would have been a reportable occurrence—and you're got to understand again when I say reportable occurrence that means I'm in the appropriate mode and, hey, I'm in direct violation of tech specs—if you close both 12 valves, you won't have any speed to the steam generators, okay? And that's, you know, you're directly violating tech specs; that's a reportable occurrence.

HUNTER: No question.

HITZ: Okay, and I would have made out a report. You know, if I'm below 800 pounds, it is not a reportable occurrence. And I tend to be emotional, and if somebody comes to me and says "look at this," I don't know if I did or not, but I'd bet \$100 that I went through the overhead when a guy told me that. I would probably blow up and chewed on my

control room operator for something he didn't even do. But I know that I would have gone to the Shift Supervisor and Shift Foreman or the guy who I relieved, and talked to him and I  $\underline{do}$  do that.

FOSTER: Greg, thanks a lot. We are going to conclude here at 5:15 p.m.

009 281