GEAP-10207-42 R-5 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION JANUARY 1978

# REACTOR PRIMARY COOLANT SYSTEM PIPE RUPTURE STUDY METHOD FOR DETECTION OF SENSITIZATION IN STAINLESS STEEL PROGRESS REPORT NO. 42

OCTOBER-DECEMBER 1977

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION CONTRACT NRC-04-75-202



GEAP-10207-42 R-5 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commissior. January 1978

REACTOR PRIMARY COOLANT SYSTEM PIPE RUPTURE STUDY METHOD FOR DETECTION OF SENSITIZATION IN STA!NLESS STEEL PROGRESS REPORT NO. 42 OCTOBER – DECEMBER 1977

1.1

Approved: M. L. Celarke

W. L. Clarke Project Engineer

Approved:

G. M. Gordon, Manager Plant Materials Engineering

J. C. Danko Approved:

J. C. Danko, Manager Plant Maturials and Process Department

Approved:

R. A. Proebstle, Manager Applied Metallurgy & Chemistry

327

359

Prepared for the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Metallurgy and Materials Research Branch of Division of Reactor Safety Research Under Contract NRC-04-75-202

Printed in the United States of America Available from National Technical Information Service U.S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Spring Yeld, VA 22161 Price: Printed Copy \$5,00 Copy; Microfiche \$2,25

NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT . GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95125



22131-NTD-16 460-DAC/mea-4/78

#### NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

### CONTENTS

	<u>P</u>	age
	ABSTRACT	v
	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	2-1
	2.1 Pipe Inside Cell Fabrication	2-1
	2.3 Alternate Field Test Methods.	2-1
	2.3.1Drilled Hole Technique2.3.2Surface Spot-Heating Technique	2-2

# ILLUSTRATIONS

1.	Miniaturized Plexiglas Cells for Obtaining Nondestructive					
	EPR Measurements on Piping		1	ι.		2-1
2.	Miniaturized Teflon Cell for Nondestructive EPR Measurement					2-2
3.	Teflon Cell Shown Attached to Outside of 4-in. Pipe	÷				2-3
4.	Teflon Cell Shown Attached to Inside of 4-in. Pipe	2	$\mathbf{z}^{\dagger}$	Ų.		2-4
5.	Microstructure Etched in Furnace Sensitized Type-304 Pipe					
	by EPR Measurement Using Miniaturized Teflon Cell					2-5

#### ABSTRACT

A program is in progress to develop a quantitative method (EPR) for nondestructively measuring the degree of sensitization in Type-304 and -304L stainless steels. The technique has been extended to characterize weld heat-affected zones and to correlate degree of sensitization with intergranular stress-corrosion cracking resistance. Current studies are directed toward establishing procedures for, and qualifying, a technique to obtain EPR measurements in-situ on reactor components in the field.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The Reactor Primary Coolant System Pipe Rupture Study is being conducted for the Metallurgy and Materials Research Branch of Division of Reactor Safety Research, USNRC. This Progress Report No. 42 documents work done in the period October 1, 1977 to December 31, 1977. The over-all objective of the program is to improve the reliability of reactor system piping by increasing current knowledge of failure-causing mechanisms and by enhancing capability for design evaluation and analysis.

Toward the attainment of this objective, work was continued on the following basis:

Task G - Effect of Sensitization on Type-304 Stainless Steel Task K - Effect of BWR Environment

All testing under Task K has been completed; no further progress will be reported. A topical report\* was issued this period. A second report\*\* is nearly completed. These two documents will summarize the results developed during Task K, which will terminate the NRC-sponsored portion of the project.

Most of the Task G progress for this period was reported in a topical report\*\*\* in process. Consequently this report will only cover recent progress not included in GEAP-12697.

The specific objectives of Task G for fiscal year 1978 include:

 Investigation of alternate methods for obtaining EPR measurements of components in the field. These include trepanning, small cell <u>in-situ</u> measurements in a drilled hole, "boat" sampling and subsequent laboratory measurement after heat treating, and pipe outside spot checking after localized heat treatment.

<sup>\*</sup>D. A. Hale, et al., "Low Cycle Fatigue Evaluation of Primary Piping Materials in a BWR Environment," September 1977 (GEAP-20244).

<sup>\*\*</sup>D. A. Hale, J. Yuen, and T. L. Gerber, "Fatigue Crack Growth in Piping and RPV Steels in Simulated BWR Water," to be issued (GEAP-24098).

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>W. L. Clarke and V. M. Romero, "Detection of Sensitization in Stainless Steel: II. EPR Method for Nondestructive Field Tests," to be issued (GEAP-12697).

- Resolution of technological problems encountered during fiscal year 1977 investigation, i.e., grain size effects, surface and structural effects such as cold work produced by grinding, martensite formation, etc.
- 3. Fabricate a cell capable of obtaining EPR measurements on the inside of a pipe during the construction phase of reactor installation.
- 4. Develop a larger data base (more heats and product forms) to provide more confidence in establishing "safe limits" of sensitization for welded components, in terms of stress-corrosion resistance under the actual environmental and stress conditions of concern.
- Conduct a "round robin" test in conjunction with ASTM Committee G1.08 to initiate adoption procedures for acceptance of the EPR technique as an ASTM standard.

#### QUARTERLY REPORTS

GEAP-4911	Reactor Primary Coolant Sy am Rupture Study
	Quarterly Progress Report No. 1, April - June 196
GEAP-4964	No. 2, July - August 1965
GEAP-5082	No. 3, October - December 1965
GEAP-5147	No. 4, January - March 1966
GEAP-5192	No. 5, April - June 1966
GEAP-5279	No. 6, July - September 1966
GEAP-5427	No. 7, October - December 1966
GEAP-5474	No. 8, January - March 1967
GEAP-5512	No. 9, April - June 1967
GEAP-5554	No. 10, July - September 1967
GEAP-5587	No. 11, October - December 1967
GEAP-5637	No. 12, January - March 1968
GEAP-5680	No. 13, April - J une 1968
GEAP-5716	No. 14, July - September 1968
GEAP-5770	No. 15, October - December 1968
GEAP-10024	No. 16, January - March 1969
GEAP-10072	No. 17, April - June 1969
GEAP-10120	No. 18, July - September 1969
GEAP-10143	No. 19, October - December 1969
GEAP-11069	No. 20, January - March 1970
GEAP-10207	No. 21, April - June 1970
GEAP-10207-22	No. 22, July - September 1970
GEAP-10207-23	No. 23, October - December 1970
GEAP-10207-24	No. 24, January - June 1971
GEAP-10207-25	No. 25, July - September 1971
GEAP-10207-26	No. 26, October 1971 - March 1972
GEAP-10207-27	No. 27, April - June 1972

GEAP-10207-28	No. 28, July - December 1972
GEAP-10207-29	No. 29, January - June 1973
GEAP-10207-30	No. 30, July - December 1973
GEAP-10207-31	No. 31, January - June 1974
GEAP-10207-32	No. 32, July - December 1974
GEAP-10207-33	No. 33, January - June 1975
GEAP-10207-34	No. 34, July - December 1975
GEAP-10207-35	No. 35, January - March 1976
GEAP-10207-36	No. 36, April - June 1976
GEAP-10207-37	No. 37, July - September 1976
GEAP-10207-38	No. 38, October - December 1976
GEAP-10207-39	No. 39, January - March 1977
GEAP-10207-40	No. 40, April - June 1977
GEAP-10207-41	No. 41, July - September 1977
	and any confident that

# TOPICAL REPORTS

GEAP-4445	A Survey of Water Reactor Primary System Conditions Pertinent to the Study of Pipe Rupture, January 1965		
GEAP-4446	A Review of Fracture Modes as Related to Reactor Primary Coolant Pipe Rupture, May 1964		
GEAP-4474	Experimental and Analytical Program Recommendations - Reactor Pipe Rupture Study, January 1965		
GEAP-4574	Survey of Piping Failures for the Reactor Primary Coolant Pipe Rupture Study, May 1964		
GEAP-4578	Mechanical Design Considerations in Primary Nuclear Piping, March 1964		
GEAP-4678	Fracture Mechanics and the Stability of Engineering Structures, September 1965		
GEAP-5014	Fracture Toughness of Some Engineering Alloys, March 1966		
GEAP-5410	Low-Cycle Fatigue Strength Reduction in Notched Flat Plates, January 967		
GEAP-5471	PAPA - Structural Analysis of Plates and Shells Using Trapezoidal and Triangular Plate Elements, March 1967		
GEAP-5553	PAPA - The Digital Computer Program for the Static Analysis of Structures Made Up of Plate and Panel Elements, October 1967		
GEAP-5557	Stress Intensity for a Circumferential Through-Wall Crack in a Straight Pipe, January 1968		
GEAP-5607	Fatigue Crack Growth in Nuclear Reactor Piping Steels, March 1968		
T&AM-677	A Three-Dimensional Photoelastic Study of Stress Near Cracks in Shell Structures, University of Illinois, March 1968		

- GEAP-5620 Failure Behavior in ASTMA 106-B Pipes Containing Axial Through-Wall Flaws, April 1968
- GEAP-5622 A Failure Diagram for Axially Flawed Pipes, April 1968
- GEAP-5653 Status of Pipe Rupture Study at General Electric II, July 1968
- GEAP-5614 Notched High-Strain Fatigue Behavior of Three Low-Strength Structural Steels, September 1968
- GEAP-5724 BWR Primary Piping Stresses for System Reliability Analysis, September 1968
- GEAP-5726 Influence of Stress/Strain Concentration and Mean Stress on the Low-cycle Fatigue Behavior of Three Structural Steels at Room Temperature, September 1968
- GEAP-10007 Restart for the PAPA Program, March 1969
- GEAP-10090 The 550<sup>o</sup>F Notched High-Strain Fatigue Behavior of Three Low-Strength Structual Steels, August 1969
- GEAP-10135 Low-Cycle Fatigue of Prototype Piping, January 1970
- GEAP-10140 The Effect of Cyclic Strain Aging on the Embrittlement of a Plain Carbon Steel Plate, July 1970
- GEAP-10170 The Effect of Stress Concentration on the Low-Cycle Fatigue of Three Low-Strength Structual Steels at Room .omperature and 500°F, March 1970
- GEAP-10181 Low-Cycle, Strain-Controlled Fatigue Cr. & Propagation in Nuclear Piping Steels, May 1970
- GEAP-10205 Status of Pipe Rupture Study at General Electric Company, Part III, June 1970
- GEAP-10236 Failure Behavior of Flawed Carbon Steel Pipes and Fittings, October 1970
- GEAP-10452 Estimating Pipe Reliability by the Distribution of Time-to-Damage Method, March 1972
- GEAP-10763 Low-Cycle Fatigue of Prototype Pipe Components, January 1973
- GEAP-20662 Effect of Constraint and Loading Mode on Low-Cycle Fatigue Crack Initiation - Comparison with Code Design Rules, October 1974
- GEA7-20615 Estimating the Relative Probability of Piping Severance by Fault Cause
- GEAP-21382 Detection of Sensitization in Stainless Steel Using Electrochemical Techniques, August 1976
- GEAP-20244 Low-Cycle Fatigue Evaluation of Primary Liping Materials in a BWR Environment, Septembe 1977

359 334

#### 2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# 2.1 PIPE INSIDE CELL FABRICATION

Fabrication drawings were prepared and a pneumatic actuator obtained to assemble an EPR cell for inside pipe measurements. This cell was to be similar in size and dimensions to the outside pipe cell discussed in detail in GEAP-12697. However, new miniaturized EPR cells have been designed and fabricated (discussed next) which are superior to the larger, early cell designs. Consequently, the larger pneumatic actuated cell concept has been abandoned in preference to the improved miniature cell design.

## 2.2 MINIATURIZED EPR CELL DEVELOPMENT

Two miniaturized EPR cells were designed and fabricated this period (Figures 1 and 2). Both cell designs utilize Pt wire counter electrodes, and porous ceramic plugged Teflon capillary tubing for reference electrode salt bridges. The cells shown in Figure 1 were fabricated from Plexiglas, and are sealed to the working electrode using ethylenepropylene gaskets. The ends of these cells are machined to match the curvature on the inside and outside of various diameter pipes.



FIGURE 1. MINIATURIZED PLEXIGLAS CELLS FOR OBTAINING NONDESTRUCTIVE EPR MEASUREMENTS ON PIPING (o.d. cell left, i.d., cell at right)

359 335



FIGURE 2, MINIATURIZED TEFLON CELL FOR NONDESTRUCTIVE EPR MEASUREM" . NOTE "O" RING SEAL ON END, PL COUNTER ELECTRODE IN CENTER OF CAVITY -AND POROUS CERAMIC PLUGGED CAPILLARY REFERENCE ELECTRODE BRIDGE (Top of Cavity)

The second cell (Figure 2) is round in geometry, and was fabricated from Teflon bar stock. The cell contains an ethylene-propylene "O" ring for a seal and the same counter and reference electrode arrangement described for the Plexiglas cells. The round Teflon cell (1.27-cm diam x 2-cm long) can also be used on pipe outside and inside diameters(Figures 3 and 4, respectively), and is more useful for attaching to flat components. Preliminary measurements to qualify the miniature cells were obtained by conducting EPR tests on the faces of mounted samples which were previously tested using the conventional laboratory beaker technique. These data are given in Table 1, where good agreement between the two measurement methods is indicated using a variety of sensitized Type-304 stainless steel samples.

#### 2.3 ALTERNATE FIELD TEST METHODS

#### 2.3.1 Drilled Hole Technique

An EPR assessment of degree of sensitization was made <u>in-situ</u> on a segment of furnace sensitized (620<sup>O</sup>C/40 h/FC) Type-304 stainless steel pipe (heat M 7772), by measurement

# TABLE I. EPR Measurements Comparing Miniaturized Field Cell to Conventional Laboratory Method (0.5 <u>M</u> H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 0.01 <u>M</u> KSCN at 30<sup>o</sup>C) (Type-304 Stainless Steel)

		EPR ( $Pa, C/cm^2$ )			
Heat	Heat Treatment	Conventional Beaker Test	Field Cell Test		
78500	1300 <sup>0</sup> F/1 h/FC	67.7	37.8		
M7772	Welded HAZ	0.4	0.7		
M7772	Welded HAZ	0.4	1.9		
CR&D	Cooling Rate Study	1.8	1.9		
CR&D	Annealed	0.0	0.1		
834264	Welded HAZ	0.5	0.4		
834264	Welded HAZ	1.1	1.6		



FIGURE 3. TEFLON CELL SHOWN ATTACHED TO OUTSIDE OF 4-in, PIPE



FIGURE 4. TEFLON CELL SHOWN ATTACHED TO INSIDE OF 4-in, PIPE

at the base of a 1.27-cm (0.5 in.) diameter hole bored 6.3 mm (0.250 in.) into the pipe wall. The side-walls of the bored hole were masked using stop-off lacquer, so that only the base of the hole was analyzed. The hole was then filled with the 0.5  $\underline{M}$  H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 0.01 <u>M</u>KSCN electrolyte and the counter and reference electrode capillaries inserted into the hole. The EPR measured Pa values obtained were 35.6 and 40.2 (repolished base) C/cm<sup>2</sup>, which is consistent with the 22 C/cm<sup>2</sup> measured for this heat after a 24-hour heat treatment at 620°C, using the conventional laboratory technique. The next measurement was obtained by inserting the circular Teflon cell into the hole, using the "O" ring to seal off a portion of the hole base. The EPR value obtained here was 47.3 C/cm<sup>2</sup>, which is in good agreement with the <u>in-situ</u> measurement. Finally, the above small cell was attached to the inside surface of the pipe (refer to Figure 4), where a value of 39.0 C/cm<sup>2</sup> was measured. A representative photomicrograph is shown in Figure 5 which typifies the structures observed within the drilled hole, and using the small cell, after all of the EPR measurements on the furnace sensitized pipe.

Therefore, it appears that the drilled hole with or without the miniaturized cell is a viable in-situ measurement technique, providing the area of interest can be analyzed. Additional



FIGURE 5. MICROSTRUCTURE ETCHED IN FURNACE SENSITIZED TYPE-304 PIPE BY EPR MEASUREMENT USING MINIATURIZED TEFLON CELL

work will concentrate on measurement of weld HAZ in drilled holes at depths where satisfactory correlation with pipe inside values are obtained.

### 2.3.2 Surface Spot-Heating Technique

.

One alternate field measurement technique under study consists of spot (~ 2.5 cm diam) heating the surface of a pipe to temperatures and times simulating welding practices. Thus, outside surface EPR measurements could be taken using the miniaturized cells and the portable polarization system. The occasional heats of Type-304 stainless steel which are exceptionally susceptible to sensitization after thermal treatments could then be identified. Nese "bad" heats are presumably more susceptible to intergranular stress-corrosion cracking (IGSCC) after welding than the average. Hence, these heats could be tagged for more detailed and/or more frequent inspection during normal reactor service. The spot heating method must only affect a shallow area on the surface of the component, so that the inside (exposed to coolant) does not become sensitized [temperature not to exceed  $425^{\circ}C$  ( $800^{\circ}F$ )].

A commercially available spot was obtained for demonstration purposes. The particular instrument obtained was a poor demonstration model, although, with proper insulation, we man\_ged to achieve temperatures up to  $600^{\circ}$ C ( $1112^{\circ}$ F) for 10 minutes to the surface of a 10.16-cm (4-in.) pipe section. The corresponding temperatures on the inside of the pipe directly beneath the heated spot reached 350°C ( $662^{\circ}$ F) as a maximum.

A better technique for spot heating appears to be the use of a cone-directed heat from a welding torch. The time and temperature could be controlled by a thermocouple connected to a programmable attachment on the welding power source. These attachments are relatively simple and are adaptable to modern power sources which are probably available at more reactor sites. This latter technique will be investigated further next period.

## EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Division of Document Control Attention: Steve Scott Distribution Services Branch Washington, D. C. 20555

Department of Energy Technical Information Center P. O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37830

1

27

375

