## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

NRC PUBLIC DOCUMENT ROCM

PENNSYLVANIA POWER AND LIGHT CO. ALLEGHENY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. Docket Nos. 50-387 50-388

(Berwick Atomic Power Plant) (Susque'anna Units 1 and 2)

CITIZENS AGAINST NUCLEAR DANGERS'
REPLIES TO THE INTERROGATORIES
OF THE NRC STAFF AND THE APPLICANTS
AND OTHER MATTERS



## PROLOGUE

The people living in the vicinity of Berwick, Pennsylvania were informed by government officials to be prepared to somehow, impossibly, accommodate tens of thousands of fleeing refugees from the Harrisburg area, if a melt-down and steam explosion at Three-Mile-Island necessitated total mass exacuation. Berwick is only about 65 air miles from Three-Mile-Island, in a northeast direction.

The people of Berwick also live very near to a constituction site where the Pennsylvania Power and Light Company is proceeding with plans to bring the atomic nightmare of Three-Mile-Island to their doorstep. There is, therefore, a growing alarm and concern over the threat to public health and safety that will be visited upon the citizenry by the Berwick atomic power plant. For government representatives to ignore this deep concern would be a grave mistake. The problems of TMI will not go away. It can never be business as usual again for the atomic industry anywhere in the Susquehanna Valley.

7907180664

According to correspondence from the counsel for the NRC, dated May 21, 1979, and the counsel for the Applicants, dated May 25, 1979, the Citizens Against Nuclear Dangers (Citizens) Berwick, Pa., are requested to reply to approximately two-hundred itemized interrogatory quotions by June 29, 1979.

The initial response by the Citizens is as follows: The Citizens will presently submit a motion before the U.S. Atomic Safety and Licensing Board requesting a ruling in the form of an Order announcing a suspension of the preliminary timetable for discovery requests and interrogatories, etc., decreed in the Board's Special Prehearing Conference Order, dated March 6, 1979.

The reason for this motion is twofold. The first reason is associated with the announcement i sued on/or about May 21, 1979, by the NRC in Washington, D.C. declaring a 90 day suspension (and possibly a longer duration) on certain licensing proceedings because of the Three-Mile-Island (TMI) disaster. The Citizens presume that such rulings by the NRC supersede the orders of the several licensing panels functioning nationwide, including the proceedings at Tocket Nos. 50-387 and 50-388, the Berwick applications. Therefore, the Citizens believe that the interrogatories presented by the NRC staff and the Applicants are, at the very least, premature and inappropriate at this time because of the NRC licensing moratorium which is now in force.

The second reason deals with the perplexities of the general and specific interrogatories relative to the admitted contentions. The Citizens propose that the NRC and the Applicants retract their interrogatories because they are not applicable in most instances,

and/or are misdirected to the interveners in general, as stated in their first round discovery requests. The Citizens also regard the choice of most questions directed at the interveners as arbitrary and out of order due to their misdirection.

The NRC should be directing their penetrating questions about the Berwick atomic power plant at the Applicants. The burden of proof is on the Applicants to show that the Berwick facility will not become another Three-Mile-Island disaster. The interveners are not on trial, we represent the American people. But the capability of the Pennsylvania Power and Light Company is; and the credibility of the NRC is!

request from the President's Special Commission on TMI, the Governor's Commission on TMI, the appropriate select and standing committees of Congress (plus the GAO), and the General Assembly of Pennsylvania studying TMI and NRC licensing in general, that each group subpoens the entire record of NRC Docket Nos. 55-387 and 55-388 from at least August, 1978 (when the interventions began) onward as material evidence in their proceedings. The serious mistakes of the TMI licenses are occurring all over again with the Berwick operating license case.

The Citizens Against Nuclear Dangers categorically object to each end every interrogatory question submitted by the NRC, and categorically object to each and every interrogatory question submitted by the Applicants. The Citizens' objections are as follows:

In most instances the questions are not applicable to the interveners. The Licensing Board Panel, or their agents, authored or edited, almost beyond recognition, most of the so-called admitted contentions by using some esoteric methodology. The Citizens did not concur with the Board's revisionist contentions. Nor, are the Citizens willing to be caught in some legalistic entrapment inherent in the apparent rigged. interrogatories.

344 330

The interveners did not have time to appeal these dubious, yet, apparently official revised contentions, or the contentions rejected outright, because the prescribed time limit—a mere five days—had passed by the time the 85 page Order of March 6, 1979, was shipped through the mails and received by the interveners. There simply wasn't time to appeal, yet the Board allowed this to transpire! This clearly violated legal standards of fairness.

The Citizens further object to the interrogatories because some of them are unanswerable until the list of documents the Citizens recently requested from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the Applicants arrive and are carefully analyzed. We are setting no arbritrary time limit on our requests. We are allowing a reasonable amount of time because the interveners are more concerned about getting at the true facts in determining if the Berwick atomic plant can be operated in a safer manner than TMI...irregardless of construction timetables, which seems to be an obsession with some other parties.

Also, the Citizens will have no difficulty presenting nationally renowned expert witnesses at the public hearings next year, but we are only beginning to round them up and, of course, cannot submit advance testimony that has not yet been prepared from experts that have not yet been selected, who must first examine and study the documents which the interveners have requested but have not yet received from the other parties.

Many of the submitted interrogatories are possibly intended to cloud the real safety and environmental issues over the Berwick plant? They are certainly intimidating and an afront. The absurdity of many of the questions is that the questioners have the answers already! The Board has previously upheld the NRC in denying the interveners the very government documents that contain much of the information requested. This is definitely "Catch 22".

344-330

The Board itself, for six months now, has obstructed their own proceedings by denying all intervening parties individual sets of the documentation from the NRC Accession List. Four months have passed and the interveners patiently await from the Board certified sets of the transcripts from the Special Pre-Hearing Conference. The interveners cannot proceed with their case without this vital information. Denial of this public record, which is in the possession of the NRC and the Applicants, is prejudicial and discriminatory. It also violates due process of law!

If this pattern continues, concerned and aggrieved citizens, acting in the public interest, may have no other course of action but to file a civil action in Federal District Court seeking an injunction in the Berwick licensing case; or to file charges with the U.S. Justice Department alleging collusion to commit unlawful acts.

In order that the TMI Commissions, the Co. gress and the General Assembly understand just how the Constitutional Rights of American citizens are being trampled upon by the NRC, the Citizens will cite the following examples.

On January 29, 1979, at Wilkis-Barre, Pa., a NRC appointed "Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel", having three members, conducted a "Special Pre-Hearing Conference" on the Berwick atomic power plant operating license applications. The Four intervening groups present, without any forewarming, were each handed large sets of documents, about <u>five minutes</u> before the hearing began, by the NRC staff and by the Applicants. These documents contained detailed objections to each and every contention in the petitions of the interveners, which they presented to all parties weeks in advance. There are hundreds of citations of law permeating these documents, which were referred to extensively by the NRC staff, the Applicants and the Board during

the proceedings, and which weighted heavily in the deliberations.

Five minutes notice! This is the type of high-handed and heavy-handed treatment American citizens get in the NRC kangaroo court!

During the closing morming session on January 31, 1979, the Board, especially, repeatedly objected to the Citizens explaining from their petition matters related to certain health and safety issues. They obviously did not want certain statements recorded by the petitioners. The record will show this, if that testimony has not been abridged or expunsed. Since copies of the hearing transcript have been withheld, the Citizens cannot be sure precisely what testimony has been recorded. This has happened, not in the Soviet Union, but right here in the United States:

The Board rushed through the final session, cutting-off some of the most important testimony, which was never admitted, allegedly so they could catch an earlier flight back to Washington, D.C. By any reasonable standards, the Pre-Hearing Conference was procedurally defective, and should be conducted over again, this time the proper Constitutional way.

So...is it any wonder that atomic power plants like TMI get operating licenses from the NRC with such cursory type reviews. The Citizens conclude by stating that an independent re-evaluation of the entire Berwick application is called for, perhaps in the form of a legislative investigation.

34 33

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Repli a To The Interrogatories Of The NRC Staff And The Applicants

And Other Matters have been served on the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class, this 16 day of June, 1979

Commissioners: Dr. Joseph Hendrie, Chm., James F. Ahearne, Peter A. Bradford, Victor Gilinsky, Richard T. Kennedy, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Charles Bechhoefer, Esq., Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Glenn O. Bright
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Oscar H. Paris
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Pane:
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Docketing and Service Section Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 James M. Cutchin, IV, Esquire
Office of the Executive Legal
Director
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Jay Silberg, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and
Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20035

Or. Judith H. Johnsrud Co-Director Environmental Coalition on Nuclear Power 433 Orlando Ave. :e State College, PA 16801

Mr. Thomas M. Gerusky, Director Bureau of Radi ion Protection Department of En ironmental Resources Commonwealth of Pennsylvania P.O. Box 2063 Harrisburg, PA 17120

Ms. Colleen Marsh Box 538A, RD#4 Mountain Top, PA 18707

Susquehanna Environmental Advocates c/o Gerald Schultz, Esq. 500 South River Street Wilkes-Barre, PA 18702

Irene Lemenowicz Chairperson 344 334