April 9, 1981 ON LIGHT OF THE PRINT TO STATE OF THE PRINT THE PRIN

DISTRIBUTION
WJDircks
EKCornell
TARehm
EDO-10196
BJSnyder
TMIPO r/f
HRDenton
EGCase
WTravers
DBrinkman
SCavanaugh

Mr. John E. Minnich, Chairman Dauphin County Board of Commissioners P.O. Box 1295 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17108

Dear Mr. Minnich:

I am writing in response to your letters of February 20 and February 23, 1981 in which you forward Advisory Panel recommendations on contaminated water and request the NRC to waive its preshipment solidification requirement for low-level EPICOR-II spent resin waste. The Commission greatly appreciates the Panel's extensive and timely efforts to provide its recommendations.

The NRC staff recently completed its review of the licensee's request for relief from this requirement and on March 18, 1981 recommended that the Commission approve deletion of this requirement. The staff also recommended that the licensee be authorized to dispose of 22 low-level resin liners (second and third stage liners) at a commercial shallow land burial site. The Commission unanimously approved these recommended actions on March 23, 1981.

The remaining EPICOR-II spent resin liners consist of 50 first stage liners, three of which are similar to the second and third stage liners in that their total curie content is within the normal range of reactor resin wastes. The other 47 first stage liners contain specific activities which are unique and unlike those routinely generated and disposed of by other nuclear power plants. DOE has a program underway to examine and characterize the condition of the resins and liners for one of these units at a DOE contractor's facility. The knowledge gained from the characterization work by DOE may provide an improved basis for the handling and disposal of all first stage wastes and since solidification of these wastes could foreclose options for further treatment, we believe that '\* is prudent to defer any decisions on disposal of first stage wastes until such information is obtained. Therefore, while we have authorized removal of the requirement for solidification of EPICOR-II wastes, we have not yet authorized a method for disposal of the first stage wastes.

The Commission agrees fully with the Panel's recommendations concerning contaminated water forwarded in your letter and has found the staff's Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) to be responsive to those recommendations. Specifically, I would make the following comments.

8104150591

OFFICE P

SURNAME P

DATE P

ADVISORY PANEL RECOMMENDATION #1: That the radioactive contaminated water located in the Reactor Building be decontaminated as rapidly as possible, using the licensee's proposed Submerged Demineralizer System (SDS) currently being constructed. This recommendation, specific to the SDS System, is contingent upon approval of that system by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

The Commission agrees that radioactively contaminated water located in the reactor building should be decontaminated as soon as possible. The licensee's SDS, proposed for processing water located in the basement of that building, is expected to be constructed and operable in May 1981. Assuming timely receipt of a satisfactory proposal from the licensee, the NRC staff's ongoing evaluation of the SDS is also expected to be completed during May 1981. NRC approval of the SDS, or other decontamination system option, will be consistent with the ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable) principle and appropriate technical specifications for release of radioactive effluents contained in the final PEIS.

ADVISORY PANEL RECOMMENDATION #2: That the approximately 1.6 million gallons of decontaminated water, expected as a result of TMI-2 decontamination activities, be stored initially in on-site tanks to permit accurate assessment of its residual radioactivity content prior to a final decision regarding ultimate disposal of the water.

The Commission agrees with this recommendation and supports the following conclusion in the staff's Final PEIS (Section 12.):

"A decision on the ultimate disposal of the processed water can be deferred until after the water has been processed. Then, the concentration of radionuclides remaining in the water will be low enough for the water to be stored safely onsite until the disposal decision is made. Processing the water to immobilize most of the radionuclides and storage of the processed water will not foreclose any reasonable options for disposition of the water or concentrated wastes."

ADVISORY PANEL RECOMMENDATION #3: That the appropriateness of the continued on-site storage of the decontaminated water be reviewed annually by this Advisory Panel.

The NRC staff will, at the request of the Panel, provide information to assist the Panel in making its annual review.

ADVISORY PANEL RECOMMENDATION #4: That to the extent practicable, Metro iitan Edison Company should minimize additional on-site water requirements, by maximizing the use of recycled decontaminated water.

The Commission agrees with this recommendation. In his January 12, 1981 letter to Herman Dieckamp, ther Chairman Ahearne directed Metropolitan Edison to "minimize the volume of water used in cleanup activities, maximize reuse of processed water, and reduce cross-contamination of processed water to the maximum practicable excent."

ADVISORY PANEL RECOMMENDATION #5: That the radioactive contaminants (excepting tritium) in the unprocessed water at TMI-2 should be reconcentrated and immobilized as expeditiously as possible, consistent with Regulatory requirements.

The Commission agrees with this recommendation and supports the following conclusion in the staff's Final PEIS (Section 12.):

"Treatment of the contaminated accident water will transform the entrained radioactivity from its current mobile state to a more manageable form by concentrating and immobilizing the activitity by an appropriate process. The cleanup activity will eliminate the risks associated with leaving the contaminated accident water radio-nuclide inventor, in the mobile unprocessed state."

ADVISORY PANEL PECOMMENDATION #6: That the design and operation of decontamination systems for processing radioactively contaminated water should minimize, as far as practicable, the amounts of recidual radioactivity in the resulting processed water. The Panel believes the following curie inventories for all processed water resulting from cleanup activities are achievable:

Isotope	Curies
Sr-89, 90 Cs-134	0.1
Cs-137	0.5

The Commission agrees that a decontamination system for processing radioactively contaminated water should be designed and operated so as to minimize, to the extent practicable, the amounts of residual radioactivity in the product water. In the Final PEIS the staff has proposed technical specifications for releases of idioactive effluents during the cleanup. Within these technical specifications, the staff has emphasized that all cleanup operations be conducted in accordance with an ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable) philosophy as described in 10 CFR Parts 20 and 50.

The staff has informed the Commission that the listed curie inventories for all processed water resulting from the cleanup are achievable.

The Commission expects to receive continuing advice from the TMI-2 Advisory Panel on major activities required to accomplish expeditious cleanup of the TMI-2 facility. This advice is expected to provide the Commission with important information throughout the cleanup process.

Again I would like to offer my thanks as well as those of my fellow Commissioners for a job well done.

C/R NOTE: This letter has been cleared with allSincerely,

Cmrs.' offices. It was retyped in

C/R to incorporate comments.

(Ref. CR-81-054 -- SECY Tickets

Original Signed By Joseph M. Hendrie

TMIPO-NRR #81-0248 & #81-0249)

OFFICE SECY: C/R OCM OCM

OFFICE SECY: C/R OCM OCM

JMHendrie

endrie

Joseph M. Hendrie

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

NRC FORM 318 ITO BOI NRCM 0240

Orig. Off:

ROM			ACTION CONTROL	DATES	CONTROL NO
					CONTROL NO. 10196
John E. Minnich	n, Chairma	in	COMPL DEADLINE	-8/10/61	·
TMI Advisory Pa	nel	*	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	2/05/	DATE OF DOCUMENT
			INTERIM REPLY	- YOU to	2/20 & 2/23/81
ro:					PREPARE FOR SIGNATURE
Chairman Ahearn	ne .		FINAL REPLY CAL	UKIO	IX CHAIRMAN
			FILE LOCATION	3/6/81	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
ESCRIPTION 2 K) LE	TTERS [] ME	MO   REPORT   OTHER	SPECIAL INSTRUCTIO	ONS OR REMAR	RKS
cleanup activit radicactive was		the disposar or		ORITY	
AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	CLASSIFIED				
OCUMENT/COPY NO.	CLASSIFIED	CLASSIFICATION			
AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	CLASSIFIED	CLASSIFICATION	CECV D3 0240	£ 0240	
OCUMENT/COPY NO.	CLASSIFIED	CLASSIFICATION	A CALL FOR THE PARTY OF T		
OCUMENT/COPY NO.	DATE	CLASSIFICATION  CATEGORY  NSI RD FRD  INFORMATION ROUTING	LEGAL REV	IEW	□ FINAL □ COPY
OCUMENT/COPY NO.  NUMBER OF PAGES  OSTAL REGISTRY NO.		CLASSIFICATION  CATEGORY  NSI RD FRD  INFORMATION ROUTING  Dircks Case			NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS
OCCUMENT/COPY NO. NUMBER OF PAGES OSTAL REGISTRY NO. ASSIGNED TO Denton, NRR	DATE	CLASSIFICATION  CATEGORY  INFORMATION ROUTING  Dircks Case Cornell Denton	LEGAL REV ASSIGNED TO:	IEW	NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS
OCUMENT/COPY NO. NUMBER OF PAGES OSTAL REGISTRY NO. ASSIGNED TO	DATE 3/2/81	CLASSIFICATION  CATEGORY  NSI RD FRD  INFORMATION ROUTING  Dircks Case  Cornell Denton  Rehm 1. PPAS	LEGAL REV ASSIGNED TO: 4. Ross	DATE	NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS NOTIFY: □ EDO ADMIN® CORRES BE EXT.
OCCUMENT/COPY NO. NUMBER OF PAGES OSTAL REGISTRY NO. ASSIGNED TO Denton, NRR	DATE 3/2/81	CLASSIFICATION  CATEGORY  NSI RD FRD  INFORMATION ROUTING  Dircks Case  Cornell Denton  Rehm 1. PPAS	LEGAL REV ASSIGNED TO: 4. Ross	DATE HThompson	NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS NOTIFY: DEDO ADMIN& CORRES BR
OCCUMENT/COPY NO. NUMBER OF PAGES OSTAL REGISTRY NO. ASSIGNED TO Denton, NRR	DATE 3/2/81	CLASSIFICATION  CATEGORY  NSI RD FRD  INFORMATION ROUTING  Dircks Case  Cornell Denton  Rehm 1. PPAS	LEGAL REV ASSIGNED TO: 4. Ross 5. Nurley but 6. Follman	DATE HThompson	NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS NOTIFY: □ EDO ADMIN® CORRES BE EXT.

PRINCIPAL CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL

FROM:	1 7		ACTION CONTROL	DATES	CONTROL NO.
John E. Minnich	h. Chairm	an	COMPL DEADLINE	-8/10/81	1 410196
TMI Advisory Pa			ACKNOWLEDGMENT	21-1	DATE OF DOCUMENT
			INTERIM REPLY	700 E	2/20 & 2/23/81
TO:				70	PREPARE FOR SIGNATURE
Chairman Ahearr	ne		FINAL REPLY CAL	OKTO	OF:
			FILE LOCATION	3/6/8/	D EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
DESCRIPTION 2 KD LE	TTERS ME	MO REPORT DOTHE	SPECIAL INSTRUCTION	ONS OR REMA	RKS
cleanup activit	ties and	the disposal of	PRI	ORITY	
radioactive was		DATA			
radioactive was	CLASSIFIED	The second secon			
radioactive was		CLASSIFICATION			
pocument/copy No.	CLASSIFIED	The second secon	SECY-81-0248	& 0249	
pocument/copy No.	CLASSIFIED	CLASSIFICATION CATEGORY			□ FINAL. □ COPY
radioactive was	CLASSIFIED	CLASSIFICATION  CATEGORY  INSI INFORMATION ROUTING  Dircks Case			☐ FINAL ☐ COPY NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS
DOCUMENT/COPY NO. NUMBER OF PAGES POSTAL REGISTRY NO. ASSIGNET TO:	CLASSIFIED	CLASSIFICATION  CATEGORY  INSI IN RD INFORMATION ROUTING  Dircks Case  Cornell Denton	LEGAL REV ASSIGNED TO:	DATE	NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS NOTIFY:
pocument/copy No. NUMBER OF PAGES POSTAL REGISTRY NO. ASSIGNET TO: Denton, NRR	DATE 3/2/81	CLASSIFICATION  CATEGORY  INSI IN RD IN FRI INFORMATION ROUTING  Dircks Case Cornell Denton  Rehm 1. PPAS	ASSIGNED TO: 4. Ross	DATE HThompson	NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS NOTIFY: DEDO ADMIN& CORRES BA
pocument/copy No. NUMBER OF PAGES POSTAL REGISTRY NO. ASSIGNET TO: Denton, NRR	DATE 3/2/81	CLASSIFICATION  CATEGORY  INSI INFORMATION ROUTING  Dircks Case  Cornell Denton  Rehm 1. PPAS  Shapar 2. Eise	ASSIGNED TO:  4. Ross 5. Nurley	DATE HThompson	NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS NOTIFY:
pocument/copy No. NUMBER OF PAGES POSTAL REGISTRY NO. ASSIGNET TO: Denton, NRR	DATE 3/2/81	CLASSIFICATION  CATEGORY  INSI INFORMATION ROUTING  Dircks Case  Cornell Denton  Rehm 1. PPAS	ASSIGNED TO:  4. Ross 5. Nurley thut 6. Vollme	DATE HThompson	NO LEGAL OBJECTIONS NOTIFY: DEDO ADMIN& CORRES BA

NRC FORM 232 (13-75)

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR OPERATIONS

DO NOT REMOVE THE COPY

81-0248	AA	6.467.46
No. X DU XXX4	HU.	Logging Date 2/27/8
	NRC SECRETAR	RIAT
TO: Commis	ssioner	Date
XXXXExec D	ir./Oper	Gen. Counsel
Cong L		Solicitor
Public A	Affairs	Secretary
- 0		Inspector & Auditor
Incoming Jo	ohn Minnich, Ci	The second secon
		ning Advisory Panel
		Date 2/20/81
Subject _ Forv	wards Adv. sory	Panel's recommendat
Com	mission review	ę.
Prepare reply for signi	ature of:	0
		ommission: March 11
XXXX Chairman	Date due Co	ommission: March 11
XXXX Chairman  Commissioner _	Date due Co	ommission: March 11
XXXX Chairman  Commissioner _	Date due Co	ommission: March 11
XXXX Chairman  Commissioner _	Date due Co	ommission: March 11
XXXX Chairman  Commissioner _  EDO, GC, CL, S	Date due Co	ommission: March 11
Chairman Commissioner _ EDO, GC, CL, S Signature block	Date due Co SOL, PA, SECY, IA, PE omitted	
Chairman Commissioner _ EDO, GC, CL, S Signature block	Date due Co	
XXXX Chairman  Commissioner _  EDO, GC, CL, S  Signature block  XXXX Return original c	Date due Co SOL, PA, SECY, IA, PE omitted	
Commissioner _ Commissioner _ EDO, GC, CL, S Signature block  XXXX Return original c	Date due Co	
XXXX Chairman  Commissioner _  EDO, GC, CL, S  Signature block  XXXX Return original c	Date due Co	w
Commissioner _ Commissioner _ EDO, GC, CL, S Signature block  XXXX Return original c	Date due Co	Residon. 200 /2/18/
Chairman Commissioner EDO, GC, CL, S Signature block XXXX Return original c For direct reply* For appropriate action	Date due Co	
Course the Course of the Cours	Date due Co	Secold OM. 250 /2/8/
Commissioner _ Commissioner _ EDO, GC, CL, S Signature block  XXXX Return original c  For direct reply* For appropriate action	Date due Co	Residon. 200 /2/18/
CDys t	Date due Co	PE, OGC, OCA, OPA,

NRC-62

ACTION SLIP

P O BOX 1295 HARRISBURG PA 1710B

PHONE 255 2741

OFFICE OF

COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

SOLICITOR
HERBERT A SCHAFFNER
ASSISTANT SOLICITOR
ROBERT L KNURP
SPECIAL COUNSEL
LEONARD TINTHER
MEETING DAY
THURSDAY
15/00 AM

DAUPHIN COUNTY HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

February 20, 1581

Commissioner John F. Ahearne, Chairman United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Commissioner Ahearne:

I am pleased to transmit to you the first report of the Advisory Panel for the Decontamination of Three Mile Island, Unit Two. As you know, the Advisory Panel was established by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission on October 28, 1980, to consult with and provide advice to the Commission on clean-up activities on Three Mile Island. The Commission requested that the Advisory Panel provide advice on the Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS, NUREG-0683). In your letter of November 7, 1980, you requested that we address specifically the question of disposition of processed water. During a later telephone conversation, you set the deadline on the water question as of February 28, 1981.

The Advisory Panel has reviewed the Draft PEIS. It has held several meetings and heard testimony by representatives of Metropolitan Edison Company/General Public Utilities, the Department of Energy, the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safety and Safeguards, and members of the public.

Before I detail the recommendations of the Panel concerning the processing of the contaminated water at TMI, I have been asked to express a very real concern of the panel members in general. That concern is the inability to dispose of the low/high level radioactive waste generated as a result of processing the contaminated water. The Panel's deep concern is that TMI is being converted into a storage area for this waste, as a result of a lack of, or the inability of, the Regulatory agencies to allow disposal of the waste promptly and efficiently. We, the Panel, feel that disposal of the waste is a package item in the clean up of the water. It makes little sense to process the water if the resulting radioactive waste is to be removed from the Containment Building to other areas on the Island for indefinite storage. I cannot emphasize enough the concern of the Panel on this matter. I would close this paragraph by advising you that recommendations on disposal of the radioactive wastes will be forthcoming from the Panel.

Dep. 8103250111

Following are the recommendations of the panel concerning the contaminated water:

RECOMMENDATION #1. That the radioactive contaminated water located in the Reactor Building be decontaminated as rapidly as possible, using the licensees proposed Submerged Demineralizer System (SDS) currently being constructed. This recommendation, specific to the SDS System, is contingent upon approval of that system by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

RECOMMENDATION #2. That the approximately 1.6 million gallons of decontaminated water expected as a result of TMI-2 decontamination activities, be stored initially in on-site tanks, to permit accurate assessment of its residual radioactivity content prior to a final decision regarding ultimate disposal of the water.

RECOMMENDATION #3. That the appropriateness of the continued on-site storage of the decontaminated water be reviewed annually by this Advisory Panel.

RECOMMENDATION #4. That to the extent practicable, Metropolitan Edison Company should minimize additional on-site water requirements, by maximizing the use of recycled decontaminated water.

RECOMMENDATION #5. That the radioactive contaminants (excepting tritium) in the unprocessed water at TMI-2 should be reconcentrated and immobilized as expeditiously as possible, consistent with Regulatory requirements.

RECOMMENDATION #6. That the design and operation of decontamination systems for processing radioactively contaminated water should minimize, as far as practicable, the amounts of residual radioactivity in the resulting processed water. The Panel believes the following curie inventories for all processed water resulting from clean-up activities are achievable:

Isotope	Curies
Sr-89,90	0.1
Cs-134	0.2
Cs-137	0.5

Should you have any questions concerning the above recommendations, particularly background information, I would be more than happy to provide the information to you. At this time, I do not feel it appropriate to go into background discussions, since such information is already available in the transcripts provided from each meeting of the Advisory Panel.

Looking forward to your response, I am

Sincerely yours,

John E. Minnich, Chairman Advisory Panel for the Decontamination of Three Mile Island, wit Two

- 989 MARKS	Logg : g Date 2/27/81
N	RC SECRETARIAT
TO: Commissioner	Date
XXXX Exec. Dir./Oper.	Gen. Counsel
Cong Liaison	☐ solicitor
Public Affairs	Secretary
0	inspector & Auditor
	Policy Evaluation
	nich. XChairman. XM
From IMI Decor	nmissioning Advisory Panel
To Chairman	Ahearne Date 2/23/81
Subject Informs of	of Panel's recommendation that of a commercial burial site as
The Annual Control of the Control of	o a commercial purial site as
soon a possible	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×
Commissio	on review
Prepare reply for signature of:	
XXX Chairman	Date due Comm: March 11
XXXChairman  Commissioner	Date due Comm: March 11
Commissioner	Date due Comm: March 11
XXXChairman  Commissioner	Date due Comm: March 11
Commissioner	Date due Comm: March 11
Commissioner	Date due Comm: March 11
Commissioner  EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA,  Signature block omitted	Date due Comm: March 11
Commissioner  EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA,  Signature block omitted  XXXAetum r aginal of incomi	Date due Comm: March 11
XXXChairman  Commissioner  EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA,  Signature block omitted  XXXAeturn r aginal of incomi	Date due Comm: March 11
Commissioner  EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA,  Signature block omitted  XXXAetum r aginal of incomi	Date due Comm: March 11  SECY, IA, PE  Ing with response  Rsc'd Off. EDO / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 /
XXXChairman  Commissioner  EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA,  Signature block omitted  XXXAeturn r aginal of incomi	Date due Comm: March 11
Commissioner  EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, Signature block omitted  XXXReturn r riginal of incomi	Date due Comm: March 11  SECY, IA, PE  Ing with response  Rec'd Off. ED3
Commissioner  EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, Signature block omitted  XXXReturn r riginal of incomi	Date due Comm: March 11  SECY, IA, PE  Ing with response  Rec'd Off. ED3
Commissioner  EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA,  Signature block omitted  XXXReturn raginal of incomi  For direct reply*  For appropriate action  For information	Date due Comm: March 11  SECY, IA, PE  Ing with response  Rec'd Off. ED3  Date
Commissioner  EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA,  Signature block omitted  XXXReturn raginal of incomi  For direct reply*  For appropriate action  For information	Date due Comm: March 11  SECY, IA, PE  Ing with response  Rec'd Off. ED3
Commissioner  EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA,  Signature block omitted  XXXReturn raginal of incomi  For direct reply*  For appropriate action  For information	Date due Comm: March 11  SECY, IA, PE  Ing with response  Rec'd Off. ED3  Date
Commissioner  EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA,  Signature block omitted  XXXReturn raginal of incomi  For direct reply*  For appropriate action  For information	Date due Comm: March 11  SECY, IA, PE  Ing with response  Rec'd Off. ED3  Date

NRC-67

COMMISSION VS.

PHONE 255-274

OFFICE OF

SOLICITOR

ASSISTANT SOLICITOR

ROBERT L KNUR

SPECIAL COUNSEL

MEETING DAY

MACOOR

COUNTY COMMISSION RAS

DAUPHIN COUNTY

\* \*bruary 23, 1981

Commissioner John F. Ahearne United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Commissioner Ahearne:

During the Advisory Panel for Decontamination of Three Mile Island, Unit 2 meeting of February 11, the disposal of the existing low-level waste was discussed. The discussion centered around the resins containing low-level radioactivity, as a result of utilization of the Epicor-2 System.

At the above referenced meeting, the Panel agreed and in fact authorized me to correspond with you concerning this matter. We feel that the N.R.C. should waive the requirement for solidification of the subject waste when Metropolitan Edison has met all requirements insofar as avoidance of leakage, safe transportation, shielding and other precautions for shipping the wastes in present containers. We believe the waste should be shipped to a commercial burial site as soon as possible, provided there are no dangers to the public during the transportation. Metropolitan Edison has submitted a request for such a waiver and wourge immediate consideration of Met Ed's request.

It is our feeling that Three Mile Island has served as a storage site for an unconscionable amount of time, and urge the safe removal of the resins in the present state as soon as safety requirements have been met.

Should you have any questions on the contents of this letter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely yours,

John E. Minnich, Chairman Citizens Advisory Panel for the

Decontamination of Three Mile Island, Unit 2

JEM:kds

cc: All Panel Members Governor Thornburgh 860 8103250116

COMMISSIONLINS
JOHN E, MINNICH CHAIRMAN
NORMAN P JETRICK
STEPHEN R REED
CHIEF CLERK
BIOHEY A REESE
MAILING ADDRESS
P.O. BOX 12PE
HARRISBIRG PA 1710B
PMONE 255-2741



TATPHIN COUNTY

February 23, 1981

The Honorable James B. Edwards Secretary of Energy Department of Energy Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Sir:

I am the Chairman of the Citizens Advisory Panel for the Decontamination of Three Mile Island, Unit 2. As such, I have been directed by the Panel members to correspond with you concerning the high-level radioactive waste at the Three Mile Island size. The high-level waste is currently contained in resins used in the processing of contaminated water, and stored on site. It is our understanding that there are approximately 50 containers of this highly radioactive waste.

The Panel urges you to take immediate action to arrange for the prompt and safe removal of this radioactive waste, for interim storage off site pending an ultimate decision on its disposal. We are extremely concerned that Three Mile Island has become a storage site for waste. We are all aware that Three Mile Island was never intended for such purposes. Further, it is our feeling that the removal of the waste would grant some relief to the anguish of many citizens of the area.

We emphasize and request that consideration be given to this removal as one of unique circumstance, and not as a precedent setter of policy. It is extremely important that the public perception of the clean up be enhanced by the immediate removal of the subject waste. It is now almost two years since the accident and much remains to be done with the clean up. I cannot emphasize strongly enough the Panel's concern about the high-level radioactive waste and the urgency of removal of that waste from Three Mile Island.

Additionally, you will be contacted by Mr. Bill Travers of the N.R.C. on behalf of myself. The Panel is seeking a meeting with you and appropriate staff to discuss our concerns about Three Mile Island and the extreme slowness of the clean-up process. I would appreciate very much if you would give this request for a meeting prompt consideration.

SOLICITOR
MERBERT A SOMAFFINER
ASSISTANT SOLICITOR
ROBERT L KNURP
SPECIAL COUNSEL
LEONARD TINTNER
MEETING DAY
THURSDAY
1000 AM

Should you have any questions on this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me. My telephone number is Area Code 717-255-2740. Awaiting your response. I am

Sincerely yours,

John E. Minnich, Chairman Citizens Advisory Panel for the Decontamination of Three Mile Island

JEM:kds

cc: John F. Ahearne All Panel Members