United States Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Region IV
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000
Arlington, TX 76012
ATT: Uldis Potapovs, Chief
Vendor Inspection Branch
SUBJECT: Q.A. Program Inspection Conducted on April 24-27, 1979 Docket No. 9990020/79-02

Gentlemen:
Bergen-Paterson has reviewed the subject inspection report. Ne wish to advise you that the information contained in the report is not considered proprietary.

With respect to the repo.ted deviations, we provide the following responses:

Deviation: A.1. Q.A. Manager has not received/mainta, ned training programs for Manufacturing and Purchasing Departments.

RESPONSE: Pesponsible personnel have been reminded of continuing obligation to maintain training programs/schedules. The majority of training programs/schedules have been received by Q.A. Manager as of June 1, 1979 with the balance anticipated by June 29, 1979.

Deviation: A.2. Q.A. Manager has not received/maintained training schedules from any Dept.

RESPONSE: Responsible personnel have been reminded of continuing obligation to maintain training programs/schedules. The majority of training programs/schedules have been received by Q.A. Manager as of June 1,1979 with the balance of anticipated by June $29,1979$.

Deviation: A.3. Q.A. Manager has not conducted trainirg sessions with Managers and Der:. Heads to assure adequate knowledge of Code and Program requiremints.

RESPONSE: By July 31, 1979 all applicable Maragers will have had instructions in Code and Q.A. Program requirements.

## TO: NRC

FROM: W.F. Becksted
SUBJECT: Q.A. Program Inspection
Deviation: B.1. \& B.2. Hold Tag not properly listed in Hold Tag log. Hold Tag was not properly affixed to nonconforming material.

RESPONSE: A complete review of nonconformance and corrective action activities has resulted in a complete revision of Q.A. Manual Sections 14 (Nonconformance Control) and 15 (Corrective Action) as well as minor revision to Section 8 (identification and Control of Materials). This revision is anticipated to establish a more workable system which will be less error prone and will provide a more timely resolution of identified nonconformances. Approval of these revisions (by ASME and Authorizec Inspection Agency) and subsequent implementation is anticipated by August-September 1979.

Deviation: C. Manufacturing Sketch note material as SA-306 Grade 60 per Drawing NF 283. Material used was SA $\quad \therefore$.

RESPONSE: Further investigation revealed that the attached memo was issued on July 15, 1975 to allow for this mater:" sustitution. This authorization will be redistributed as blanket Deviation istice (per established procedure) to clari y the acceptability of this action in the future.


WFB/hw
CC: E. Cloutier
H. Erikson
M. Fandetti
M. Fontaine
A. Lee
H. Noreen
D. Paterson
T. Reed
C. Root
R. Stokes
Q.A. File

TO: Manufacturing Departments
SUBJECT: NF Material For Component Standard Supports

When the material specified is SA-306 Gr. 60 , SA-36 is an acceptable alternate as the products were load rated on the basis of the lowest allowable stress. The material used must be documented according to standard Procedures.
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Approved:

Q.A. Manager
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