

Apr 17, 1979

MEMORANDUM TO: S. E. Bryan, Assistant Director for Field Coordination
THRU: J. L. Crews, Chief, Reactor Operations and Nuclear Support Branch
FROM: B. H. Faulkner, Chief, Reactor Projects Section II
SUBJECT: RANCHO SECO RESPONSE TO IE BULLETIN 79-05A

In response to a telephone request from Roger Woodruff on 4/17/79, Region V has reviewed Rancho Seco's April 16th response to IE Bulletin (JEB) 79-05A. The results of this review are as follows:

1. Item 4.a of IEB 79-05A was responded to in the licensee response dated April 11, 1979. This response addressed the old requirement (4.a.-79-05A) vs the new requirement (7.a-79-06A). Response to Item 4.b of IEB 79-05A is contained in the licensee's April 16, 1979 letter. In this letter the licensee addressed the new requirement (7.b.-79-06A) vs the old requirement (4.b-79-05A). However, on page 2 of the April 16th response the licensee states they are revising their procedures to agree with the requirements of 79-06A, Items 7.a. and 7.b. This is consistent with the Region V understanding of what is required and the site inspector will verify that the procedures and training instructions are changed to reflect these new requirements.
2. The licensee states that the combination of their response to Item 10 of IEB 79-05A, as contained in the April 16th letter, and the response to Item 5 of IEB 79-05A as contained in the April 11th letter, is responsive to all parts of Items 5 and 10 including the last sentence contained in Item 5 of IEB 79-05A.
3. The licensee response to Item 11 is not entirely correct. As shown in our VI 2595/2 daily report (4/17/79) on Rancho Seco there are still two licensed operators at Lynchburg that have not received formal site training on the SMI incident and Rancho Seco Procedural Changes.

555042

POOR ORIGINAL

7907160048

4/ With the exceptions noted below, Region V site inspectors have verified that the actions taken by the licensee, as specified in their response to IER 79-05A, dated April 16, 1979, have been completed:

- a) Training of licensed operators as identified in paragraph 3. above
- b) Revision of procedures as identified in paragraph 1. above.

5. With the exception of operator training as identified in paragraph 3. above, I feel that the licensee has fully responded to Items 4.b. thru 4.d. and 6 thru 12 of IER 79-05 A and their stated actions are reasonable and correct.

151

B. H. Faulkenberry, Chief
Reactor Projects Section II

POOR ORIGINAL

555043