



SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT □ 6201 S Street, Box 15830, Sacramento, California 95813; (916) 452-3211

IE&E
IE&E

May 23, 1979



U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ATTN: Mr. R. H. Engelken, Director
Region V Office of Inspection and Enforcement
1990 North California Boulevard
Walnut Creek Plaza, Suite 202
Walnut Creek, California 94596

RE: Operating License DPR-54
Docket No. 50-312
IE Bulletin 79-10
Requalification Training Program Statistics

Dear Mr. Engelken:

In response to your letter of May 11, 1979, which transmitted the subject I&E Bulletin, the Sacramento Municipal Utility District is hereby submitting the requested information concerning requalification training program statistics for operators and senior operators at Rancho Seco Unit 1.

Our requalification training program is conducted in two separate groups. The statistics requested are therefore being submitted as two separate enclosures. Enclosure (1) responds for operators/senior operators examined in February and Enclosure (2) responds for operators/senior operators examined in June.

Respectfully submitted,

J. J. Mattimore
Assistant General Manager
and Chief Engineer

JJM:RJR:HH:slk

Enclosures

cs: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Division of Project Management, Operator Licensing Branch
Washington, D. C. 20555

446 289

③
7908020 222

79-122

	Operators				Senior Operators			
	Exam 1	Exam 2	Exam 3	Exam 4	Exam 1	Exam 2	Exam 3	Exam 4
1. Action 1: Responses								
A. Total number failed annual examination	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B. Percent that failed annual examination	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Action 2: Responses								
A. Percent required to attend additional lectures	100	100	83	100	75	50	85	80
B. (1) Number of operators attending	1/5	1/11	1/1	2/1	1/1	1/1	1/4	1/1
specified number of lectures (no. of operators/no. of lectures)	2/6	1/3	1/2	1/4	1/7	1/5		1/2
	1/7	1/4	2/3	2/5	1/11			1/3
		1/5	1/6					
		1/6						
(2) Number of operators attending actual number of lectures	1/11	1/7	1/6	*	1/13	1/7	1/9	*
	1/13	2/8	1/8		1/14	1/8	1/13	
	1/15	3/9	2/9		1/15	2/10	1/14	
			1/10		1/16		1/16	
			1/12					
3. Action 3: Responses								
A. Total number attending accelerated training because 70 percent on annual written exam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B. Percent attending accelerated training lesson because 70 percent on annual written exam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
C. Total number attending accelerated training because unsatisfactory oral examination	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D. Percent attending accelerated training because unsatisfactory oral examination	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Date of Exam 1: Feb. 1976 2: Feb. 1977 3: Feb. 1978 4: Jan. 1979

* Regualification on going

	Operators				Exam	Senior Operators		
	Exam	Exam	Exam	Exam		Exam	Exam	Exam
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
1. Action 1: Responses								
A. Total number failed annual examination	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	1*	0	0	0
B. Percent that failed annual examination	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	9	0	0	0
2. Action 2: Responses								
A. Percent required to attend additional lectures	N/A	100	N/A	N/A	82	78	33	55
B. (1) Number of operators attending specified number of lectures (no. of operators/no. of lectures)	N/A	1/2	N/A	N/A	1/1, 3/2, 2/4, 1/5, 1/8, 1/9	2/1, 1/2, 2/7, 1/4, 1/6	3/1, 2/2, 2/3, 2/4, 1/6	4/1, 1/2, 1/4
(2) Number of operators attending actual number of lectures	N/A	1/12	N/A	N/A	1/2, 1/3, 1/4, 1/7, 3/10, 2/11	1/1, 1/3, 1/9, 1/12, 1/13, 1/14, 1/17	2/2, 1/3, 1/4, 1/8, 1/9, 3/11, 1/12	2/1, 4/4, 1/5, 1/6, 2/8, 1/9
3. Action 3: Responses								
A. Total number attending accelerated training because 70 percent on annual written exam	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0
B. Percent attending accelerated training lesson because 70 percent on annual written exam	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0
C. Total number attending accelerated training because unsatisfactory oral exam	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0
D. Percent attending accelerated training because unsatisfactory oral examination	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0

Date of Exam 1: June 1975 2: June 1976 3: June 1977 4: June 1978

* Senior operator who failed was released from operations--license no longer required.