

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION II 101 MARIETTA ST., N.W., SUITE 3100 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303 TIC

50-321/366 424/425

JUL 1 8 1979

In Reply Refer To: RII: JPO 50-321, 50-366 50-424, 50-425

> Georgia Power Company Attn: J. H. Miller, Jr. Executive Vice President 270 Peachtree Street, N. W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Gentlemen:

The attached enclosure, Circular 76-06, was inadvertently omitted

in the July 17, 1979 issue of Information Notice 79-19.

Sincerely,

440 148

7908090013

Jon James P. O'Reilly Director

Enclosure: Circular 76-06 Georgia Power Company

-2-

cc w/encl: M. Manry, Plant Manager Post Office Box 442 Baxley, Georgia 31513

C. E. Belflower Site QA Supervisor Post Office Box 442 Baxley, Georgia 31513

K. M. Gillespie Construction Project Manager Post Office Box 282 Waynesboro, Georgia 30830

E. D. Groover QA Site Supervisor Post Office Box 282 Waynesboro, Georgia 30830

410 A



.1

ATLANTA, GEAL

USNRC REGION

Enclosure 1 November 26, 1976 IE Circular No. 76-06

STRESS CORROSION CRACKS IN STAGNANT, LOW PRESSURE STAINLESS PIPING CONTAINING BORIC ACID SOLUTION AT PWR's

DESCRIPTION OF CIRCUMSTANCES:

During the period November 7, 1974 to November 1, 1975, several incidents of through-wall cracking have occurred in the 10-inch, schedule 10 type 304 stainless steel piping of the Reactor Building Spray and Decay Reat Removal Systems at Arkansas Nuclear Plant No. 1.

٠.

On October 7, 1976, Virginia Electric and Power also reported throughwall cracking in the 10-inch schedule 40 type 304 stainless discharge piping of the "A" recirculation spray hear exchanger at Surry Unit No. 2. A recent inspection of Unit 1 Containment Recirculation Spray Piping revealed cracking similar to Unit 2.

On October 8, 1976, another incident of similar cracking in 8-inch schedule 10 type 304 stainless piping of the Safety Injection Pump Suction Line at the Ginna facility was reported by the licensee.

Information received on the metallurgical analysis conducted to date indicates that the failures were the result of intergranula: stress corrosion cracking that initiated on the inside of the piping. A commonality of factors observed associated with the corresion mechanism were:

- The cracks were adjacent to and propagated along weld zones of the thin-walled low pressure piping, not part of the reactor coolant system.
- Cracking occurred in piping containing relatively stagnant boric acid solution not required for normal operating conditions.
- 3. Analysis of surface products at this time indicate a chloride ion interaction with oxide formation in the relatively stagnant boric acid solution as the probable corrodant, with the state of stress probably due to welding and/or fobrication.

The source of the chloride ion is not definitely known. However, at ANO-1 the chlorides and sulfide level observed in the surface tarnish film near welds is believed to have been introduced into the piping during testing of the sodium thiosulfate discharge valves, or valve leakage. Similarly, at Ginna the chlorides and potential oxygen



USNRC REGITE

IE Circular No. 76-8611117 P1:31

Enclosure 1 November 26, 1976

availability were assumed to have been present since original construction of the borated water storage tank which is vented to atmosphere. Corrosion attack at Surry is attributed to in-leakage of chlorides through recirculation spray heat exchange tubing, allowing buildup of contaminated water in an otherwise normally dry spray piping.

ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY LICENSEE:

- 1. Provide a description of your program for assuring continued integrity of those safety-related piping systems which are not frequently flushed, or which contain uonflowing liquids. This program should include consideration of hydrostatic testing in accordance with ASME Code Section XI rules (1974 Edition) for all active systems required for safety injection and containment spray, including their recirculation modes, from source of water supply up to the second isolation valve of the primary system. Similar tests should be considered for other safety-related piping systems.
- 2. Your program should also consider volumetric examination of a representative number of circumferential pipe welds by non-destructive examination techniques. Such examinations should be performed generally in accordance with Appendix I of Section XI of the ASME Code, except that the examined area should cover a distance of approximately six (6) times the pipe wall thickness (but not less than 2 inches and need not exceed 8 inches) on each side of the weld. Supplementary examination techniques, such as radiography, should be used where necessary for evaluation or confirmation of ultrasonic indications resulting from such examination.
- A report describing your program and schedule for these inspections should be submitted within 30 days after receipt of this Circular.
- The NRC Regional Office should be informed within 24 hours, of any adverse findings resulting during nondestructive evaluation of the accessible piping welds identified above.
- A summary report of the examinations and evaluation of results should be submitted within 60 days from the date of completion of proposed testing and examinations.

440 150

POOR ORIGINA

USNRC REGIST

()

2.1

IE Circular No. 76-06 79 JUL 17 P.J : 31

Enclosure 1 November 26, 1976

This summary report should also include a brief description of plant conditions, operating procedures or other activities which provide assurance that the effluent chemistry will maintain low levels of potential corrodants in such relatively stagnant regions within the yiping.

Your responses should be submitted to the Director of this office, with a copy to the NRC Office of Inspection and Enforcement, Division of Reactor Inspection Programs, Washington, D.C. 20555.

Approval of NRC requirements for reports concerning possible generic problems has been obtained under 44 U.S.C 3152 from the U.S. General Accounting Office. (GAO Approval B-180255 (RO062), expires 7/31/77.)

POOR ORIGINAL

440, 150