

# NRC PUBLIC DOCUMENT ROOM

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

In the Matter of	
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY	Docket No. 50-322
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, ) Unit 1)	

#### APPLICANT'S REPLY TO MR. LIKE'S LETTER OF JUNE 6, 1979

Mr. Like has presented a request from the Suffolk County
Legislature "that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission require
that the Long Island Lighting Company conduct a study of the
feasibility and cost of converting the Shoreham Electric Generating Station [sic] from nuclear to conventional or alternative
fuel." Letter from Irving Like to Elizabeth S. Bowers, June 6,
1979. The request is misdirected.

In his letter, Mr. Like made no effort to explain why Mrs. Bowers (or the Board as a whole) has authority to order that the study in question be conducted. No related issue is pending before the Board. Even if there were such an issue, each party would remain free to shape its own response.

In any event, all that Mrs. Bowers has received is a letter, not a motion requesting that the Board actually do

-2-

something. As a lawyer who has practiced extensively at the NRC bar, Mr. Like knows that (a), when an ASLB's jurisdiction is not clear, you address the issue and (b), when you want an ASLB to act, you file a motion. His disregard of these requirements is telling.

The County Legislature would have been better served had Mr. Like recommended that it approach the Applicant directly about the desired study. LILCO is presently analyzing the feasibility and cost of converting Shoreham from nuclear to coal generation. The Company will be happy to make its findings available to the Legislature.

Respectfully submitted,

LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

W. Taylor Reveley, III

Hunton & Williams 707 East Main Street P. O. Box 1535 Richmond, Virginia 23212

In the Matter of
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1)
Docket No. 50-322



#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of APPLICANT'S REPLY TO MR. LIKE'S LETTER OF JUNE 6, 1979 were served upon the following by first-class mail, postage prepaid, on June 21, 1979:

Elizabeth S. Bowers, Esq.
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dr. Oscar H. Paris
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Mr. Frederick J. Shon
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Secretary of the Commission U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

At mic Safety and Licensing Foard Panel U. S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Richard K. Hoefling, Esq. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Jeffrey C. Cohen, Esq. New York State Energy Office Swan Street Building, Core 1 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223

Howard L. Blau, Esq. 217 Newbridge Road Hicksville, New York 11801

Irving Like, Esq. Reilly and Like 200 West Main Street Babylon, New York 11702

Ralph Shapiro, Esq. Cammer and Shapiro, P.C. 9 East 40th Street New York, New York 10016

Mr. Marc W. Goldsmith Energy Research Group, Inc. 400-1 Totten Pond Road Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

W. Taylor Reveley, III

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

In the Matter of		
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY	Docket No.	50-322
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, ) Unit 1)		

## APPLICANT'S REPLY TO MR. LIKE'S LETTER OF JUNE 5, 1979

Mr. Like has presented a request from the Suffolk County
Legislature "that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission require
that the Long Island Lighting Company conduct a study of the
feasibility and cost of converting the Shoreham Electric Generating Station [sic] from nuclear to conventional or alternative
fuel." Letter from Irving Like to Elizabeth S. Bowers, June 6,
1979. The request is misdirected.

In his letter, Mr. Like made no effort to explain why Mrs. Bowers (or the Board as a whole) has authority to order that the study in question be conducted. No related issue is pending before the Board. Even if there were such an Issue, each party would remain free to shape its own response.

In any event, all that Mrs. Bowers has received is a letter, not a motion requesting that the Board actually do

-2-

something. As a lawyer who has practiced extensively at the NRC bar, Mr. Like knows that (a), when an ASLB's jurisdiction is not clear, you address the issue and (b), when you want an ASLB to act, you file a motion. His disregard of these requirements is telling.

The County Legislature would have been better served had Mr. Like recommended that it approach the Applicant directly about the desired study. LILCO is presently analyzing the feasibility and cost of converting Shoreham from nuclear to coal generation. The Company will be happy to make its findings available to the Legislature.

Respectfully submitted,

LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

W. Taylor Reveley, III

Hunton & Williams 707 East Main Street P. O. Box 1535 Richmond, Virginia 23212

#### In the Matter of LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1) Docket No. 50-322

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of APPLICANT'S REPLY TO MR. LIKE'S LETTER OF JUNE 6, 1979 were served upon the following by first-class mail, postage prepaid, on June 21, 1979:

Elizabeth S. Bowers, Esq. Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555 Washington, D. C. 20555

Dr. Oscar H. Paris Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Mr. Frederick J. Shon Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Secretary of the Commission U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Richard K. Hoefling, Esq. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Jeffrey C. Cohen, Esq. New York State Energy Office Swan Street Building, Core 1 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223

Howard L. Blau, Esq. 217 Newbridge Road Hicksville, New York 11801

Irving Like, Esq. Reilly and Like 200 West Main Street Babylon, New York 11702

Ralph Shapiro, Esq. Carmer and Shapiro, P.C. 9 East 40th Street New York, New York 10016

Mr. Marc W. Goldsmith Franzy Research Group, Inc. 400-1 Totten Pond Rold Waltham, Massachusetts 02154

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

In the Matter of		
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY	Docket No.	59-322
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, ) Unit 1)		

### APPLICANT'S REPLY TO MR. LIKE'S LETTER OF JUNE 6, 1979

Mr. Like has presented a request from the Suffolk County
Legislature "that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission require
that the Long Island Lighting Company conduct a study of the
feasibility and cost of converting the Shoreham Electric Generating Station [sic] from nuclear to conventional or alternative
fuel." Letter from Irving Like to Elizabeth S. Bowers, June 6,
1979. The request is misdirected.

In his letter, Mr. Like made no effort to explain why Mrs. Bowers (or the Board as a whole) has authority to order that the study in question be conducted. No related issue is pending before the Board. Even if there were such an issue, each party would remain free to shape its own response.

In any event, all that Mrs. Bowers has received is a letter, not a motion requesting that the Board actually do

something. As a lawyer who has practiced extensively at the NRC bar, Mr. Like knows that (a), when an ASLB's jurisdiction is not clear, you address the issue and (b), when you want an ASLB to act, you file a motion. His disregard of these requirements is telling.

The County Legislature would have been better served had Mr. Like recommended that it approach the Applicant directly about the desired study. LILCO is presently analyzing the feasibility and cost of converting Shoreham from nuclear to coal generation. The Company will be happy to make its findings available to the Legislature.

Respectfully submitted,

LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

W. Taylor Reveley, III

Hunton & Williams 707 East Main Street P. O. Box 1535 Richmond, Virginia 23212

# In the Matter of LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1) Docket No. 50-322

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of APPLICANT'S REPLY TO MR. LIKE'S LETTER OF JUNE 6, 1979 were served upon the following by first-class mail, poscage prepaid, on June 21, 1979:

Elizabeth S. Bowers, Esq. Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555 Washington, D. C. 20555

Dr. Oscar H. Paris Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Mr. Frederick J. Shon Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Secretary of the Commission U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Richard K. Hoefling, Esq. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Jeffrey C. Cohen, Esq. New York State Energy Office Swan Street Building, Core 1 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223

Howard L. Blau, Esq. 217 Newbridge Road Hicksville, New York 11801

Irving Like, Esq. Reilly and Like 200 West Main Street Babylon, New York 11702

Ralph Shapiro, Esq. Cammer and Shapiro, P.C. 9 East 40th Street New York, New York 10016

Mr. Marc W. Goldsmith Energy Research Group, Inc. 400-1 Totten Pond Road Waltham, Massachusetts 02154