

ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE

EPRI

June 25, 1979

Mr. George Lear
Chief
Environmental Specialist Branch
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Bethesda, Maryland

Dear George:

I have now finally returned to the office with sufficient time to think about the site visit to Douglas Point. Let me again thank you for taking time from your busy schedule to participate in this activity.

As agreed at the meeting, I have drafted a set of questions for which I am soliciting answers from the committee. These are not considered all-inclusive, and I would appreciate any additional input or observations that you may have. In order that I meet the deadline of July 31, may I have your response by July 16?

Thanks again and I will send you a draft of the compiled comments and evaluation.

Sincerely,



Robert W. Brocksen
Program Manager
Ecological Effects Program

RWB:ah

Enclosure

300 102

7907060289

3001
ES 1/1

1. Is the Douglas Point site appropriate in size and location for meaningful long-term ecological monitoring?
2. If so, does there appear to be potential for aquatic, terrestrial, and atmospheric monitoring or one type more than another?
3. Do you have recommendations for specific types of monitoring which could be emphasized (i.e., process monitoring, functional units, etc.)?
4. Do you see conflict or compatibility with research on the site as the plan was described during our visit?
5. Do you see the site as an opportunity to evaluate long-term ecological change (trends) in the context of potential perturbation caused by an energy producing facility?
6. Do you have suggestions for the type and numbers of staff, students, etc., that would be appropriate to conduct monitoring at the site?