our setting of the local division of the loc	GENERATION GROUP	THE-79-194
•	G. A. MEYER, MANAGER, T-H ENGINEERING UNIT	
rom	J. A. WEIMER, T-H ENGINEERING UNIT, EXT. 3236	BOS 662-5
ust.	TMI-2	File No. or Ref.
ubj.	INCORE THERMOCOUPLE ERROR EVALUATION	Date APRIL 10, 1979

AN ANALYSIS WAS DONE TO DETERMINE THE MAGNITUDE OF INCORE THERMOCOUPLE ERRORS FOR TMI-2 PRIOR TO MARCH 28, 1979. THIS ANALYSIS WAS BASED ON A TEMPERATURE AND POWER DISTRIBUTION AT 98% AND 15% FULL POWER. THIS WORK ASSUMES THAT THE INLET AND OUTLET RTD (RESISTANCE TEMPERATURE DETECTOR) TEMPERATURES AND POWER DISTRIBUTIONS WERE CORRECT, AND INADDITION, ASSUMED A CONSERVATIVE + 3°F DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE CORE OUTLET AND VESSEL OUTLET TEMPERATURE AT 98% POWER. THIS RESULTS IN A 0.5°F DIFFERENCE AT 16% POWER. MORE REALISTIC TEMPERATURE DIFFERENCES (IE. 2°F AT 98% FP AND .2°F AT 16% FP) WOULD INCREASE THE PREDICTED T-C ERRORS SLIGHTLY.

THE METHOD USED FOR THIS ANALYSIS WAS BASED ON A KNOWN BUNDLE DELTA ENTHALPY, AND FLOW RATES (FROM ONLINE COMPUTER (OLC)) FOR AN AVERAGE POWER BUNDLE (RELATIVE POWER = 1.0). THE EQUATION USED FOR THIS ANALYSIS IS:

> $H_{OUT_2} = \frac{Q_2}{Q_1} \times \frac{W_1}{W_2} \times (H_{OUT_1} - H_{IN_1}) + H_{IN_1}$ = 39140

> > 7907260567

G. A. MEYER APRIL 10, 1979 PAGE 2

WHERE

Q2 = RELATIVE POWER OF BUNDLE FOR EACH CALCULATION (FROM OLC)

Q1 = RELATIVE POWER OF BUNDLE FOR AN RPD OF 1.0

 $Q_1 = 1.0$

W, = BUNDLE FLOW FOR AN RPD OF 1.0 (FROM OLC)

W₂ = BUNDLE FLOW OF BUNDLE FOR EACH CALCULATION (FROM OLC) H_{OUT,} H_{IN,} = DELTA ENTHALPY FOR AN RPD OF 1.0

RPD = RELATIVE POWER DIFFERENCE (NORMALIZED TO AVERAGE ASSEMBLY POWER)

HOUT, = CALCULATED BUNDLE OUTLET ENTHALPY FOR EACH BUNDLE.

H_{OUT₂} IS THEN CONVERTED TO T_{OUT} AND COMPARED TO THE MEASURED T_{OUT} (T-C READING). THIS ANALYSIS (AT 98% AND 16% FP) WAS EXTRAPOLATED TO 1% FP.

ANY INHERENT ERRORS ON THE OLC FLOW AND RPD CALCULATIONS ARE ELIMINATED BY THIS RATIOING METHOD. THEREFORE, THE ONLY REAL UNCERTAINTY IS IN THE H_{OUT1} AND H_{IN1} MEASUREMENTS. THESE WERE ASSUMED CORRECT FOR THIS ANALYSIS.

THE RESULTS OF THIS ANALYSIS INDICATE AN AVERAGE + 7.94°F ERROR AT 98%, AND A + 5.59°F ERROR AT 16% POWER. THIS EXTRAPOLATES TO A + 5.16°F ERROR AT 1% POWER.

- 1 1 2 L

G. A. MEYER APRIL 10, 1979 PAGE 3

ASSUMING NO DAMAGE OCCURRED TO THE T-C'S DURING THE TRANSIENT OF MARCH 23, 1979 AT TMI-2 THESE RESULTS WOULD APPLY TO THE PRESENT T-C READINGS, THUS IT IS POSSIBLE THAT THE INCORE THERMOCOUPLE READINGS PRESENTLY BEING OBTAINED ARE HIGH BY AN AVERAGE OF 5°F.

FINALLY, THE AVERAGE T-C ERRORS WERE CALCULATED AS A FUNCTION OF DIFFERENT POSITIONS IN THE CORE. THE RESULTS SHOW NO INHERENT CORE REGION DEPENDENCY.

1.0

JAW/SGH

CC: FE UNIT MANAGERS J. S. TULENKO CORE HOT SPOT TASK FORCE QA: THE METHOD AND CALCULATIONS WERE REVIEWED AND FOUND TO BE CORRECT AND CONSISTENT WITH THE STATED ASSUMPTIONS.

-RL for DATE UNIN ?

191.42