UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

*

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

11		
l	In the Matter of:	
2	IE TMI INVESTIGATION INTERVIE	EW .
• 3 4	of Mr. Daniel M. Shovlin Superintendent of Maint	enance
5		
6		
7		
8		and the second
9 10		Trailer #203 NRC Investigation Site TMI Nuclear Power Plant Middletown, Pennsylvania
11		, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12		May 21, 1979 (Date of Interview)
13		July 3, 1979
14		(Date Transcript Typed)
15		234, 235, 236
16		(Tape Number(s))
17		
18		
19		.1
20		290829 0067
21		2008010
	NRC PERSONNEL:	- lolo
22	Mr. F. N. Fasano	
23	Mr. James S. Creswell Mr. Dale E. Donaldson	895 048
24	Mr. William H. Foster	010 010
25		

FOSTER: The following interview is being conducted of Mr. Daniel M. 1 Shovlin. Mr. Shovlin is Superintendent of Maintenance at the Three 2 Mile Island nuclear Power Facility. The present time is 10:02 p.m. 3 Today's date is May 21, 1979. The place of the interview is trailer 4 203 located immediately outside the south gate to the TMI site. 5 Individuals present for the interview are interviewers: F. N. Fasano, 6 Inspection Specialist, Performance Appraisal Branch, Office of Inspection 7 and Enforcement; James S. Creswell, Reactor Inspector, Region III; and 8 Mr. Dale E. Donaldson, Radiation Specialist, Office of Region I. My 9 name is William H. Foster. I am a Senior Inspector Auditor, office of 10 Inspector and Auditor, NRC. I'll be monitoring the interview. Prior 11 to the interview being recorded Mr. Shovlin was provided documents 12 explaining his rights concerning information he obtained regarding the 13 incident at Three Mile Island. In addition Mr. Shovlin was apprised 14 of the purpose and investigation's scope and the authority by which the Congress authorizes the NRC to conduct an investigation. On the second page of the advisement document Mr. Shovlin has answered three 17 questions. The questions and Mr. Shovlin's answers will now be recorded as part of the interview. Mr. Shovlin, do you understand the document? SHOVLIN: Yes, I do. 21 FOSTER: Do we have your permission to tape the interview? 24

895 049

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

1	SHOVLIN: You do.
2	
	FOSTER: And would you like a copy of the tape?
4	
5	SHOVLIN: Yes, I do.
6	
7	FOSTER: Okay. Then, at this time, would you please give us a brief
8	summary of your academic background and your employment history as
9	they relate to the nuclear field.
10	
11	SHOVLIN: I had 27 years in the United States Navy. I retired as a
12	Lieutenant Commander. I have been employed with Met Ed almost 6
13	years.
14	
15	FOSTER: Thank you. Dan, at this point on I'll turn the interview
16	over to the I&E personnel.
17	
18	FASANC: Dan, when were you notified on March 28, 1979, about the
19	occurrence?
20	
21	SHOVLIN: It was after 5:00 in the morning.
22	
23	FASANO: When did you arrive here?
24	
25	895 050

SHOVLIN: It was after 6:00. Normaily I get a call as the superinten-1 dent of maintenance as it relates to the problem, why did it trip, and 2 how long a period of time will the plant be down; what other work 3 could be scheduled during that time frame that it's going to be down. 4 And that's basically the purpose of my getting involved at that particular 5 time. 6 7 FASANO: So it was routine at that time that you would be notified. 8 9 That's correct. SHOVLIN: 10 11 CRESWELL: Who called you? 12 13 I don't recall. It was someone on the shift. I don't know SHOVLIN: 14 if it was a shift foreman or one of the control room operators, or ... 15 16 CRESWELL: From Unit 1 or Unit 2? 17 18 SHOVLIN: I believe it was from Unit 2. 19 20 FASANO: When did you realize that it was an unusual situatio ... In 21 other words, when did you really get involved with the occurrence? 22 23 Well when they... actually they declared it as a site emergency. SHOVLIN: 24 When I came to the plant I proceeded to the Unit 2 control room. My 25

895 051

recollection is, at that time the superintendent of Unit 2 was in charge, was there. Joe Logan, and of course supervisor of Operations-well the shift supervisor is Bill Zewe. They were carrying out the shutdown procedures and when they declared a site emergeny, Joe asked me to get a hold of Gary Miller. That was about a quarter of 7; quarter to 7 or 10 to 7, I believe it was.

FASANO: George Kunder was there already?

1

21

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

George Kunder was there, yes. I don't recall that Jim SHOVLIN: Seelinger was there. I don't believe Jim Seelinger was there at that time. I got a hold of Gary Miller at a quarter of 7, which I believe he was in previous telephone contact with the Unit 2 control room. And I told -- Gary was previously scheduled to go to Oyster Creek that day, or that morning. I told him that they just declared a site emergency and I don't recall at that time if I gave him any particulars... I'm on my way ... I'm on my way in. From that point on my function was in charge of the emergency repair party. And we really did not get actively involved until there was some parameters that they -- I guess the relief value on the makeup tank was lifting and they wanted to get some jumper hoses from the makeup tank connected into the reactor building. I believe that was performed by my I&C people. I believe Doug Weaver, who's a foreman and Wilson, who's a foreman, were actively involved with that evolution. Also I think was after -- I don't believe it was parallel, it could have been -- was the reactor coolant

895 052

bleed tank. They also were hooking up tubing to vent off into the reactor building from the reactor coolant bleed tanks. And this was because of the pressure building up and blurping off right into this space. That was the major activity as far as the emergency repair party. It was with my I&C group. I believe they needed some electrical assistance. Whether there was -- I can't recall. We have log books, I know a lot of it can be identified. Whether there was some fans tripped or they needed some electricians to go and check out the circuitry.

FASANO: Fans on what.

SHOVLIN: They are nandling fans and I don't recall what system. But I believe they called my -- they needed electrical assistance, though.

FASANO: Ok. Let's get back a little bit. When you did arrive, this time you went right to Unit 2 control room?

SHOVLIN: Because that was one of the trips.

FASANO: Yeah.

SHOVLIN: The unit that tripped.

895 053

FASANO: Yes, and that would be different than what you normally would do?

No it's no different. I would go to the unit that tripped SHOVLIN: 4 to find out just what happened, okay? And when I do, I go up and see 5 what the problem was; whether it was the turbine it tripped you, or 6 was it the reactor it tripped you; how long it's going to take to get 7 back to restore the casualty; or if it was going to be down for any 8 appreciable time. I have what you call a "no-name outage list," which 9 is work, that is identified and is on a continuing basis that we 10 prepare the procedures and have the parts ready in the event there is 11 an nonplanned outage as this could have been called. 126

FASANO: In your opinion when you got there then it is not unusual for you to go into a control room?

SHOVLIN: Oh, no.

FASANO: After a trip?

21 SHOVLIN: No.

1

2

3

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

25

23 FASANO: How did the people in the control room, how did it seem to you they were behaving?

895 054

SHOVLIN: There didn't seem to be no one running around in panic. 1 And looked like the CROs and shift supervisor and they were over on 2 the control panels. I didn't see any really... the major concern is 3 when Gary Miller came into the control room, then I could see they had 4 assembled people into the shift supervisor's office and they talked 5 about the plant condition, what they thought were resolved or where 6 the levels were in the pressurizer. That was discussed between Gary, 7 Kunder, Mika Ross, I believe was there at the time, Seelinger, of 8 course Bill Zewe ... 9 10 When you were in on this kind of a meeting, was this when FASANO: 11 you were assigned to oversee the emergency repair? 12 13 SHOVLIN: Soon as they declared a site emergency, the emergency 14 repair party is in fact a reality. And I report to the emergency 15 control station which was effective which happened to be -- well i was 16 there. 17 18 Did you report to the emergency control station or center? FASANO:

19 20

21 SHOVLIN: No, no. It's the station.

FASANO: And which is where?

22

23

24

25

895 055

Actually I happened to be in the control room in Unit 2. SHOVLIN: Normally we go down to the control point in your Unit 1, that's the emergency control station there. And then from there they could declare you to go, well it all depends on the conditions to go to the alternate emergency control station, which is adjacent to your shift supevisor's office up in the Unit 2 control room.

Could I just back up and pick up something here? When DONALDSON: 8 the site emergency was declared, did you report to the emergency 9 control station that is the Unit 1 chem HP area? 10

SHOVLIN: No, Unit 2. That's where I formulated my -- I gathered my 12 repair party which actually was the shift maintenance crew that are on duty oker:

The emergency control station and I will make sure we got DONALDSON: 16 this term right. The emergency control station is in fact the Unit 1 17 health physics chemistry check point, is it not? 18

SHOVLIN: That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

-

11

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

241

25

DONALDSON: Then you did not in fact report to the ECS. You remained in what is the ECC, and that is the effective unit control room?

895 056

1 SHOVLIN: No. The alternate ECS is right off the shift supervisor's office in Unit 2 control room. 2 3 DONALDSON: I guess what I'm saying is, were you aware of the fact 41 that the ECS was in fact located at the Unit 1 chem HP area, and that 5 a repair party came and had formed in that area? 6 7 Well they had, that's correct. And I requested that the SHOVLIN: 8 emergency repair party report to the Unit 2 emergency control station 9 where I was present at the time. 10 11 Now who in the emergency organization do you report to on DONALDSON: 12 the organization chart? 13 14 SHOVLIN: I report directly to the emergency control center, which 15 would be the station superintendent or whoever, or the unit superin-16 tendent whoever is the person in charge of that. 17 18 I'd like to show you a chart here of the emergency organi-DONALDSON: 19 zation. Just let me know if this is right or wrong. 20 21 SHOVLIN: Okay. 22 23 DONALDSON: All right? Emergency diagram from procedure 1670.2 and if 24 you'll notice the way it operates, the ... 25 895 657

SHOVLIN: My verbal communication goes through the emergency control 1 2 station. 31 DONALDSON: In terms of your --4 5 SHOVLIN: In turn it's relayed to the control center. 6 7 DONALDSON: What is the significance? Could you explain to me the 8 significance, then, of having the emergency repair party as one of 9 the off shoot blocks underneath the radiation protection supervisor, 10 who in fact directs the activities in the ECS. 11 12 SHOVLIN: And he does, he does. My communication is directly to him 13 up through to the station superintendent, who is in the control room. 14 15 DONALDSON: Then is it safe to assume, then, that you were coordinating 16 or communicating with him even though you were located in a position 17 or place different than he was. 18 19 I was coordinating emergency repair efforts as a direct SHOVLIN: 20 result of communications between the unit superintendent Logan, Bill 21 Zewe, Mike Ross through me at the Unit 2 control room. 22 23 CRESWELL: Who declared the site emergency. 24 895 058 25

. 1	
1	SHOVLIN: Oh, I believe it was I believe it was either Ross or
2	Logan, I can't
2	
4	
5	
6	SHOVLIN: One of those that were in the control room at the time.
7	
8	CRESWELL: Were you in the control room?
9	
10	SHOVLIN: I was in the control room at the time.
11	CRECKELL, Did they are alto it is
12	<u>CRESWELL:</u> Did they consult with you when they declared the site
13	emergency?
14	SHOVLIN: No, except that I was See, the thing was that this was
15	the normal working hours all right, for maintenance would be like
16	0730. So this was prior to that so what you would do is you utilize
17	your maintenance shift people; I&C electrical. And basically there's
18	only like three, two and three. You have a total force of about no
19	more than eight people.
21	
22	CRESWELL: And the breakdown of the three is
23	
24	SHOVLIN: You have three repairmen, two electrical
25	
	295 050
	0/1/1/17

CRESWELL: Three mechanical repairmen?

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> Mechanical, that's correct. There would be two electrical and you would have three or four I&C type.

CRESWELL: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8 <u>SHOVLIN:</u> And during that time at the Unit 2 control room there were 9 foremen that came, normally come to work a lot earlier, who became a 10 part of my repair force effort. These were the gents who hooked up 11 the attempted to hook up the tubing on the makeup tank and reactor 12 coolant vent tank.

14 <u>CRESWELL:</u> Were you working on -- I'm sorry. Were the people assigned to you working on the relief valve on the makeup tank and hooking up the plastic tubing to the reactor coolant bleed tank?

SHOVLIN: Yes.

CRESWELL: Prior to the emergency? Declaration of the emergency.

SHOVLIN: The site emergency?

CRESWELL: Right.

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> If they had it was not too much before the site emergency was declared, I would say. Let me you say in fact, the normal path during the night or other than any type of emergency would be for the shift supervisor/ shift foreman go directly to the maintenance foreman to carry out a repair function. Now that could have been carried out during that particular time.

<u>CRESWELL</u>: Did you personally give these people instructions to go do that work?

SHOVLIN: No, I did not.

<u>CRESWELL</u>: Do you recall, did someone reporting to you give the instructions?

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> No, but it was reported to me what they were doing. The first indication I had was when my foreman, Hal Wilson, notified me chat this was what he was going to do and I can't recall whether it was just before the site emergency or it was after.

<u>CRESWELL:</u> Do you recall who assigned those men to do that work? <u>SHOVLIN:</u> I'm sure it was either the shift supervisor or shift foreman, either/or, I would...

895 061

CRESWELL: Were informed that they were going there before they were 1 dispatched to do that work? 2 31 SHOVLIN: Was I informed whether they were before from the shift 4 supervisor? No, that's negative. I was not. That was direct communi-5 cation from them to either with Doug Weaver or Wilson, who in turn 6 notified me just what they were doing with it. 7 8 CRESWELL: That would have been from the local areas where they were 9 working? 10 11 SHOVLIN: The I&C shop is right adjacent, right off the Unit 2 control 12 room so they were physically right in the control room at the time. 13 14 CRESWELL: Okay. Now you can't remember whether it was Logan or Ross 15 that declared the site emergency? 16 17 SHOVLIN: That's right, I don't ... 18 19 CRESWELL: Do you what basis upon which they declared the site emergency? 20 21 SHOVLIN: I believe the basis on the site emergency was a... I might 22 be wrong... a reading of 125 mR right at the security fence. I believe 23 that. Either that or a monitor going into a high alarm. 24 25 895 062

CRESWELL: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SHOVLIN: Okay? I know one way or the other but soon after they said I have 125 at, I believe, at the security fence.

<u>CRESWELL:</u> After they declared the emergency, either Logan or Ross,
 did they give you instructions of something to do.

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> Yes. Someone told me to get my emergency repair party and I called usually, my electrical shop where they hang out or in the mechanic shop where they work and I believe I got a hold of Hilary Mitchell, who just happened to be in there earlier, okay? Together and tell them to report to me up at the Unit 2 control room.

DONALDSON: Let's back up there, I'm a little confused. Having observed several drills here at Three Mile Island, it has always been my observation and understanding that at the time a site or a general emergency is declared, that the repair party automatically musters at the ECS, which in this case is the Unit 1 chem HP...

SHOVLIN: That's correct.

<u>DONALDSON:</u> Control point. That they stand underneath a little red sign on the wall that says "Repair Party Team." Is that correct?

SHOVLIN: That's basically right.

<u>DONALDSON:</u> All right. Now my understanding is also that the maintenance supervisory foreman, whoever that would happen to be, would be the immediate team director, as it were.

SHOVLIN: During those conditions, right.

<u>DONALDSON</u>: During those conditions. And that he would in fact or in turn report to the emergency control station director, if you will, who in this case would be the radiation protection supervisor...

SHOVLIN: That's correct.

DONALDSON: Now. I guess where I'm confused is how the repair party team, it doesn's appear that it ever formed at the ECS. Now, did it ever in fact form at the ECS?

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> I'm not sure when I got a hold of my supervisor to direct the maintenance shift people.

DONALDSON: Who was the maintenance supervisory foreman that you placed in charge of the emergency repair team?

SHOVLIN: When they reported up to me at the Unit 2 control room, I 1 was directly in charge at the time. Because they all didn't gather at 2 the one -- they came in in dribs and drabs, so to speak. But once the 3 people had assembled and I directed them right off of the shift super-4 visor's office out of the way of the activity that was going on. 5 6 Let's back up again, I want to try to get my mind clear DONALDSON: 7 still. Now according to training records and drill records, you 8 participated in two radiation emergency drills within the last six 9 months. 10 11 SHOVLIN: That's correct. 12 13 What method or procedure did you follow in mustering the DONALDSON: 14 emergency repair team during those drills? 15 16 SHOVLIN: I mustered them at the Unit 1 HP control area. 17 18 DONALDSON: Could you then explain why in this particular situation 19 in implementing the emergency plan, why you mustered your team in the 20 control room? 21 22 SHOVLIN: I took it upon myself I, suppose. The emergency -- I was 23 there in the control room. The number of people is a minimum number 24 of people, are the maintenance shift people. And there was activity 25

895 065

requiring, to me, requiring people to effect repairs or put in jumpers 1 or ... that I asked them ... I directed them to report to me up in the 2 Unit 2 control room, which is the alternate emergency control station. 3 4 CRESWELL: Did all people report to you at that point? 5 6 SHOVLIN: No, I don't believe they all mustered up there. 7 8 CRESWELL: Okay. Where were the other people? 9 10 SHOVLIN: You mean...? 11 12 CRESWELL: You mentioned that you would normally have eight people 13 with the breakdown that you gave earlier; mechanical, electrical and 14 instrumentation. Now if the eight people didn't show up, where were 15 the other people? 16 17 SHOVLIN: I didn't inquire. They were either out on a job, some 18 particular job, or ... it was getting close to ... you know, their shift 19 is over at 0700, okay? Site emergency... 20 21 CRESWELL: They were getting ready to go home? 22 23 SHOVLIN: Either getting -- in their locker or getting washed up or 24 whatever, I would think. 25 895 066

<u>CRESWELL:</u> Did anybody call you from this ECS in Unit 1 to tell you that they were there?

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> No, the only time I... they could of contacted -- not me directly, but they contacted your, either the shift supervisor, whoever was then director of...the emergency control director that there were men down there. I was not, at the particular time when the site emergency went off, it seemed to me that they were still trying to restore their casualty, their trip. And I in turn, because I knew the shift maintenance on shift, I needed people to support my effort and I was in Unit 2 control room, I knew that's where the casualty was and I directed the...

14 <u>CRESWELL:</u> Is it possible that some of these people were out working on the relief value on the makeup tank and the reactor coolant bleed tank?

18 <u>SHOVLIN:</u> No... You mean before? You mean during the, putting in the line to vent off?

CRESWELL: Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SHOVLIN: That's possible.

895 067

CRESWELL: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

<u>DONALDSON:</u> You mentioned that you decided that you needed the people in the control room because that's where the trip had occurred and where the problems were being experienced. Again going back to past drills, had you not experienced that same need during drills? What made this situation so much different than drills that you had been in before that you would not meet your repair party in the assigned location?

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> Well first of all, I think now there is a big difference between a simulation and the real thing, all right? It was real to me. And real to me meant I was there in Unit 2 control room, they were having problems. It was quite evident to me they were having problems either interpreting levels, instrumentation. So it was prudent on my part to -- the predominance was to me to have I&C people over and above that which was a part of the repair party, and I turned to the I&C shop that's right adjacent to Unit 2 contr 1 room to augment the number of people that I required and the expertise that was required. They were there and I utilized that expertise. They were not a direct member of the repair party.

<u>DONALDSON:</u> Okay. You mentioned over and above. Then, the people who you mustered in the control room were in fact sort of like a, oh, side group you felt would be needed to do things over and above.

895 068

SHOVLIN: These were my (so to speak) experts, not a side group. People who knew their business, okay? These were the foremen; these were like Wilson, and Doug Weaver, who were foremen, who were well familiar with the systems; who quite frankly, they were running around taking action and taking steps, probably before I got there, they were there. And they became a part of my communications.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DONALDSON: Let me finish this line, if I could. I wanted to try to 8 develop what's happening here. Alright now, then I assumed from what 9 you're said that there in fact was a repair team or repair party 10 formed and at the emergency control station with the official repair 11 party. The people you had were sort of like your assistants. 12

SHOVLIN: Well I did request that the people that were on shift and 14 was either I paged through the page system (and if I remember correctly it was Hilary Mitchell, the individual that I got in touch with) and I told him and he said they are all, the people of the repair party were mustered down at the emergency control station at Unit 1. And I said "I want you to direct those people to the emergency control station up at Unit 2." And I informed the emergency control director that my party has been mustered. It was apparent that I have augmented my party with additional talent and we proceeded from there.

CRESWELL: Who did you report to?

895 069

. 1		
1	STOVLIN: I reported the repair party, Joe Logan was the individual	
2	at the time that I was mustering my repair party.	
3		
4	FOSTER: We are going to take a break and change the tape now. The	
5	time now is 10:30 a.m.	
6		
7	FOSTER: We are continuing with the interview of Mr. Shovlin. The	
8	time is still 10:30 a.m.	
9		
10	DONALDSON: So essentially by what, 8:00 would you say? We have you	
11	with your repair party personnel in the control room. Or was it	
12	earlier? Do you know?	
13		
14	SHOVLIN: I'd say, yeah, about between quarter of and 8:00, probably	
15	they were assembled. Maybe it was after 8:00, maybe it was like 10	
16	after 8, but around that area they were assembled.	
17		
18	DONALDSON: All right, then we have you now in the control room and	
19	performing various repair type functions or maintenance functions at	
20	the request of Mr. Logan? Is that correct?	
21		
22	SHOVLIN: There was some conversation, yes. Mr. Logan and Zewe and	
23	Ross. There was various people.	
24	005 070	
25	895 070	
H		

DONALD	SON: Okay, in other words there, any number of people were
reques	ting your people to perform various actions?
SHOVLI	N: That's correct. And I might say that some of those action
went d	irectly to the individual, to the foreman rather than go throug
me to	the foreman, okay?
DONALD	SON: Did the foreman then get in touch with you?
SHOVLI	N: They kept me advised, okay? Of what they were doing.
DONALD	SON: Did you keep a log or any kind of record?
SHOVLIN	N: There is In the emergency in the Unit 2 control room
no. We	e do have a log. The I&C people kept a log of the work that wa
perform	med during that whole evolution.
DONALDS	SON: Then after the time when the repair party was formed,
let's s	say the morning of the 28th to start with, were there any repai
functio	ons performed by your team members in areas wherethat either
later p	proved to be or that you knew beforehand had high levels of
radioad	ctivity? Or any levels of radioactivity for that matter? Did
they ma	ake entries to the aux building?
	895 071

SHOVLIN: You mean prior to the incident, prior to the site emergency? 1 2 DONALDSON: No. We have you... 3 4 CRESWELL: Asked to pursue this prior to the site emergency like these 5 guys that could possibly be working on the relief, the makeup...? 6 71 I said that was possible. They could be in that area. SHOVLIN: 8 9 CRESWELL: Did they have health physics coverage when they went out 10 there? 11 12 When they were putting in the ... yes, I'm pretty certain SHOVLIN: 13 that they did, yes. When they were putting in the tubing, and the ... 14 15 Normally you would have your supervisor look to see, I mean FASANO: 16 is the work to be done and they would check to see if you need an RWP. 17 Correct? 18 19 Under normal conditions you work with a work request and a SHOVLIN: 20 procedure, okay? Which takes a PORC review and so forth. This was 21 not done. This was done in emergency conditions, okay? 22 23 DONALDSON: Okay, Dan we've got you. The emergency has been declared, 24 you have your repair party formed. Were there any functions that any 25

24

of your team members performed either requested by Mr. Zewe, Mr. 1 Logan, anyone else in the facility; where did they enter the aux 2 building, anywhere where there was any radiation? 3 4 SHOVLIN: The only work that was identified throughout the whole 5 morning was trying to install a line from your makeup tank to the 6 reactor building and from the bleed tank to the reactor building. 7 8 Okay, a line from the makeup tank. Now prior to the DONALDSON: 9 initiation of that work, did you have any discussions either Mr. Dubiel 10 or Mr. Mulleavy or Mr. Huwe or any of the rad protection personnel 11 regarding radiation levels in the area of the makeup tank? 12 13 SHOVLIN: I personally? 14 15 DONALDSON: Yes. 16 17 SHOVLIN: No. 18 19 DONALDSON: Did your foreman? 20 21 SHOVLIN: I'm quite sure my foreman did. 22 23 DONALDSON: Do you know for a fact? 24 895 073 25

1	SHOVLIN: No, I don't know. But I'm sure that someone would have
2	alerted them when they initiated that action, okay?
3	
4	DONALDSON: Okay, and your foreman again would have been Hillary
5	Mitchell?
6	
7	SHOVLIN: No, the foreman would of been either Wilson, Hal Wilson
8	(he's the I&C foreman) or Doug Weaver. Wilson is the one I have seen
9	that was most actively engaged in that particular evolution.
10	
11	DONALDSON: Alright, now to your knowledge when Mr. Wilson directed
12	or assisted in performing any of these repair functions on the morning
13	of the 28th, did he have a rad chem technician accompany the team?
14	
15	SHOVLIN: I couldn't tell you. I don't know.
16	
17	DONALDSON: Did you at all discuss with him the need to touch base
18	with the ECS?
19	
20	SHOVLIN: I did not, because that work that Wilson was doing on the
21	tubing was initiated before I got actively involved in the formulation
22	of the repair activity, the emergency repair activity. That particular,
23	the makeup tank job was I don't know if it was directly instituted
24	before the site emergency or if it was a little bit after, right after
25	it was declared. But he was actively involved.

895 074

1	DONALDSON: And the work continued? It continued?
2	
3	SHOVLIN: And the work continued on. That's correct.
4	
5	DONALDSON: Did you get any reports back from Wilson about how that
6	work was going?
7	
8	SHOVLIN: No, I did not.
9	
10	DONALDSON: The individuals involved, did they report back?
11	
12	SHOVIIN: In fact I can't weepli on the select that I would
13	recall on the bleed tank of the completion of that activity, okay?
14	
15	DONALDSON: Did they say they were successful in what they were
16	attempting to do?
17	
18	
19	makeup tank they were successful on that particular evolution, but I
20	don't know, I can't say bonestly on the bleed tank, if that was installed
21	and checked out and was doing
22	
23	DONALDSON: I believe that when Gary Miller took over as the emergency
24	director when the site emergency was declared (he arrived somewhere
25	around 7:00, I believe), he designated four individuals who would be

27

in charge of various aspects of the emergency. He directed someone in charge of operations, he directed someone in charge of health physics and accident assessment for radiological.

SHOVLIN: Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

11

16

17

18

19

201

21

22

23

24

25

DONALDSON: He also directed another individual in the operations area 7 to advise him. If I am hearing you say, is it correct to say, that 8 you were not receiving requests or direction from a centralized person, 9 but rather from a number of individuals? 10

SHOV! IN: Well when Gary first came in and called everyone in the 12 control station, that's when he designated and I was the one who was 13 responsible for the maintenance functions. That's when he gathered 14 everyone into the control supervisor's office, and he designated in 15 each individual as to what function they are going to perform. You are in charge of the repair functions.

DONALDSON: Was this -- again, you mentioned that in past drills your normal position was at the ECS. Then, did Mr. Miller at all ask you why you were there? Or didn't it seem strange to him see you there when normally he would expect to find you in the ECS? Or maybe he didn't think about it?

895 076

all of them and certainly to me, you're looking to localize whatever started the condition. And ... DONALDSON: Then Mr. Miller did assign you and did say to those present that anything having to do with maintenance, go through Shovlin. SHOVLIN: Go through Shovlin, that's correct. DONALDSON: Go through Shovlin, okay. SHOVLIN: To those people that were in the office, I think if I recall it was Seelinger, Logan, Zewe, Ross, myself and Gary. And sometime later, if I remember, we gathered in there and Lee Rogers from B&W was... FASANO: Dan, as you said previously, that you do report to the control room where a trip has occurred so you could get the parameters. So in this case you were in the control room.

SHOVLIN: That's correct.

SHOVLIN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

201

21

22

23

24

25

FASANO: At the time of the emergency?

895 077

29

tions, knowing that it was a real thing, apparently a real thing to

No, I don't know; maybe he didn't about it. I think condi-

SHOVLIN: When they declared the site emergency. 1 2 Where did you assemble with your people in the control room FASANO: 3 physically? 4 5 Right adjacent to the shift supervisor's office. As you SHOVLIN: 6 come in the entrance, that area right there. 7 8 FASANO: How many people were in that area? 9 10 SHOVLIN: On I would say roughly, at that particular time, about 10 11 people. 12 13 FASANO: How many people were in front of the yellow line? 14 15 The console? SHOVLIN: 16 17 FASANO: Yes. 18 19 SHOVLIN: I like about six or seven, roughly. That included the 201 supervisor, the superintendent, I noticed. But I kept myself away 21 from the activity because I had no business up there. 22 23 You mentioned that Lee Rogers from B&W was also in the DONALDSON: 24 control room. At any time did Mr. Rogers request of you or any of . 25

30

your people that certain repair functions or tours of the building be 1 made? 2 31 SHOVLIN: Not that I recall, no. 4 5 DONALDSON: Alright. Now again on the 28th, and I dont want to worry 6 too much about the specific time it occurred, but at any time do you 7 recall any discussions involving the need to change the seal injection 8 filters? Were you approached by anyone and requested to perform that 9 work? 10 11 That morning you say, on the 28th. SHOVLIN: 12 13 DONALDSON: On the 28th. 14 15 SHOVLIN: What time? 16 17 DONALDSON: Any time if you can recall. 18 19 No, not during the time I was there. But I have just found SHOVLIN: 20 out when I got relieved I was there from the time after 6:00 until 21 roughly 3:00 in the afternoon. I previously thought I was there a lot 22 longer. I got relieved and I came back. I got relieved by Dick Sieglitz 23 who's the supervisor of Unit 2, Supervisor of Maintenance, of Unit 2. 24 895 079 25

DONALDSON: Would Mr. Sieglitz be a normal alternate for you in heading the repair party?

SHOVLIN: Yes, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

20

21

22

23

24

25

DONALDSON: He would be?

SHOVLIN: See, the thing is when the site emergency was declared I 8 had no people on the island all right? They were over at the observa-9 tion center. They mustered over there, okay? After I somewhat got 10 some assemblance, some people reported to work early and I utilized 11 them; that was the I&C people. I called -- I made a -- And I'm trying 12 to think the communications. I requested that my supervisors come to 13 the island and muster and contact me. Basically I wanted to see where 14 I was going, what work had to be identified to do and where would my 15 people work. But they were mustered over at the observation center. 16 So I was able to get a relief for myself, I thought it was 3:00. The 17 normal shift maintenance relieved the other individuals that were in 18 the repair party, and we continued that around the clock. 19

DONALDSON: On the morning here, now (I guess by 9:00 or so) the health physics people had pretty much determined that there were significant radiological hazards within various areas of the building. Do you recall Mr. Miller cautioning or discussing at all the command or control or authorization for various entries that were to be made

by people? Who that was to go through? Any general policy that he 1 may have reiterated at that time? 2 3 SHOVLIN: I believe there was some word that was given in the control 4 room, general people in view of Miller I believe any entry into, and I 5 don't recall if it including the aux building, but I do believe that, 6 not Dubiel but I believe Mulleavy...Mulleavy or Dubiel was the one 7 that was supposed to be coordinating that effort, okay? 8 9 DONALDSON: Was Mr. Mulleavy in the control room at this time? 10 11 SHOVLIN: I don't recall that now. I think Dick Dubiel was. 12 13 Was Mr. Wilson or Mr. Weaver were they present in the DONALDSON: 14 control room when this announcement was made? 15 16 SHOVLIN: I can't say that. I don't know. 17 18 DONALDSON: Do you recall whether or not you reiterated that comment 19 to them? 20 21 SHOVLIN: To Weaver or, no. 22 23 DONALDSON: Or Wilson. 895 081 24 25

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> No. But I know -- there were so many things going on and you know, to recall each individual... I remember when Gary came in he took over, he took control, I remember that. As soon as he stepped in he took over and there was some vocalizing on his part to give out instructions. But most of the time I spent was directly in the area of where the repair party functioned. And I know there were some instructions given over in the area of the console right directly in front of your reactor protection.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 DONALDSON: Now normally if you were located at the Unit 1 chem HP 11 point and you had to make an entry into the Unit 2 auxiliary building, 12 how would the repair team make that entry? Would it be through the 13 Unit 1 HP check point and across, or would it be directly in from the 14 Unit 2 side?

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> No. It could be through the Unit 2 side. First of all they would assign us an HP type to go and monitor the area well he'd be assigned as a part of the repair team. They would give us exact directions where, what avenue to the take, okay? Whether it would be through the Unit 1 turbine building over into the Unit 2; or would it be up over from Unit 1 to Unit 2 fuel handling building; that specific directions would have been given there at the Unit 1 HP control station.

DONALDSON: Then it's reasonable to assume that since the radiation protection supervisor is located essentially right at the entrance,

34

that he could control entries and make such explanations before the 1 entry were made. Correct? 2 3 SHOVLIN: That's correct. 4 5 DONALDSON: My memory serves me be right that about 9:00 in the 6 morning, the ECS did in fact relocate to the Unit 2 control room 7 because of increasing radiation levels in the chem HP area. Correct? 8 9 Yes, I am trying to recall the direct time that we did have SHOVLIN: 10 airborne, that we had to put on respirators. I don't know if it was 11 around that time or not, right in the control room. 12 13 DONALDSON: Once the TCS had been relocated and Mr. Mulleavy and the 14 ECS, and all the rad-chem technicians were in the control room, at any 15 time when they were located in the control room, do you recall seeing 16 any of your repair party individuals being briefed as to routes to be 17 taken, exposure times, protective clothing required, so on and so 18 forth? 19 20 From the time after 9:00? I don't recall any activity to SHOVLIN: 21 any extent after we put the respirators on. 22 23 DONALDSON: So basically --895 083 24

25

SHOVLIN: As far as maintenance activity.

<u>DONALDSON:</u> S: on the morning as far as you were concerned, the only maintenance activities you had been provided with or asked to accomplish were completing the hook up from the makeup tank.

SHOVLIN: To the reactor building, right.

DONALDSON: And hooking up tubing from the reactor coolant bleed tank. Those were the only two functions.

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> And there was electrical request... I'm trying to recall... to restart air handling motors and I don't know where -- the aux building, reactor building or where but I know there was one.

<u>CRESWELL:</u> You mentioned earlier that there was a problem with interpreting instrumentation. Did any of your people --

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> Yes, there's times I've seen we were over by the console, okay? With several people and what they were discussing I'm sure was pressurizer levels a d what time of the day now that was after we were doing any -- it was after the involvement in, his activity with the makeup tank and reactor if I can recall. And what I'm saying is that many times the operation shift foreman, or someone would directly call the foreman and say "I want you to look at this particular problem we have."

1	CRESWELL: That was in the control room?
2	SHOVLIN: That was in the control room.
4	
5	CRESWELL: That wasn't out in, say, the aux building?
6	
7	SHOVLIN: No, no, that was directly in the control room.
8	
9	FASANO: Were you knowledgeable of getting water treatment unit and
10	water from Unit 1 to Unit 2? Apparently there were some valves on the
11	water treatment system in Unit 1, I think it was B-62 or B-63, that
12	requested to be tapped off and then water would be available to Unit 2.
13	Do you have any knowledge of that work?
14	
15	SHOVLIN: That was on the water treatment system? No.
16	FASANO: You have no knowledge that Unit 1 supplied Unit 2 with
17	FASANO: You have no knowledge that Unit 1 supplied Unit 2 with makeup water?
18	Makeup Water:
19	CHOVETN. No. 16 th use does the
20	SHOVLIN: No, if it was done, it was done through a normal valve line
21	up that Operations would perform.
22	
23	FASANO: You have no knowledge that say, a
24	
25	895 085

. 8	
1	SHOVLIN: A repairman or someone assisted in that?
2	
3	FASANO: Not a repairman but, say, someone at the foreman level actually
4	did that in Unit 1.
5	
6	SHOVLIN: That could have happened, but it would have had to have
7	been from direction of either an operation supervisor or a foreman who
8	would recommend
9	
10	FASANO: And you would not have been notified?
11	
12	SHOVLIN: I would not have been notified.
13	
14	DONALDSON: In that direction an operations foreman, you said. Now,
15	were operations foremen also directing repair or corrective actions?
10	
17	SHOVLIN: As they have in the past, I'm sure they have requested
18	directly to foreman to foreman type of communication. Yes.
19	
20	DONALDSON: Then requests for action were not being essentially taken
21	to a central authority for review and looking at it in light of the
22	overall situation?
23	
24	SHOVLIN: Not during the conditions that existed on that particular
25	day.
23	895 086

<u>DONALDSON:</u> Are you aware of any people other than your maintenance people or those people the would normally be on the emergency repair team performing any valve line ups, assessment tours to determine plant status in the aux building, things of that nature? When you were on shift at any time? Any time during the 28th through the 30th.

SHOVLIN: Not to my knowledge.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

<u>DONALDSON:</u> I wonder if you can recall any of the other specific
 actions that you might have been involved in in terms of repair type?
 For example, laying down of plastic in the auxiliary building. I
 think you also had a hose hooked to the waste gas decay tank so that
 that would vent into the auxiliary building.

15 <u>SHOVLIN:</u> Now I remember the plastic and that was to cover water that was already spilled, I believe. I remember that action. But I don't know, right now I don't know whether it was in the aux building or what area of the aux building it was.

DONALDSON: Did your repair team perform that function?

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> I believe they were requested to do that. I remember the action being taken, and I remember because there was water that was contaminated on the floor.

895 087

1	CRESWELL: Where?
2	
3	SHOVLIN: I believe it was in the makeup room, or in that area. I'm
4	not certain, but I know that they did request and put plastic down to
5	particularly cover up contaminated water.
6	상품은 그는 것은 수영을 위한 것은 것을 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것이 같이 것을 수 있다.
7	CRESWELL: You say they requested. Who is "they"?
8	
9	SHOVLIN: Operations. Either the shift supervisor or someone from
10	Operations requested that.
11	
12	CRESWELL: Through you or directly to you?
13	
14	SHOVLIN: I believe it was through me.
15	
16	CRESWELL: Who did you assign to the task?
17	
18	SHOVLIN: These two or three people that were
19	CRECWELL, Novt to your
20	CRESWELL: Next to you
21	SHOVLIN: In my repair party.
22	SHOVLIN: In my repair party.
23	CRESWELL: And they would have been mechanical plantainel
24	CRESWELL: And they would have been mechanical, electrical, instrumen- tation
25	

SHOVLIN: Or I&C, right.

1

2

3

4

14

19

21

22

23

24

25

CRESWELL: You don't recall who they were?

SHOVLIN: And I can't to this day tell you who the names of the 5 repairmen were except for my talking to Hillary Mitchell and the I&C 6 types who were mostly actively involved. Now it could have been the 7 I&C types that went and laid down the plastic because they were the 8 ones that were involved in the work. In fact, the I&C group were, 9 from what I can recall, were involved in 99% of what activity that 10 went on that day. And they in turn could have put the plastic down in 11 order for them to go in to do -- to be able to go in and work or 12 whatever they had to do. 13

15 <u>CRESWELL:</u> Is it a fair characterization that there's various number of people beside you during the course of the event that morning; people are leaving and coming back and you mentioned that at this time there were three people there when the plastic was laid down.

20 SHOVLIN: Roughly I believe there were three people.

<u>CRESWELL</u>: You had a total of eight people available and this besides the number of people...

895 089

SHOVLIN: Yeah, there was a total of eight people were there during what time frame. Eventually I had more than eight people, because I was augmented, initially augmented, I was utilizing the I&C people that were already working or already there engaged in the problem that existed.

<u>CRESWELL:</u> To your understanding, then, Dan, the individual foremen were keeping the maintenance activities that were being performed in their log books as they normally would?

SHOVLIN: Yes I think most of that is documented in the I&C area, which I think...

<u>CRESWELL:</u> Even if I guess in this case since they were I&C people and were probably performing emergency type functions. Anything might have been put in.

SHOVLIN: If that plastic, if they laid that plastic I'm sure that's in their log. Because that was...

<u>CRESWELL:</u> Good. Dan, on anytime through the 28th or the 30th because of manpower shortage or because you felt there was a necessity, did you perform or assist any of the repair teams? Did you accompany any of them?

895 090

23 24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

SHOVLIN: I was directly involved, personally supervised the hydrogen 1 recombiner that was installed that's putting in the new piping; I 2 directed the whole function. But that was, I think, on Easter, on 3 Sunday. Whatever date that was. 4 5 CRESWELL: About a week later. 6 7 SHOVLIN: I wa personally involved in that evolution. I directed 8 four of my people and four of the contractor to install that. That 9 was Easter Sunday, I believe it was. 10 11 CRESWELL: You had a recombiner there. 12 13 There was one; I was installing the second one, the standby SHOVLIN: 14 one. That's correct. 15 16 CRESWELL: And that would be the only ... 17 18 SHOVLIN: That was the only ... 19 20 CRESWELL: Action... 21 22 Action that I personally was totally involved with. SHOVLIN: 23 24 25 895 091

DONALDSON: If I could I'd like to just backup on a couple of other things and then I'll leave you to these fellows. I'd like to talk a little bit about the training for the emergency repair team. Again could you describe for me just a little bit the scope and the nature of the training that your people received?

Well it's heavy on the health physics involvement. During SHOVLIN: 7 our normal drills as you've been a party to, or at least witnessed 8 several times, the extent of the repair activity is a small part of 9 the overall drill. Normally if you are simulating a valve is stuck 10 open in a system, and you send a repair party in to unstick the valve 11 .ilizing: You're dressed up; all the precautions that you have to 12 take; you have a health physics monitor monitoring the area for you; 13 you know what the reading of the areas is what you're going into; but 14 over and above that. we go through extensive -- before we go into our 15 final drill, we go through extensive training prior to that. In fact 16 there's several weeks that we go through in preparation for the final 17 drill. 18

DONALDSON: I believe you do conduct repair party drills. Is that correct?

SHOVLIN: That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

191

20

21

22

23

24

25

895 092

DOI	NALDSON: Do you recall when the last repair party drill was held?
SHO	OVLIN: When we had our final drill for the State and NRC?
DOI	NALDSON: You halped the conjunction with the large scale radiation
eme	ergency drill then, in November, I believe. Is that correct?
SHO	OVLIN: The latter part of last year.
DOM	NALDSON: Now, in looking through the training program I have a
les	sson plan that was provided yes?
SHO	OVLIN: Let me back up a little bit now. There are, my foremen are
inv	volved in giving individual not just training departmentmy
for	reman in each discipline, on shift directly go through repair party
fur	nction training or on-the-job type training. While they're on
FOS	STER: The time is 11:00 a.m. We ran out of the last tape. Mr. Shovlin
was	s speaking. Would you back up just a little bit please and pick up
the	e sentence before we were cut off?
SHO	OVLIN: We were discussing the on the job repair party training as
def	fined, and other definitions of actual drill type repair party
tra	aining which we've done.
	895 093

DONALDSON: Did you, or are you aware that of any formal training that 1 had been conducted? That is, a classroom kind of situation where 2 specific topics were covered and then student performance or student? 3 4 SHOVLIN: It was done, it was conducted by HP with my foremen who in 5 turn had to instruct each discipline on the repair partly functions. 6 7 DONALDSON: and ... did you attend that particular class? 8 3 SHOVLIN: There was, no, I can't, I personally? 10 11 DONALDSON: Did you attend the formal class in 1978? Conducted by 12 either health physics and yourself or, by health physics? 13 14 SHOVLIN: Yes, it was conducted I believe I was, it was conducted over 15 in the auditorium. Yes. ... When in 1978, I can't say. 16 17 DONALDSON: What I heard you say is then that ... the one class was 18 held and in turn then the foreman, who you designated, in turn trained 19 additional people. Is that correct? 20 21 SHOVLIN: That is correct. 221 23 DONALDSON: I wonder if you'de take a look at this lesson plan that 24 ... it is a hand written lesson plan at the top, it's the emergency 25 895 094

repair party. This is part of the official investigation record TM #469. I wonder if you'd glance through the two pages of this and give me a feeling as to whether or not the classroom trining that you attended, number one, met the scope that's outlined in there and number two, whether or not you had any input in the preparation of that particular lesson plan.

8 <u>SHOVLIN:</u> There's one area here that I, the second page, that I can't 9 recall is the area, Formation Emergency Organization - talking about 10 the day shift-back shift.... I mean as a part of the formal training.

12. <u>DONALDSON:</u> You'd mentioned earlier that you didn't have any people there because of the change of shift, now, there are shift people working, maintenance people around the clock.

16 SHOVLIN: Yes, around the clock.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

15

17

18

19

201

21

22

23

24

25

DONALDSON: But because they were switching over they were, there were some leaving and some trying to come onl

SHOVLIN: I don't, I can't, I don't, Like I said there was like 10 of 7, when quarter of 7, 10 of 7, and I, normally they are.

DONALDSON: Was that training roughly, the one you attended, the classroom training was it roughly equivalent to that outline? Do you

895 095

- I -	
1	know whether or not your maintenance foreman, the one you designated
2	to train additional people used or followed that, this outline?
3	
4	SHOVLIN: This guideline? I can't say that for sure.
5	
6	DONALDSON: Who was the individual that you designated, do you recall?
7	
8	SHOVLIN: Well, I left it up to the, I have a supervisor in each
9	discipline. A supervisor mechanic, who is John McGarry, supervisor in
10	electrical who is Hilary Mitchell, and a supervisor in I&C is Pete
11	Snyder. And I know, I can remember because McCormick.
12	
13	DONALDSON: Who provided the health physics portion of that training?
14	That is, the emergency risk doses that could be accepted for, well I
15	don't think could be accepted, but emergency risk doses that
16	
17	SHOVLIN: I'm pretty sure it was Tom Mulleavy.
18	
19	DONALDSON: Did he also provide this training for the follow on training
20	that your supervisors conducted?
21	
22	SHOVLIN: I'm not sure, I'm not perfectly sure of that.
23	
24	DONALDSON: Do you recall in your training class discussions relating
25	to emergency risk doses? Who would be authorized to direct certain
1	

actions if it appeared that individuals would receive X amount of 1 exposure? 2 3 SHOVLIN: That's, that's your HP supervisor is the one that would 4 give... 5 6 DONALDSON: Do you recall that being discussed? 7 8 SHOVLIN: As part of it? No, I don't recall that. Although I personally 9 have read that, what the doses were. 10 11 DONALDSON: Do you recall whether or not the training addressed follow 12 on actions in the event that a person was overexposed during an emergency 13 or the need for an individual to be a volunteer under certain circum-14 stances? 15 16 SHOVLIN: As part of that training? No, Idon't recall that. 17 18 DONALDSON: From your reading of the response plan and participation 19 in drills, did you apply any of this prior knowledge during the emergency 20 we are discussing, to the extent that prior to having one of your 21 foremen or maintenance supervisors conduct some operation, that they 22 were apprised of or aware of the fact that under certain conditions 23 the individual should be made aware that they ... the participation or 24 action would be voluntary? Was that ever passed on to you at all? 25

49

SHOVLIN: Would you repeat that again?

1

2 DONALDSON: Yea, did you ever say to your foreman, "O.K. we've got a 31 job to do," or "whatever job you do, pay attention to the HP end and 4 remember that if the doses are, the dose levels are so high, whatever 5 number that would be, the guys would have to be volunteers, you can't 6 make them do it." 7 8 SHOVLIN: No, we set a, in maintenance we set a 20 MR limit as a 9 control but never with the stipulation on it you would not, you exceed 10 that. 11 12 DONALDSON: We're in emergency condition now. You set 20 MR administra-13 tively during normal operations, what is the administrative limit set 14 during emergencies? 15 16 SHOVLIN: The three hundred MR per week was the normal administrative, 17 we had 25 hundred MR during the emergency, as a ... 18 19 DONALDSON: Who set the 25 hundred? 20 21 SHOVLIN: The station superintendant ... 22 23 DONALDSON: Was this set on the day of the, of the incident of sometime 24 prior? I don't see that written down anywhere, that's the 25 hundred 25 is sort of news to me, that's why I'm wondering where it came from.

1	SHOVLIN: No, well, the 25 hundred was, to me was the day of the
2	incident that Gary said
3	
4	DONALDSON: O.K., so you sort of remember Gary saying 25 hundred? Do
5	you recall whether he designated an individual who could authorize
6	anyone to go beyond that?
7	
8	SHOVLIN: Dick DuBeil.
9	
10	DONALDSON: Do you remember him saying Dick DuBeil up to, did he say
11	up to how much, or just overall he gave that responsibility to Dick?
12	
13	SHOVLIN: Overall, 25 hundred was the maximum. Above that it would
14	have to be Dick DuBeil would be the controlling factor.
15	
16	DONALDSON: So he issued a general administrative limit of 25 hundred
17	with additional followup in order to go above that and Dick DuBeil was
18	the clearing authority for that? Do you recall any discussions that
19	your foreman may have had or did you see them talking with Dick at all
20	regarding any of these operations, to determine if these administrative
21	limits that were set?
22	
23	SHOVLIN: Dick DuBeil was a very busy gent that particular day, O.K.?
24	And it would be hard for me to pinpoint down what his activity really
25	was because he was heavily involved in trying to keep everyone notified

of what was happening. That not only included our own staff, it included the NRC, the state and ... so whether some individual came up to him and requested from him that's possible. But I wouldn't personally know.

DONALDSON: To your knowledge, prior to the 28th, were there any members of your repair party team, those who would normally be designated as members and those would be maintenance shift workers, repairmen, and I quess it's maintenance I&C, I forget the other title offhand, any of the people normally assigned to the emergency repair party team who had not received required training?

SHOVLIN: That's possible. It would be someone who just went into the discipline, that bid into a shift maintenance job in recent months? That's possible.

DONALDSON: Do you recall prior to the incident now last year during 78, so on and so forth, do you recall any discussions or requests that lesson plans or certain training be conducted for the maintenance and repair party?

SHOVLIN: That maintenance, was actively involved in preparing the lesson plans?

895 100

the training department or either as a result of a drill were you 2 tasked with the responsibility of preparing a formal training program 3 for the emergency repair team? 4 5 6 SHOVLIN: Now that through me as the superintendent of maintenance, 7 no, but it's possible through the supervisor of maintenance for each 8 unit that ... that's quite possible. 9 10 DONALDSON: Would supervisor of maintenance for each unit report to 11 you as the superintendent of maintenance, or how does that work? 12 13

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> No, see the supervisor of Unit 1 reports directly to the superintendent of Unit 1. Supervisor of Unit 2 reports directly to the superintendent of Unit 2. Now there is a dotted line to me that I control what work goes through Met Ed maintenence or what work goes to the contractor. Sometimes I referee who ... what the priorities are. But it's quite possible that all of these particular situations or instances that you speak of, were directed to the supervisor of that particular unit.

DONALDSON: Now if I read this, going back to this chart again your title as superintendent of maintenence. That according to this che supervisor of maintence would have been in charge of the emergency

895 101

53

DONALDSON: Yes, were you requested either through a memorandum from

1	repair party team, is that correct? I believe that would have been
2	for Unit 2 Mr. Siegletz.
3	
4	SHOVLIN: That's correct, but not, that was my title until not too
5	long ago.
6	
7	DONALDSON: Until when?
8	the second se
9	SHOVLIN: Well I became superintendant of maintenance, oh, about a
10	year ago.
11	
12	DONALDSON: Is this a new title, superintendent of maintenance?
13	SHOVI IN. Yes protty ruch as welles arise to be and
14	SHOVLIN: Yes, pretty much, so, you're going to see, you'll probably
15	see some correspondence that still has supervisor of maintenance on it.
16	
17	DONALDSON: If we were to just look at this though, we would expect
18	Mr. Sieglitz.
19	
20	SHOVLIN: No, looking at it you would look for the superintendent of
21	maintenance to be on it.
22	
23	DONALDSON: But while this is the current chart, I'm reading this says
24	supervisor of maintenance, prior to a year and a half ago was there
25	only one supervisor of maintenance?
	895 102

.

SHOVLIN: Prior to a year and a half ago, yes I was supervisor of 1 maintenance for Unit 1 and then I went into the startup of Unit 2, and 2 I promoted an engineer to supervise our maintenance, Unit 1, and 3 that's when I became superintendent. 4 5 CRESSWELL: When was that that you changed your job title? 6 7 SHOVLIN: I believe Jim it was January of 78. 8 9 DONALDSON: O.K., so this really I quess you're telling me this is 10 really not accurate reflection of the way things really work? 11 12 SHOVLIN: What I'm saying is that the supervisor maintenance is really 13 myself. I am the superintendent of maintenance right now. 14 15 DONALDSON: Were you told that's what it's supposed to be? I don't 16 know, 17 18 SHOVLIN: What do you mean? 191 201 DONALDSON: Well, in other words, supervisor of maintenance is a very 21 specific title and now you have a Unit 1 supervisor of maintenance and 22 a Unit 2 supervisor of maintenance. Since certain other positions are 23 designated by supervisor of the affected unit, I would assume that the 24 head of the repair party team would have been the unit 2 supervisor of 25

55

1	mainte ance. I guess what I'm saying is had this ever been discussed
2	as to who really was going to be in charge of the emergency repair
3	party team?
4	
5	SHOVLIN: I have been in charge of the emergency repair party team
6	from its inception since I've been here.
7	
8	DONALDSON: O.K. so then the person who's in charge of repair party
9	team is not really a duty title, it's more of a specific name of an
10	individual.
11	
12	SHOVLIN: That's correct.
13	
14	DONALDSON: O.K., Then I quess it's safe to assume that during the
15	drills as late as November 11, 1978 that Mr. Sieglitz or, who's the
16	Unit 1 supervisor?
17	
18	SHOVLIN: They could have, they could have, well now its, I was still
19	an, as supervisor Unit 1, I was holding two titles. That's where the
20	problem comes in. Tom Hopkins he's right now, he's not officially,
21	but he's going to be designated supervisor Unit 1, so I was really
22	filling two titles. Supervisor of Maintenance Unit 1 plus Superinten-
23	dent of both units.
24	895 104
25	

DONALDSON: You wore two hats. 1 2 SHOVLIN: I wore two hats. 3 4 DONALDSON: One final question, as a result of any of these drills 5 that you conducted, or having gone through the training, did you 6 prepare any recommendations or critique comments following drills? To 7 highlight areas that needed to be improved in the response plan? 8 9 SHOVLIN: Yes, we did. After each drill and you probably were a party 10 to some of them, if you participated in any of our drills. 11 12 DONALDSON: I won't ask you to recall what those specific comments 13 were right now, but in general would you say that the comments that 14 you addressed were in fact reviewed by management and either resolved 15 or in some manner addressed to your satisfaction? 16 17 SHOVLIN: Yes, because they were particular as a result of our overall 18 drill there were particular problem areas that were identified that we 19 had to comment on and not only in my own, I know there was in my area 201 there was a couple of areas, but also in the health physics and other 21 areas. 22 23 DONALDSON: You mean areas, health physics areas that related to your 24 activities? 25

895 105

SHOVLIN: Right, and even these were future these were looking after 1 future ... future drills or these were areas that we had to improve 2 on. 3 4 DONALDSON: In a very general sense, can you recall any of these 5 comments or areas you felt needed to be highlighted for further drills? 6 7 SHOVLIN: As related to repair party function? 8 9 DONALDSON: Yes. 10 11 SHOVLIN: I think in some of our communications ... in communications, 12 definitely in communications the communications from the individual 13 who is out, either out in the area getting back the information back 14 to emergency control station, as the assessment of the problem of 15 difficulty, that was brought up. 16 17 DONALDSON: I said one final question, I've really got one more and 18 then I'll leave. There were some other actions, that at least to me 191 on the surface seemed as if they were really repair type actions that 20 occured on the 28th through the 30th. I did mention one of them 21 before one of them was the, I believe the seal injection filter work 22 there were some other tours made by various people to turn wheels and 23 turn valves and do various and sundry things. I guess the point I 24 want to make is did you have any knowledge that somebody other than 25 your people were running around doing your function?

895 106

SHOVLIN: You mean opening valves?

1. 1

2	
3	FASANO: Let me just clear this up, I think what we're talking about
4	there were some cases where the turning gear on the feedwater pump,
5	apparently an operator was, an auxiliary operator was in the turbine
6	building and turning the turning gear, assisting the turning gear to
7	
8	
9	DONALDSON: These kinds of things. The emergency repair functions
10	that repair people did not perform under the repair team. Health
11	physics people might have done it, for example the seal injection.
12	Originally the adjustment of some seal water flow was to be performed
13	by an auxiliary operator and a HP technician.
14	
15	SHOVLIN: You're well aware that you have aux operators as a part of
16	the emergency control, emergency parties.
17	
18	DONALDSON: I'm well aware that they are not in fact a part of the
19	emergency repair party.
20	
21	SHOVLIN: But you are aware that when we conduct our drills we do have
22	auxiliary operators as a part of the emergency control station, standing
23	by to go out and assist the emergency repair party, in being familiar
24	with valve line-ups, opening and closing valves that
25	005 107
	. 895 107

DONALDSON: What we're trying to get to is where there any situations where an aux operator on his own or a health physics technician on his own, cr someone outside, totally outside of the repair party team performed your kind of function without your knowledge, without assistance from your people?

1

2

31

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> That's quite possible. And during this condition, there's probably a lot of action taken that they were not looking ... in order to get immediate response ... looking for the gent who was in charge of the repair party. I'm sure there were some instances that they went directly to an individual and said I want you to go down and close whatever value or...

<u>DONALDSON:</u> You've always had fairly ambitious scenarios in your drills in terms of repair party activities. You've done such things as lock doors behind the teams after they leave and actually have to have the repair party open the doors through bolt cutters to get back in. You've had major equipment failures where you've had to make reentry's and perform certain functions. Looking back over the drills that you've conducted and your experience in heading these repair party teams, how would you characterize the response of your group? In comparison with those past drills the way you used to do it under the drill and the way it had to be done or the way that you did it during the emergency?

895 108

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> If you would go back and look at the scenario from any drill you would note that there was minimum repair type functional activity required in support of the drill. So, to your question was, the overall drill as conducted I think is directly, is directed to the those areas that are monitoring outside activities, communication response from the various areas that they are monitoring, the conduct of the emergency control station and your emergency control center, their performance under the drill. The repair activity I think is a small portion of the overall drill.

1

2

31

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DONALDSON: During the emergency would you say that it turned out to be a significantly greater portion?

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> From what I was involved in ? Yes, because during the drill it was isolated to a valve sticking open, or they lost air to an operator or this certainly, there was definitly more activity during this emergency then and it involved the response of, in most instances, of our I&C group, instrumentation group

DONALDSON: Within your emergency duties with the repair party team, would you like to make any general comments regarding the emergency functions of that nature that may be of assistance here to others in the industry or to your own organization in improving that particular area?

895 109

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> Yes, in retrospect you look at better coordination between HP and the maintenance activity where you told me the question, "was I aware of the radiation levels in a certain area?" Ferhaps that was not identified as explicitly as it should have. At least to nave a better control of the response by your repair party. I know that you react a lot different in the real thing than you would during the ... simulating type conditions.

1

21

31

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

24

25

DONALDSON: Is that more or a personal comment, or is that a characterization of the crganization of a whole?

SHOVLIN: No, I've personally been, I lived in the environment of 12 simulating drills in the Navy and I personally have been involved in 13 the real thing and I think your response and what action you take is 14 somewhat different to some degree, you're bypassing the formal aspect 15 of it in many cases. And in so doing, bypassing the formal aspect, it 16 doesn't say that you're not jepordizing it's possible that you're 17 doing things that, for example here, where they questioned this particular 18 work function in a high radiation area and if somebody identified that 19 with what the readings were prior to entry? That could have been 20 done, but, to my, as me directly knowing what the radiation levels 21 were or a function being performed during that particular case, I 22 would say I was not. 23

895 110

	FASANO: You mentiored earli	er that you donn	ed a mask. Did you f	ind
	it at that time more difficu	It to communicat	e?	
	SHOVLIN: Oh, definitely.			
	FASANC: Previous people had	said that they	took their masks off t	to
	communicate. Do you have an	y, did you see p	eople doing that?	
	SHOVLIN: No, in the, severa	l times inside t	he shirt supervisor's	
	office where it was enclosed	, I've seen that	when someone was tryi	ing
	to answer a phone or that ty	pe of a situation	ñ.	
	CRESWELL: Can I go back to	any earlier perio	od of time, you arrive	d at
	the site about 6:00.			
	SHOVLIN: It was after six,	I would say betwe	een six and six-thirty	
		1. S. C.		
	CRESWELL: And I'de like to	no back to the t	ime where you first go	t to
	the control room. At that t			
	seven people in the control	room.		
11.0	SHOVLIN: No, I said you men	tioned how many p	people were around the	1
(console.			10
			895 111	Sec. 8

CRESWELL: O.K. Well how many people were in the control room when
you got there?
SHOVLIN: Well, I'de say it looked like about 12 or 14.
CRESWELL: 12 or 14? O.K You mentioned earlier that there was about
150 MR at the security fence.
SHOVLIN: I said greater than 125 MR. That's one of the conditions
that gets you a site emergency.
CRESWELL I'd like to go back to the time you entered the control
room, you looked at the panel? Did you look at the panel?
SHOVLIN: No, at no time did I look at any, I didn't get into the
area. There's a certain division that there's people that get out
of the way, that so let those that are able to, evaluate the situation,
without cluttering up and interfering with their normal process.
CRESWELL: Who's the first person that you talked to when you got into
the control room?
SHOVLIN: That's one thing I could never recall, either it was Logan
or it was Ross. That's to my recollection.
895 112
075 112

CRESWELL: Did they brief you on what was going on?

1

2 SHOVLIN: I tried, they were doing a thing, I tried to I think Mike 3 Ross was the one that briefly told me what they were having well they 4 had a, told me what happened, why it, what started, precipitated the 5 trip. He said they got water in the air system, the polisher valve 6 closed the feed pump tripped, the condensate pump tripped which in 7 turn of course tripped the turbine and the turbine, consequently then 8 the turbine, the reactor tripped. I was aware of that scenario. 9 10 CRESWELL: Anything between that and the time that you got there? 11 12 SHOVLIN: No. 13 14 CRESWELL: O.K. There was a short period of time before the site 15 emergency was declared. 16 17 SHOVLIN: While I was there, that's correct. 18 15 CRESWELL: Well, I'm interested in what went on during that short 20 period of time. 21 22 SHOVLIN: Well I was there, I stayed back out of the area and you 23 know, I would say there's at least 6 or 7 around the console, looked 24 to me like they were doing just an orderly shutdown. And, they got an 25

alarm I am pretty sure they got an alarm from one of the monitors, a 1 high alarm which seemed to they, see the reactor very quickly the 2 condition existing that was not normal and from that it just seemed to 31 me that it went into the site emergency, and then it was a general 4 emergency... 5 6 FOSTER: Let's take a break and change the tape. The time is 11:28 7 a.m. 8 9 FOSTER: We're continuing with the interview of Mr. Shovlin the time 10 is still 11:28 a.m. Mr. Donaldson has left the interview. 11 12 CRESWELL: Did anybody discuss with you at that point in time whether 13 they had problems with the reactor coolant pumps? 14 15 SHOVLIN: No, the only time they discussed the reactor coolant pumps 16 was that they were, I believe this was when we went into the shift 17 supervisors they were discussing this. where, they were having a 18 problem with the main vapor valve, I believe, or losing suction or 19 being vapor bound. 201 21 CRESWELL: And that would have been about what time that you had that 22 discussion? 23 895 114 24 25

SHOVLIN: I'm quite sure it was after Gary Miller was there. Shortly 1 after he got there. 21 3 CRESWELL: O.K. Did you have any comments on the pumps being a vapor 4 bound? Did anybody ask you anything about it? 5 6 SHOVLIN: No. Except that they were concerned about doing severe 7 damage to the pump, I think they were vibrating. 8 9 CRESWELL: What type of damage would you expect? 10 11 SHOVLIN: From the high vibration? Well you could have bearing damage, 12 or seal damage. I didn't go back into it I'm sure someone took the ... 13 secured the affected pump. 14 15 CRESWELL: O.K. What contributions did you make to this first conference 16 that Gary Miller had? 17 18 SHOVLIN: I was just party, I was superintendant of maintenance they 19 was more in an operational aspect. What should we do? Should we kick 20 the seal injection on or that type of ... 21 22 CRESWELL: What was that discussion? What, who asked whether they 23 should keep the seal injection on? 24 895 115 25

SHOVLIN: Or shut it off, I think the people who were highly involved 1 in that discussion I believe was Kunder, Ross ... with Gary, I don't 2 know if Zewe was or not , yes Zewe was involved in that too. And I'm 3 trying to feel, you know this was ... several times ... I think Lee . 4 Rodgers was in at that particular time. 5 61 CRESWELL: What was the consideration in whether they should shut the 7 seal injection off or not? 8 9 SHOVLIN: I believe put it, yes, keep it on. 10 11 CRESWELL: It was decided to keep it on, but what were the considera-12 tions about whether you could shut it off or not? 13 14 SHOVLIN: I don't recall. 15 16 CRESWELL: Were they concerned about plugging up the filters in the 17 line or...? 18 19 SHOVLIN: That was not discussed. I mean it could have been discussed, 201 but I didn't. They were rallying, going back and forth and I was like 21 a like in the background listening to the and the ... yes, B&W, they 22 had some input. I know that Gary had some questions and Ross and 23 Kunder had some concerns and but other than 24 895 116 25

. 1	
1	
2 3 4	concerns were
3	
4	SHOVLIN: No the only one I can vividly remember was of the continuing
5	with the high pressure injection. That was discussed.
6	
7	CRESWELL: That was discussed thouroughly?
8	
9	SHOVLIN: Yes, that was discussed.
10	
11	CRESWELL: Who was talking about that?
12	
13	SHOVLIN: I think it was Kunder and Ross, I think Zewe.
14	
15	CRESWELL: Do you remember what their position was?
16	
17	SHOVLIN: No, it seemed that, like Zewe I can't recall, Zewe or
18	Ross of Kunder one wanted it off, and one wanted, two wanted it on, or
19	I can't recall how that which one of the ones, which one was the
20	gent that thought it should be secured.
21	
22	CRESWELL: Thought it should be secured?
23	
24	SHOVLIN: Once, right, one there was one that I'm pretty sure that
25	gave the indication that you know, that he wanted to secure the high
	pressure injection, the other two I thought they wanted to keep it on.
	895 117

.

<pre>CRESWELL: It was a kind of two and one situation. SHOVLIN: I think it was, yes. From what I recall now who the one wa I can't CRESWELL: Who won out? Do you have any idea? SHOLVIN: The seal injection went back either it was off or it went back on, O.K. That whoever to put the seal injection back o or continue with it, that's who won out. CRESWELL: Did Miller make that decision? SHOLVIN: Gary could have I wasn't he could have made that decision. FASANO: I have a few questions on that Dale had left. One of them is what contractors you had contractors in and what were these contractors doing during this period? You nad contract mainter I believe. SHOVLIN: Yes, there was no activity with the contractors, during thi </pre>						
I can't CRESWELL: Who won out? Do you have any idea? SHOLVIN: The seal injection went back either it was off or it went back on, O.K. That whoever to put the seal injection back of or continue with it, that's who won out. CRESWELL: Did Miller make that decision? SHOLVIN: Gary could have I wasn't he could have made that decision. FASANO: I have a few questions on that Dale had left. One of them is what contractors you had contractors in and what were these contractors doing during this period? You had contract mainter I believe.	CRESWELL:	It was a kind of	two and one	e situation.		
SHOLVIN: The seal injection went back either it was off or it went back on, O.K. That whoever to put the seal injection back or or continue with it, that's who won out. CRESWELL: Did Miller make that decision? SHOLVIN: Gary could have I wasn't he could have made that decision. FASANO: I have a few questions on that Dale had left. One of them is what contractors you had contractors in and what were these contractors doing during this period? You had contract mainter I believe.			es. From wh	nat I recall r	now who the one	was
<pre>went back on, O.K. That whoever to put the seal injection back o or continue with it, that's who won out. <u>CRESWELL:</u> Did Miller make that decision? <u>SHOLVIN:</u> Gary could have I wasn't he could have made that decision. <u>FASANO:</u> I have a few questions on that Dale had left. One of them is what contractors you had contractors in and what were these contractors doing during this period? You had contract mainter I believe.</pre>	CRESWELL:	Who won out? Do	you have an	ny idea?		
or continue with it, that's who won out. <u>CRESWELL:</u> Did Miller make that decision? <u>SHOLVIN:</u> Gary could have I wasn't he could have made that decision. <u>FASANO:</u> I have a few questions on that Dale had left. One of them is what contractors you had contractors in and what were these contractors doing during this period? You had contract mainter I believe.	SHOLVIN:	The seal injectio	n went back	either it	; was off or it	
<u>SHOLVIN:</u> Gary could have I wasn't he could have made that decision. <u>FASANO:</u> I have a few questions on that Dale had left. One of them is what contractors you had contractors in and what were these contractors doing during this period? You had contract mainter I believe.					injection back	(on
decision. <u>FASANO:</u> I have a few questions on that Dale had left. One of them is what contractors you had contractors in and what were these contractors doing during this period? You had contract mainten I believe.	CRESWELL:	Did Miller make	that decisio	n?		
them is what contractors you had contractors in and what were these contractors doing during this period? You had contract mainten I believe.		Gary could have .	I wasn't	he could	have made that	
	them is withese cont	hat contractors tractors doing dur	. you had co	ntractors in	and what we	
	SHOVLIN:	Yes, there was no				
time frame that this whole thing started, the whole scenario started. $895 118$	cime irame	e that this whole	thing started	d, the whole		d.

. 1	
1	FASANO: Were they doing work, just prior to it?
2	
3	SHOVLIN: No, there was no work function being conducted.
4	
5	FASANO: You left at 3:00, right? About 3 in the afternoon?
6	~ 2017년 2019년 1월 2017년 1월 2017 1월 2017년 1월 2
7	SHOVLIN: I left at 3 and I came back oh, I left at 3 really to get
8	something to eat, so they told me. I came back at about 5 or 6, as I
9	recall.
10	
11	FASANO: So you were there about 2:00 and about that time the spike
12	was noted on the wide range reactor building pressure?
13	
14	SHOVLIN: I've heard this and I heard people saying it was a hydrogen
15	explosion and whatever. But the significance of that never came to me
16	until the next day or sometime after.
17	
18	CRESWELL: Did you notice any of the group in the shift engineer's
19	office, that would have been Ross, Miller, Joe Logan I believe you
20	said was there, did you notice any of those people in that group go
21	out and tell what had happened?
22	
23	SHOVLIN: Well, during, I don't even recall when that really happened.
24	In the afternoon, after noontime, when, I was out directly controling
25	and getting reliefs in for my people who had to go over to the observa-

tion center or call, so I was not direct, I was more or less around 1 the area of the outside of the shift supervisor's office, during this 2 particular time, and we set up our maintenance functions right there 31 by the computer, if you recall, so that was actually where we worked 4 out of. My shift foreman, that's where he sat and that's where he 5 directed all the maintenance efforts around the clock. From the time 6 I got relieved at 3:00 until several days we... 7 8 CRESWELL: Do you remember when that pressure spike occured, whether 9 anyone said there's been a pressure spike? 10 11 SHOVLIN: No. 12 13 CRESWELL: There wasn't any sound, anyone saying something? 14 15 SHOVLIN: Not to my, not to the group I was discussing or talking 16 with, no. 17 18 CRESWELL: Did you hear the thing abnormal around that period of time? 19 20 SHOVLIN: No, I did not. 21 22 CRESWELL: Your group was around the computer, you said, during that 23 part of the day. Did you notice anyone come around and get information 24 out of the computer while you were there? 25 895 120

SHOVLIN: Well, there was people going back and forth all, from the 1 various start of the trip, through the site emergency ... through the 21 several days, that was the ... that we sat to the very left of the 3 computer. We didn't block the area around the computer. 4 5 CRESWELL: Do you remember any of the people who came over and got 6 information out of the computer? 7 8 SHOVLIN: I'm sure everyone of those in the control room of any authority 9 was over on the computer. 10 11 CRESWELL: Back on that pressure indicator of the reactor building, 12 now, would your instrumentation man have been called to look at this 13 unusual spike to see if this maybe had to do with the noise or whether 14 it would be something that's real and how would he, do you have any 15 knowledge what might have gone on? 16 17 SHOVLIN: That's possible that he did. I'm sure that if he was in the 18 area ... he could have been, because most of ... we ran, but I sent 19 them, I wanted the minimum number of people at the site, O.K., after 201 we started wearing the respirators, I was very concerned about the 21 numbers of people that I had. So, I sent Weaver and Wilson, I'm sure 22 in the area 2:00, home, we had an I&C foreman by the name of Barry, a 23 Ukrainian name here. 24 895 121

25

CRESWELL: His first name is Barry?

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> His first name is Barry, something like Rosanovich, it was close to that, who was the foreman that relieved the shift foreman, who happened to be in of an IC discipline. Now, it's possible that he would know, and would be called on to look at that.

74

<u>CRESWELL:</u> But you have no knowledge of anyone going over there, or anyone asking ... for anybody from your group?

SHOVLIN: No.

: *

1

2

3

4

51

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FASANO: Dan, do you, apparently, the EMOV valve was leaking and prior to this occurance, ... the electromatic valve sometimes called the EMOV valve. Do you have any, well did you know about this and had you, were there, plans to correct it or to OP list this difficulty?

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> Yes, that would be identified on our no-name outage list, and I think I discussed as far as leakage, control leakage, I'm sure that that was identified and it was on the no-name outage list and I'm sure they knew by the leak spec into the reactor coolant drain tank. And that they're able to identify the leakage, and certainly identify if this leak got increased, that was under a controled nature, I'm sure, so that wouldn't, that would not have been a real major concern.

CRESWELL: I have here some hand-written notes with eight items on it, 1 that is entitled, "Jobs to do if Reactor Trips" and Dan I'd like to 2 ask you if you have seen that list before. 3 4 SHOVLIN: These should be a part of our no-name outage list, I would 5 think if these jobs were identified, and I'm sure Dick Sieglitz would 6 be familiar with, the supervisor of Unit 2, with this. 7 8 CRESWELL: O.K. There, like I said there are 8 items here. Let me go 9 through the first one, Pyrometers per press rod and safety valves 10 readings were you familiar with some plans to use pyrometers on the 11 safety valves? 12 13 SHOVLTN: We've done that in the past. We've done that in Unit 1, I'm 14 sure that's 15 1,6 CRESWELL: Why do you do that then? 17 18 SHOVLIN: Well, that gives you an indication, it gives you temperature 19 of leakage. 20 21 CRESWELL: So, have you done this on Unit 2 before? 22 23 SHOVLIN: I quite frankly I can't, it was possible, I left Unit 2, I 24 wasn't involved in the startup of Unit 2 as I told Tony, I have been 25 895 123

up about a year now up involving Unit 1, but I'm sure the supervisor 1 of Unit 2 is knowledgeable of everyone of those, and should be on the 2 no-name outage list for Unit 2, in the event that they did have a 3 trip, these are the areas where they'd go in and they would work on. 4 5 CRESWELL: There is an item here - tanks refilll, what does that mean 6 to you? Shield tanks refill? 7 8 SHOVLIN: I don't understand that, shield tanks refill. I understand 9 check all RCS insulation in place, we do that. 10 11 CRESWELL: What does that mean to you? 12 13 SHOVLIN: To me to be sure that its, there's none that's missing, that 14 it's made up right, correctly, that you don't have no voids. 15 16 CRESWELL: Is there a previous occurence or event that may have affected 17 the positioning of that insulation? 18 19 SHOVLIN: No, it's just, the people install it ... that they make it 20 up right ... the workmanship more. The dipping ... I quess that has 21 to do with the seal leakoff. Not that I know. In mode 5 get CRD 22 stator cool back in of course mode 5 is when you can gain enterence to 231 the reactor building. And I'm not familiar with disconnect WDGV28AV. 24 25 895 124

CRESWELL: Well, we've been doing some looking on that Dan, those, 1 that construction there is involved with a vent line from the steam 2 generator over to the waste gas processing system. Did you know of 3 any abnormal conditions in that area? 4 5 SHOVLIN: No, personally not, but I'm sure if there was any, that 6 Sieglitz, supervisor of Unit 2 would be familiar with it. 7 8 FASANO: Dan, we gather that, in your current job that you have, Mr. 9 Sieglitz who really would know the details . 10 11 SHOVLIN: Of each particular work function on Unit 2, right. 12 13 FASANO: Because it was a list of items that the people were doing, 14 auxiliary operators, which appeared to require maintenance and apparently, 15 it seemed like maintenance efforts were being directed toward Unit 1 16 to get back it on line, at least this is the impression that I had. 17 There were which ... some of the items that we had that apparently the 18 auxiliary operators would take care of would be the turning gear on 19 the feedwater pump, like ' think it was feedwater pump 1B. 20 21 SHOVLIN: That's their normal function. 22 23 FASANO: If it doesn't turn the ... they go down and turn it. Eventually 24 I quess you, maintenance, would get this as a job to probably correct. 25 895 125

1	SHOVLIN: If they've experienced getting it back on jack and gear,
2	yes.
3	
4	FASANO: And the problem that existed was the hydrogen makeup on the M
5	UT, the makeup tank, where apparently an operator went down and
6	valved to put hydrogen in.
7	
8	SHOVLIN: That's an operator's function, to open and close valves.
9	
10	FASANO: But eventually its the corrective action that would have to
11	be made so that you could this couldn't, this wouldn't have to be done
12	through an alternate route. This would be my I don't know.
13	
14	SHOVLIN: If it was by virtue of an design deficiency they would put
15	in a work request which would call for a change modification. We have
16	over, I'm sure there's over 400 and some change mods right now on Unit
17	2 and that could be.
18	
19	FASANO: This would be if the work request would go to Sieglitz
20	
21	SHOVLIN: The work request should go to Sieglitz and he would identify
22	it as a change to a system.
23	
24	FASANO: And the pressurizer heater breakers, they seem to be giving
25	the operators a problem I guess this would be in the I&C or the electrical?
	895 126
15	

78

.

SHOVLIN: No, it would be electrical.

FASANO: Electrical. And again this is ... things that you wouldn't be really involved in? It would be Mr. Sieglitz.

SHOVLIN: Oh yes, that would be true of a work request with the Unit 2.

FASANO: Do your subordinates discuss some of these things with you, and how do they ... reports that you see?

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> I get involved especially when we prepare to go into an outage or a no-name outage list. I review that, one of the main reasons I would look at it is to see who am I going to assign the work to, it's going to be the contractor, or I'm going to assign it to my own people. And look at the man power requirements for Unit 1 or Unit 2 of where do I have to shift the emphasis.

FASANO: From the information that your subordinates were suppling you or had supplied you prior to the event, what did you perceive as the maintenance activities that would be required on the unit?

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> First of all, I was involved when we found out that we had main steam relief valve deficiencies. The lonergan valves were not designed to support our.. I'm heavily involved in a project like that.

I don't get, he doesn't call me up and tell me that he has a slight 1 leak on a electromatic valve going to the reactor coolant drain tank. 2 Unit 1, we've had leaks from the reactor, from your code valves and 3 into the reactor, where we can no longer control the reactor coolant 4 drain tank overheating and the cooling system would not do it. We had 5 to shut down because of that. 6 7 FASANO: When was that? 8 9 SHOVLIN: Back in the early part of Unit 1. But, I'm saying that, it 10 was identified, there's a certain amount of leakage that you can have 11 and it's controlled, and if you go beyond that, you've got to come to 12 either a hot shut-down or a cold shut-down. 13 14 FASANO: The reactor coolant drain tank for Unit 2 has been modified 15 to allow cooling 16 17 SHOVLIN: Greater cooling capacity. 18 19 FASANO: Have you had any problems about the shutdown, the leakage, 20 after that? 21 22 895 128 SHOVLIN: No. 23 24 25

FASANO: How much leakage can you take now in the reactor coolant 1 drain tank, without shutting down? 2 3 SHOVLIN: Right off-hand I don't have the numbers, but I know of 4 course that the leakage and the heat-up in the ... you start building 5 up pressure in it and you know you have a rupture disc on there which 6 has a rated pressure so you certainly, you're not going to exceed 7 that. 8 9 FASANO: I have one other item here that the feedwater valve 16 recirc, 10 it was tagged out, it was one of the ones where apparently the tag was 11 covering one of the lights on the emergency feedwater twelve valve, I 12 believe on the console. Did you know why that was tagged out, do you 13 have any idea? This again would be your 14 15 SHOVLIN: Sieglitz would know that. He should have some records that 16 support that. 17 18 FASANO: Let's see, I believe something came up on Furmanite, the use 19 of Furmanite I guess then he still would be the person to check on the 20 valve? 21 22 SHOVLIN: Right. We do use Furmanite. It's under a controled fashion 23 that we use it. 24 895 129 25

FASANO: It's our understanding that while Furmanite was used on that 1 particular valve body that some of it got into the air ... 2 3 SHOVLIN: Some of it got into the air control system. 4 5 FASANO: Do you have a problem with that? 6 7 SHOVLIN: We didn't, have a problem with that. Before we inject any 8 Furmanite in any valve, we'll have our engineering scrutinize it very 9 closely. And have their approval ... PORC approval. 10 11 FASANO: Did you make modifications to your procedures after that 12 event happened? 13 14 SHOVLIN: That's possible that we have but I'm sure that it was because 15 of the workmanship and technique that the Furmanite engineer injects 16 his Furmanite. That certainly is, and the grooves and the area that 17 he pumped it into, would be looked at. 18 19 FASANO: As your subordinates get their no-name work and no-name 20 outage work list and they review it and also any of the current problems, 21 do they discuss this with you if it appears to them that it needs to 22 be discussed? 23 895 130 24 25

SHOVLIN: I usually sit in on the meetings, when it's a reality. 1 2 Usually the jobs that we're going to do . 3 FASANO: So you have time to ... 4 5 SHOVLIN: Oh yes, of yes, oh yes a no-name outage list you're meeting 6 on that at least once every two weeks during normal conditions and 7 review it, with each discipline, which includes engineers involved. 8 It's not just, you just don't put down all what you want in. So it is 9 scrutinized, continually being scrutinized on what you're going to do. 10 11 FASANO: So you have an overall feel for just what condition that 12 plant is in. What, could you sort of give us an idea of how you saw 13 Unit 2 at just prior to the March 28th event. 14 15 SHOVLIN: Quite frankly I looked at Unit 2 as a better running plant 16 during the same time frame, the ICS, we had fewer problems with the 17 ICS, that I think were, is probably was attributed to expertise that, 18 things we've learned on Unit 1. You got a better, a finer tune-up, so 19 as far as the overall, the integrated control system was a lot smoother 20 during the same time frame as Unit 1. My biggest problem on Unit 2 21 was I felt it was, in many areas, a maintenance nightmare, where you 22 didn't have the room to work. The valves were congested, close together, 23 and if you're going to work on a particular valve, it's quite possible 24 that you might have to work on two valves, I'm saying that, that's a 25 design deficiency.

895 131

FOSTER: We're going to take a break and change the tape. FOSTER: We're going to continue with the interview of Mr. Shovlin. The time now is 11:55 a.m. SHOVLIN: We were limited in power when we first went commercial due to heater drain pump problems that we've had. We got down to all 3 heater drain pumps, we had to work on and we got down to one, so we didn't have enough ... FOSTER: You had... SHOVLIN: Limitation in power. FOSTER: I think I took a walk in that area and I noticed there was I believe one heater drain pump out, now apparently was being pulled. SHOVLIN: It went to the factory and ... FOSTER: So you did have one out during prior to March 28th? SHOVLIN: Yeah, but that would not, ... Two would give you 100 percent. FOSTER: In the condensate system and also the condensate polishers and it is a different design than Unit 1 and apparently the operators

were having a difficult problem unclogging the resins. I guess your men would be knowledgeable of this?

1

2

31

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

241

25

SHOVLIN: Well, we would be knowledgeable as far as the change out system... changing out the resins and the resins. Especially if it got into our instrument lines or unless...yes, they would be familiar with that. We would be the one who have to blow out the lines. That problem is the backwashing of your resin beds. Where the water pressure exceeds the air pressure that you're using.

11 FOSTER: This takes me back just prior to the occurence and one of the 12 things we'd like to know and I think you probably would, is the basic 13 cause of the initiation of the trip just so that we have facts. 14 Do...this evolution of unclogging, unclogging lines, has this ever 15 caused a failure of the polisher valves to go closed?

SHOVLIN: No, where they got water into the air system had been a problem before but I don't ever recall particularly the clogging of the resins. I know because of the water getting into the air system during the startup, I believe we did have the same situation. Unit 1 you have a bypass around which if that valve closes the inlet closes your bypass valve opens and you're still... you don't lose suction automatically you don't lose suction to your feed booster pump and your feed pump. And I think that would be a reasonable change to make, look at the system to make the changes that have affect.

895 133

1	FOSTER: What corrective action were taken after you had these problems
2	before?
3	
4	SHOVLIN: As far as the
5	
6	FOSTER:water in the air lines.
7	
8	SHOVLIN: The drainage and the blowing out of the the, that's normal
9	
10	FOSTER: Just drain the water
11	
12	SHOVLIN: Drain the water, yes, more frequent drainage of the water
13	out of the system.
14	
15	FOSTER: But that really didn't correct the problem?
16	
17	SHOVLIN: Apparently the problem we just had that precipatated ourno, it didn't correct the problem.
18	re dian e correct the problem.
19	FOSTER: Did youhave your people gone done there and looked at that
20	FOSTER: Did youhave your people gone done there and looked at that system since the event?
21	
22	SHOVLIN: I can't say thatI don't know When you say my
23	people my I & C people or the supervisor
24	
25	895 134
	075 154

FOSTER: People reporting to you? 1 2 SHOVLIN: I'm sure that they've had and they will have recommendations 31 how to improve the ... 4 5 FOSTER: In November, early November I believe its November 4, of 1978 6 there was a loss off feedwater event. I think the reactor was about 7 90% power when it happened. Do you recall the outage that resulted 8 from that event? 9 10 SHOVLIN: In November? 11 12 FOSTER: Right. 13 14 SHOVLIN: No, we had an outage, I don't if it was November, it was 15 because of the Lundigen valves, ok. We had a complete changout of 16 your...we had a mainsteam line rupture because of the valve. I don't 17 know if that was November or ... yes, it was. 18 19 FOSTER: Main steam line rupture? How did that happen, elaborate on 20 that what caused it? 21 22 SHOVLIN: The Lundigen valves were not designed to take the reactor 23 forces... of the valve ... of the flow condition that existed when the 24 valve lifted. And we've had the expansion joints carry away. 25

87

FOSTER: The liners. SHOVLIN: Yes, right, so then they went in and of course they've changed all the Lundigen valves out with Dresser valves. FOSTER: But that was done before November of 1978. SHOVLIN: I'm trying to think if that was when we had the outage or not. I'd have to ... FOSTER: You said something about a rupture, a steamline rupture ... SHOVLIN: Well, I'm talking about that's mainsteam...these valves are in your mainsteam line ... where your expansion joint in the line, in the mainsteam line. FOSTER: But you can't recall any outage activity in November attribu-table to a loss of feedwater event? SHOVLIN: We did do a startup I had... the same condition... I'm sure at least one other time that I know ... now time frame I don't know ... that we've experienced water getting into the air systems closing the same valve. 895 136

FOSTER: That's on the condensate system.

SHOVLIN: It's on the condensate system. But I don't...I'd have to go back and look at the...

FOSTER: But have you had any safety relief valve problems after you installed those Dresser type safety relief valves?

SHOVLIN: Since we put the Dressers in have we had new problems?

FOSTER: For instance if blow-back wasn't set properly.

SHOVLIN: Ok, and it remained open. Yes that could have happen.

FOSTER: Do you recollect anything like that happening in November?

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> It happened,... I don't know if it was November or not, pin it down to a time frame but I know we had a problem I think at least two valves as I recall. They had a problem with the blow down. I'm sure Sigmus could document and give you the exact time frame.

<u>FOSTER:</u> I have no more questions. Except to ask you if you have any comments about anything including NRC?

895 137

SHOVLIN: No, like I said I've tried to answer all of your questions 1 to the best of my knowledge considering that it was an unusual... I 2 didn't really feel the full blunt of what happened till after about 3 three weeks and it was significant. I worked very hard during the 4 start ... I was here during the start of Unit 1. And you work 16, 18 5 hours a day and you can see something go down the drain in a few 6 minutes. It has been an experience for me. There are a lot of things 7 that I don't recall and a lot things that I recall now from asking and 8 what didn't we do or what did we say on my last interview. 9 10 FOSTER: Would you like to make any comments as to what you advise 11 others to maybe look for or to improve or make suggestion on design? 12 13 SHOVLIN: Its apparent, you know, this was a situation that no one 14 ever cranked into their overall posture of training or ever happening. 15 I think, you know, certainly we're in order for more instrumentation 16 in some very critical areas. 17 18 FOSTER: What would be those areas? 19 20 SHOVLIN: I think you should know what your level is in your pressurizer. 21 You can't go ... 22 23 FOSTER: The pressurizer or the reactor coolant system. 24 25 895 138

SHOVLIN: Both. What if in the hot leg or wherever...I think it should be... you know, when you have to go and start in a crisis or emergency, you have to go back to start calculating something. I think you should be more simplified than that, it should be more obvious. You know, you take your pressure and temperature and try to correlate it to levels that's what...,so I think there are areas that we're going to improve and I think as a result of this, I feel its a real severe...it is in the light of a lot of people but I think we're going to be better off. Certainly more conscious of where we have to improve of our committment, how we do business, how we communicate with the NRC, the State, and I'm sure...I know for a fact that you're going to do business differently as well as we are.

FOSTER: Would you care to elaborate on that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

201

21

22

23

24

25

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> Well, I think its just like every other area. You have a level of expertise in your organization. I'm sure you're going to devote some of that level of expertise on site...as well as we probably would improve on some areas. I think the state itself has found out their shortcomings. How they work and prepare to handle a situation like that. Now they know when we conduct our drills that their involvement was just a surface, they never really kept totally in ...I think now there's certainly be a participant so I'm sure there is...but overall I do feel the people that I work with is staying with outside I think they conducted themselves I think...considering the situation...in an outstanding fashion.

895 139

FOSTER: Dan, based on your experiences is there anything that can be done in simulation training to prepare people better for a real event?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

92

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> Well, I think you're getting geared up for that now, I think down on your simulator...B&W this is going to part of their training program but you know, extend that far beyond just what's happened. I'm sure there are other areas that you can dome up with and say, as a result of what would happen, what other areas can we look into and improve and I think there are going to be other areas that they're going to improve upon. I just hope that you know we don't extend ourself to the point where its virtually impossible to ever operate in a reasonable manner because you can get yourself sometime so restrictive that its impossible to function.

FOSTER: Looking back on your decision to set up the...your, repair group in the control room, would you do that the same way?

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> Oh, yes, yes, because I was there I seen what was going on. Ok, you were a part of it...and you there is no other way I'd ever...quite frankly I did it as as not even thinking...I should have directed myself down into Unit 1...I wasn't even concerned about Unit 1 at the time. I think what I done I thought was the right proper way and I use the people that were at hand. They were not the emergency repair party with their people that I had were there that had to take a particular action.

FOSTER: What about the impact of the number of people in the Control Room?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> You mean the...no, because we maintained ourselves off to the side and I don't think in any way and I in no way became involved in their...we stayed out of their way and...in fact, I more or less insured that the area around the console right directly in front of the...was being maintained by the people that should be there and not the...

FOSTER: If you set up your group in the Control Room how would you control the health physics access or how would you control the people entering into the contaminated area?

<u>SHOVLIN:</u> Eventually HP they brought their people right back to the same location that my repair party was.

FOSTER: Do you feel like you had good HP coverage for your people?

<u>SHOVLIN</u>: But see, when I look back we really it was a minimum of activity that we were involved in. If you look back there's two specific jobs that were of any consequence and our makeup tank and the bleed tank. Over and beyond that I...unless there was little things like turning on or checking fan was off, there was no significant real...and mentioned about laying down the plastic I do recall that, but I don't ...

FOSTER: Compared to the tapes there was minimum amount of work to do why else would your people be in the Control Room. SHOVLIN: The thing is though there's still the odd ohm was still there, it was apparent, ok. So we still didn't know we didn't know ... in fact, we didn't know just till a few days ago where we're at as far as plant conditions, what we're going to do what we're going to be able to do. So you...certainly you're going to be in standby situation, you don't let everybody just go home and do your thing. So I still feel that I made the right decision there. FOSTER: Thank you, Dan. We will conclude this interview at 12:10 p.m. 895 142