

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

AUG 2 1 1979

Copy For murafiche

Jack R. Newman, Esquire Lowenstein, Newman, Reis, Axelrad & Toll 1025 Connecticut Avenue, N.W Washington, DC 20036

IN RESPONSE REFER TO FOIA-79-276

Dear Mr. Newman:

This is in further response to your letter of July 12, 1979 in which you requested, pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, a copy of documents cited in a memorandum dated June 11, 1979 from Stephen Eilperin to the Commission, entitled "D.C. Circuit's Remand in State of Minnesota v. NRC."

Enclosed is a copy of document number one of your request, "memorandum from former NRC General Counsel Strauss to Howard Shapar, dated February 1, 1977."

This completes action on your request.

Sincerely,

J. M. Felton, Director Division of Rules and Records

Office of Administration

Tetty L. Wags

Enclosure: As stated

LAW OFFICES LOWENSTEIN, NEWMAN, REIS, AXELRAD & TOLL IORS CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N. W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20035 ROBERT LOWENSTEIN JACK R. NEWMAN HAROLD F. REIS 202 - 862 - 8400 DAVID R. TOLL HATHLEEN H. SHEA FREEDOM OF INFORMATION J. A. BOUKNIGHT, JR. ACI REQUEST E. GREGORY BARNES FOI A-79-276 Nec'd 7-19-79 July 12, 1979 MICHAEL A. BAUSER DEBORAH L. BERL STEIN ALBERY CARR, JR. ROBERT H. CULP PETER G. FISH A WILLIAM & FRANKLIN TREDERIC S. GRAY Freedom of Information Officer U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555 Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 522, please supply me with the following documents cited in the memorandum dated June 11, 1979 from Stephen F. Eilperin, NRC Solicitor, to the Commission entitled "D.C. Circuit's Remand in State of Minnesota v. NRC." 1. Memorandum from former NRC General Counsel Strauss to Howard Shapar dated February 1, 1977 (cited at p. 4 of Mr. Eilperin's memorandum); 2. Memorandum from Mr. Eilperin to the Commission dated February 24, 1979 (also cited at p. 4 of Mr. Eilperin's memorandum); 3. Letter from Mr. Dircks dated May 30, 1979 to Dr. Joseph P. Kearney (cited at p. 8 of Mr. Eilperin's memorandum); and 4. Any other memoranda from the NRC staff to the Commission relating to the decision in State of Minnesota v. NRC or its implementation. If any fees or costs are involved, we agree to reimburse the Commission. In light of the urgent need for this information, particularly because of the Commission's proceeding under SECY-79-397, we request that no extensions of time be allowed. Sincerely yours,